

3

Page 3, Q17. Utility Users Tax (UUT) (General): The City's current UUT is 6.5% on gas and electricity. This is collected through the utility companies and paid to the City. A proposal to restructure the tax would reduce the tax to 6% and apply it to telecommunications as well as gas and electricity. This ...

1	the City needs to be careful not to tax too much or the businesses will move to other counties	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	this is too sneaky and deceptive to believe	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
3	This is a sneaky back-door tax increase tht will affect almost every household and, obviously, all of our businesses	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
4	Consider a cap to smaller than 3000, perhaps \$1500 to 2000.	Jan 24, 2012 1:31 AM
5	Protect small business	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
6	City does not provide these services No right to tax	Jan 23, 2012 11:50 PM
7	cut wages	Jan 23, 2012 10:25 PM
8	Look around at all the closed businesses!!!	Jan 23, 2012 5:27 PM
9	How do you tax telecommunications? This question needs more clarity.	Jan 23, 2012 4:29 PM
10	Will not be approved by voters/What about senior exemption?	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
11	Since PGE has yet to provide real calculations, how can we trust they wouldn't raise user rates because of the 1/2% difference?	Jan 23, 2012 3:10 PM
12	Lets clobber businesses. And end users. I'll tell you what, let the County take care of this.	Jan 23, 2012 2:53 PM
13	recall recall	Jan 23, 2012 2:35 PM
14	Set UUT cap at 1500.00. Is this for land lines or cellphones or both?	Jan 23, 2012 2:27 PM
15	MORE RESTRUCTURING, MORE POSTURING! NO!	Jan 23, 2012 1:12 PM
16	I need more data on this and a better explanation.	Jan 21, 2012 1:07 PM
17	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
18	City government has not been responsible for many years and now the taxpayers and businesses seem to have to bail out the city's mistakes	Jan 20, 2012 11:21 PM
19	I would just get rid of my landline telephone and go with cell service. I would also get rid of my cable and go internet and hulu.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
20	raise the UUT cap to \$1000 and it'll be easier to swallow, then increase the cap regularly of course it has to be written.	Jan 20, 2012 5:01 PM
21	I hate to see a telecom tax, but if that is needed to close the gap, do it.	Jan 20, 2012 4:45 PM
22	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
23	Let's not run businesses out of Pacifica! About the sales tax, you should have a	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM

Page 3, Q17. Utility Users Tax (UUT) (General): The City's current UUT is 6.5% on gas and electricity. This is collected through the utility companies and paid to the City. A proposal to restructure the tax would reduce the tax to 6% and apply it to telecommunications as well as gas and electricity. This ...

cap on it of two years. This is just to help Pacifica survive hard times. When times are better, we should adjust to a lower tax rate.

24	This would be too much for businesses to absorb.	Jan 20, 2012 11:39 AM
25	Raise the cap to \$1,000	Jan 20, 2012 11:34 AM
26	Try raising cap to \$1, 500	Jan 20, 2012 9:14 AM
27	Raise the cap proportionally but not across the board. Raising the cap could potentially hurt businesses that are on the cusp.	Jan 20, 2012 9:03 AM
28	should we force more closures?	Jan 19, 2012 8:20 PM
29	this money should not be used for wages.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
30	Just increase sales tax.	Jan 19, 2012 4:38 PM
31	Up Cap to 1500 -> 2000	Jan 19, 2012 2:29 PM
32	I fear taxes will not pass	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
33	Will this pass?	Jan 19, 2012 11:46 AM
34	Certainly worth further investigation. \$800,000 would go a lot further than the other smaller budget cuts proposed.	Jan 19, 2012 10:52 AM
35	NO NEW TEXANS [SIC] TAXES (?)	Jan 19, 2012 10:21 AM
36	Strongly (support options)A bonus from this would be to increase energy efficiency.	Jan 19, 2012 9:51 AM
37	I STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS!! CUT CITY OF PACIFICA EMPLOYEE SALARIES INSTEAD!	Jan 19, 2012 7:51 AM
38	Do not raise taxes they are already too high	Jan 18, 2012 8:34 PM
39	We do not even receive decent telecommunication services here!! Why do business in Pacifica!	Jan 18, 2012 9:26 AM
40	strongly support eliminating the cap! It's unfair	Jan 17, 2012 9:45 PM
41	Tax increases should be part of the solution, but not the only part.	Jan 17, 2012 8:49 PM
42	there is your 700,000 each year. yeah.	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
43	We pay too much already!	Jan 17, 2012 4:54 PM
44	Put the burden on the business to prove the cap	Jan 16, 2012 4:21 PM
45	Time to cut services not increase taxes. We are trying to survive in a depression.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM

Page 3, Q17. Utility Users Tax (UUT) (General): The City's current UUT is 6.5% on gas and electricity. This is collected through the utility companies and paid to the City. A proposal to restructure the tax would reduce the tax to 6% and apply it to telecommunications as well as gas and electricity. This ...

46	Raise cap for large size businesses	Jan 15, 2012 6:21 PM
47	I do not support these changes for residents of pacifica. If specifically referring to businesses, I would support an increase or step increase in the cap on UUT (could be increase a little per year over a period of x amount of years rather than a significant jump from \$500 to \$3k.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
48	don't mislead residents with 2 taxes in one question	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
49	What telecommunications would be included? landlines only?	Jan 12, 2012 6:38 PM
50	The city should explore every way to increase revenue.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
51	utility bills killing all of us	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
52	don't understand	Jan 11, 2012 9:22 PM
53	Taxes and fees on Telecommunications are presently out of control. Raising the cap for the big users doesn't seem so bad, it still a very small % of tax out of that business NOI.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
54	sliding scale based on revenues and square footage--nighttime businesses may be adversely affected	Jan 11, 2012 8:44 PM
55	Raising the cap from \$500 to \$3,000 is a 500% increase! How about something a little more reasonable?	Jan 11, 2012 8:11 PM
56	Lower the pay of the City managers and employees instead of raising more fees and taxes on homes and businesses.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
57	Find a mid point between the \$500 and \$3000 cap (\$1500/\$1800)	Jan 11, 2012 7:00 PM
58	bad time to raise any type of taxes, economy is down, so why punish the employment makers!	Jan 11, 2012 9:49 AM
59	Is this to include cell phones also? Why does the cap have to go to \$3K	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM
60	Taxes won't pass.	Jan 10, 2012 7:40 PM
61	I do not think this tax will pass.	Jan 10, 2012 6:23 PM
62	If they are using this much than they should consider its cost.	Jan 10, 2012 9:41 AM
63	This may drive business out of Pacifica and that's the last thing this town needs	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
64	Too bad the quarry wasn't developed.	Jan 9, 2012 7:16 PM
65	see #1 above	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
66	I don't feel that I understand this issue well enough to support or oppose.	Jan 9, 2012 11:23 AM
67	consider retaining the cap for small businesses and increasing it for large	Jan 9, 2012 11:15 AM

Page 3, Q17. Utility Users Tax (UUT) (General): The City's current UUT is 6.5% on gas and electricity. This is collected through the utility companies and paid to the City. A proposal to restructure the tax would reduce the tax to 6% and apply it to telecommunications as well as gas and electricity. This ...

	businesses	
68	what about a \$1500 cap why \$3000?	Jan 8, 2012 12:22 AM
69	no cap at all for businesses	Jan 7, 2012 7:15 PM
70	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. Increasing this tax is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
71	Families are having a hard enough time making ends meet	Jan 7, 2012 8:25 AM
72	Start by raising the cap to \$1000.	Jan 6, 2012 12:24 PM
73	not enough info	Jan 6, 2012 11:33 AM
74	I like reducing the gas & electric tax to 6%, it's too high. I don't understand this telecommunication tax enough to vote.	Jan 6, 2012 9:31 AM
75	This also requires a vote. It should say that in the con section. Also there is no info about how much the average person's tax would go up.	Jan 5, 2012 3:01 PM
76	need more info	Jan 5, 2012 1:31 PM
77	oppose this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
78	The residents of this City need to understand that you cannot "have your cake and eat it too." If they wish to have all of these programs, they need to pay for them.	Jan 4, 2012 10:51 AM
79	I wish you worded your questions more clearly. Some seem to be lost in the Pacifica fog.	Jan 3, 2012 11:45 PM
80	This is unclear	Jan 3, 2012 10:18 PM
81	The wording on this is concerning. it seems to be written to imply there is a .5% decrease in fact it is an increase that the 6% is added to telecommunications. that should be clarified. also, it seems this is for businesses only and not residents. that is misleading and should be clarified. Who wrote this???	Jan 3, 2012 9:50 PM
82	Strongly against taxing telecommunications. This would be a big burden on those with low income, retired and seniors who will be further isolated from the world. Also concerned that high UUT will drive business out of Pacifica, or discourage new businesses from locating here. Perhaps city could set a threshold of profitability with a sliding scale for an uncapped business UUT.	Jan 3, 2012 3:47 PM
83	I need more info on the Telecommunications option.	Jan 3, 2012 2:37 PM
84	These would be significant tax increases for families and businesses! No one can afford this right now, especially since our garbage collection rates are increasing!	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
85	Again, please seek out solutions with do not burden local businesses further. PG&E has already raised rates for 2012 significantly.	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM

Page 3, Q17. Utility Users Tax (UUT) (General): The City's current UUT is 6.5% on gas and electricity. This is collected through the utility companies and paid to the City. A proposal to restructure the tax would reduce the tax to 6% and apply it to telecommunications as well as gas and electricity. This ...

86	It sounds to me like you are trying to kill businesses in Pacifica instead of promoting them... and then you will complain that do not have a source of revenue.	Jan 3, 2012 12:56 PM
87	The business climate in Pacifica is already pretty unfriendly, but I definitely think it's not fair that large businesses pay the same as much smaller ones, so I think raising or eliminating the cap is fair.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
88	rais the uut taxes on the large companys and leave the small man aloe	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
89	How ever this goes, we'll be paying for it one way or another.	Jan 2, 2012 10:57 PM
90	Utility bills for the home owner already are a burden, don't add more tax on telecommunications.	Jan 2, 2012 9:53 PM
91	I think we need to be mindful of keeping our business community happy while taking reasonable measures to ensure fiscal stability. I like the sales tax proposals but think we can't do uut in addition...	Jan 2, 2012 7:05 PM
92	consider a gradual increase in the cap to avoid sticker shock	Jan 2, 2012 5:59 PM
93	As long as we don't loose businesses because of this - it would be like shooting ourselves in the foot.	Jan 2, 2012 12:11 PM
94	Large business can afford to pay more. Please protect small and medium businesses.	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
95	Please get out of our way	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
96	you will end up slowing growth and most like;y drive a lot of business in to Half Moon Bay or over the hill.	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
97	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
98	Business cap on UUT should be sliding scale based on annual revenues up to a max of \$3,000	Jan 1, 2012 6:22 PM
99	We really need to plan a business district in this town and I'm not talking about Palmetto Avenue. We need to improve our shopping centers and get some more Retail here. I love Ross, but it is not enough. I spend more time shopping outside of this city. Mostly Tanforan and Hillsdale Malls because I can't find what I need in Pacifica. The rest of the time it is online shopping because we are so low on stores.	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
100	Homeowners and renters already pay out enough for services.	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
101	Eliminate cap for businesses, households with incomes over \$100,000/yr	Jan 1, 2012 4:56 PM
102	maybe raise cap to \$1000	Jan 1, 2012 11:25 AM
103	As a city which is trying to bring businesses to our town, it's important that we don't "dump" all the fees/revenue generation on them.	Jan 1, 2012 4:30 AM

Page 3, Q17. Utility Users Tax (UUT) (General): The City's current UUT is 6.5% on gas and electricity. This is collected through the utility companies and paid to the City. A proposal to restructure the tax would reduce the tax to 6% and apply it to telecommunications as well as gas and electricity. This ...

104	cable TV is more a luxury than gas/electricity	Dec 31, 2011 5:54 PM
105	I thought the gun store generated a large sales tax for Pacifica.	Dec 31, 2011 4:47 PM
106	Doubt it would pass in this climate but negligible impact on most people--if they think about it. Raising the cap to \$3000 makes sense for larger business users.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
107	NO. These are regressive taxes.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
108	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

1	Con: Yet another parcel tax!!!!	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
2	I just can't pay anymore . . . I have reached my limit.	Jan 24, 2012 2:03 AM
3	Businesses, apartments should pay more. Would not oppose at \$25 on residents (homeowners)	Jan 24, 2012 1:51 AM
4	I would oppose a sliding scale/parcel tax especially for businesses and apartments	Jan 24, 2012 1:40 AM
5	exempt hardship cases	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
6	We pay enough taxes now!	Jan 24, 2012 12:08 AM
7	2/3 of the people will not agree to this.	Jan 23, 2012 4:29 PM
8	Oversite needed also time limit	Jan 23, 2012 3:42 PM
9	Will not be approved by voters.	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
10	Don't think that it goes to what needs it, do not want!!	Jan 23, 2012 2:59 PM
11	recall	Jan 23, 2012 2:35 PM
12	Didn't this just go down in defeat?	Jan 23, 2012 2:27 PM
13	Enact a Parcel/ Home Value Tax- NOT An "All Fits One" Tax!	Jan 23, 2012 1:12 PM
14	Parcel tax is already too high, we want to encourage home ownership over renting	Jan 21, 2012 1:34 PM
15	This is Anti-Prop 13. Many senior citizens will lose their homes.	Jan 21, 2012 1:07 PM
16	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
17	Tax on property owners not fair It is not equitable to make property owners pay and not renters. Tax increase should apply to all.	Jan 21, 2012 12:12 PM
18	NO, the citizens of Pacifica have been bailing out the City for years. Mismanagement of funds and too-high salaries for years have caused many of our problems. The citizens are continually bailing out your mistakes.	Jan 20, 2012 11:21 PM
19	We have been taxed enough. I think we need to look at other avenues to find tax revenue. As a public school employee, my salary is now \$5,000 less than two years ago due to rising health costs. Perhaps, those receiving high salaries need to also take a cut to be fiscally responsible.	Jan 20, 2012 11:16 PM
20	Police & Fire should be the 1st to receive funding from parcel tax.	Jan 20, 2012 8:12 PM
21	Tie this in with Fire Assessment	Jan 20, 2012 6:46 PM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

22	plenty of people don't own residences in Pacifica yet we all share what Pacifica has to offer. So it shouldn't just be property owners.	Jan 20, 2012 5:01 PM
23	ENOUGH>> Stop taxing property owners. Go after the renters.	Jan 20, 2012 4:46 PM
24	How about a \$200 tax? THIS is still only \$17/month .. half a tank of gas.	Jan 20, 2012 4:45 PM
25	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
26	I think this is a good idea, but I think it would be a hard sell. You would have to have a time limit, like the PSD parcel tax. Also, you need to be clear as to what exactly you want to do with the money. Many voters are very unhappy that the fire tax was used in the general fund, and hard feelings and a lack of trust linger.	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM
27	Adjustments for seniors who own home and have lived in Pacifica for more than 10 years should be exempt.	Jan 20, 2012 11:08 AM
28	enough parcel taxes!	Jan 20, 2012 10:09 AM
29	\$25.00 parcel tax might pass-I would support this.	Jan 19, 2012 10:45 PM
30	revenue of this type should be for specific infrastructure improvements. AFTER we get the FAT CATS wages and benefits situation under control, the citizens will be far more likely to support this type of taxation. BUT NOT BEFORE.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
31	If you have to.	Jan 19, 2012 4:38 PM
32	I would support a parcel tax increase that would exempt property owners 65 years old and older.	Jan 19, 2012 4:27 PM
33	Property owners without children in schools are....(*Comments cut off from paper print out)	Jan 19, 2012 4:00 PM
34	with understanding that we would not be asked to do this again in the next five years	Jan 19, 2012 1:53 PM
35	taxes will not pass	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
36	I do not think it will pass. :([sic]	Jan 19, 2012 11:51 AM
37	Another hundred Bucks	Jan 19, 2012 11:28 AM
38	Sorry- my property taxes already are really expensive!	Jan 19, 2012 10:52 AM
39	NO NEW TAXES	Jan 19, 2012 10:21 AM
40	This might undermine support for schools. Probably would not pass.	Jan 19, 2012 9:51 AM
41	I could go for half of that increase and a two year termination.	Jan 19, 2012 9:04 AM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

42	You've taxed us to Death!!	Jan 19, 2012 7:51 AM
43	We pay enough already	Jan 18, 2012 10:37 PM
44	I find it incredible that citizens would willingly degrade their city and their homes in order to score political points and save \$120. Granted there are many with fixed incomes how ever there are those who could easily take up the slack like myself. While I am not anxious to pay more taxes I am even more reluctant to see the value of my home, the biggest investment we have, depreciate due to my neighbors willful strangling of the city and it's services. This is an embarrassment and says much about the lack of leadership in this town. Certainly it has been a thankless task over the last few years but the passivity demonstrated over the last couple local tax initiatives has been extremely foolish. The case for the tax increase should be made and defended forcefully. Or should we just let Pacifica dissolve of it's own myopia?	Jan 18, 2012 9:38 PM
45	Do not raise taxes they are already too high	Jan 18, 2012 8:34 PM
46	I just moved here from SF and the taxes on my house here are 0.09% hire than SF. The list of charges are outragous. This city needs to learn how to manage it's money and not by adding more parcel taxes.	Jan 18, 2012 7:24 PM
47	Don't you dare!!!! Enough is enough. We have done our fair share, now it is the time for city employees to do theirs. Perhaps ask all city employees to reduce their salaries by that amount--\$1.2 annually	Jan 18, 2012 4:49 PM
48	Seniors must be exempt from school taxes	Jan 18, 2012 1:41 PM
49	Enough of the parcel tax! It isn't fair when you exempt seniors to pass a vote to continually burden the middle-aged! The largest generation (Baby Boomers) are chocking the smallest generation!	Jan 18, 2012 9:26 AM
50	parcel tax should be structured to charge more for parcels valued higher, and businesses, particularly banks who do not pay fair share!	Jan 17, 2012 9:45 PM
51	NO MORE PARCEL TAX INCREASES!!!!	Jan 17, 2012 9:29 PM
52	It would never pass. The schools had a ton of volunteers (including me.) The city would not get this level of commitment.	Jan 17, 2012 8:49 PM
53	why don't you charge each kids parents and not just the home owners; some kids are bused in and each kid's parents at each school should be responsible for any additional cost.	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
54	Property owners are already being over taxed.	Jan 17, 2012 2:41 PM
55	Less likely to pass.	Jan 16, 2012 10:10 PM
56	Home owners are under water and paying too many parcel taxes and school bonds already. Cut all unnecessary services.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

57	I only support if the parcel tax is graduated, based on square footage of the DWELLING	Jan 15, 2012 6:14 PM
58	Would only support if this if the Parcel Tax were able to be made as a graduated tax based on the size and value of the house.	Jan 15, 2012 6:14 PM
59	will fail.... again.	Jan 15, 2012 10:26 AM
60	But it will not pass. Public does not support overpaid staff	Jan 14, 2012 4:28 PM
61	There is a severe lack of trust that funds will be spent as promised.	Jan 14, 2012 10:45 AM
62	If like the Pacifica School District there is allowance for exception for seniors and amount tailor to needs after user fees and cuts committed to.	Jan 14, 2012 9:51 AM
63	Depends on specifics. Homeowners should pay parcel tax.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
64	I've already got \$2K in parcel taxes on my house. Stop it!	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
65	This is not a bad idea, but perhaps this amount is slightly high? What about \$75?	Jan 12, 2012 9:50 PM
66	already went through this with schools	Jan 12, 2012 12:59 PM
67	Doesn't equitably share the burden of services to everyone.	Jan 12, 2012 11:44 AM
68	There is a premium to be able to live in a place as beautiful as Pacifica; the alternative is living in a dump like Daly City. Residents should realize that living here should come with an extra cost; call it a clean air and open space tax!	Jan 12, 2012 9:41 AM
69	I own my home and am willing to pay more.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
70	only keep school parcel tax.....save \$\$ otherwise. cut salaries	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
71	how do our parcel taxes compare to neighboring areas??	Jan 11, 2012 10:28 PM
72	If this is necessary then I'd want to see commitments of no new City or Police or Fire Vehicles for 10 years. I drive an old car so I can pay my taxes. They should too. Repairs are far cheaper.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
73	Start saving money by lowering the pay of the City Managers and City employees and charge more for some services as they are needed individually. No new taxes !!	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
74	I would support this, but the ads required to get the vote would be painful	Jan 11, 2012 6:20 PM
75	We need better schools!	Jan 11, 2012 5:07 PM
76	This should pay for police and fire	Jan 11, 2012 4:41 PM
77	I am bitter about the sewer tax, please don't add any more taxes!	Jan 11, 2012 12:27 PM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

78	We already a huge amount of taxes on our property. NO!!	Jan 11, 2012 12:26 PM
79	Educate general public prior to ballot measure.	Jan 11, 2012 12:24 PM
80	my property taxes already to high, stop	Jan 11, 2012 9:49 AM
81	I would gladly pay this tax to protect our City!!!!	Jan 11, 2012 9:13 AM
82	there has to bean end to this sometime - like now!	Jan 10, 2012 11:18 PM
83	We are being parcel taxed enough already	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM
84	will not pass, I may not even vote for it.	Jan 10, 2012 6:23 PM
85	devistating to seniors on fixed incomes, who you already gouged with new garbage collection fees!	Jan 10, 2012 6:07 PM
86	I think would be willing to do this in order to perserve our community services.	Jan 10, 2012 9:41 AM
87	generate income by supporting local business, not taxation.	Jan 9, 2012 9:55 PM
88	Stop asking for more money from the homeowners. If you wnat to raise your tax base, bring in more business for God's sake. This town has had many chances to grow, but it chooses to stay as is. This is why were in the current situation, no tax base to support the needs of the community and the only way you see increasing it is through those already strapped with the burden.	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
89	Too bad the quarry wasn't developed.	Jan 9, 2012 7:16 PM
90	see #1 above	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
91	Everyone should participate in tax not just homeowners	Jan 8, 2012 11:23 PM
92	this will never pass and would cost city money	Jan 8, 2012 10:54 PM
93	I want to see this 1.2 million applied to police and fire... not some administration function	Jan 8, 2012 3:01 PM
94	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. raising property taxes is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
95	If possible a parcel tax that is a percentage of assessed value rather than a flat tax	Jan 7, 2012 11:14 AM
96	I agree with this increase in property taxes since values of homes have been declining and therefore our property tax revenue has declined proportionally. Can the additional parcel tax be limited in terms of the number of years? If so, set the first period for 3 or 4 years and have the voters extend it if needed.	Jan 7, 2012 10:17 AM
97	Parcel taxes do not have adequate oversight of use of funds. Strongly oppose this option.	Jan 7, 2012 10:00 AM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

98	I'm paying enough parcel taxes- DO NOT NEED MORE	Jan 6, 2012 4:24 PM
99	elections are too expensive to run and too hard to win	Jan 6, 2012 2:42 PM
100	Only if I can play tennis somewhere in Pacifica!!!	Jan 6, 2012 10:07 AM
101	Stop the thought of only taxing us to fix the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
102	the school districts are asking for more money with the parcel taxes. People may respond better to other means of generating revenue than an additional parcel tax.	Jan 4, 2012 8:25 PM
103	Oppose this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
104	Run legislation that allows for majority approval of parcel tax. It's been done for other such tax proposals elsewhere.	Jan 4, 2012 10:31 AM
105	Great for the unemployed - NOT!!! Some of us are struggling to keep our homes!!!!	Jan 3, 2012 9:50 PM
106	We already have too many property taxes, and people who don't even own property or are exempt from paying get to vote!	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
107	Absolutely NOT! Pacifica homeowners are not a golden goose. Taxing homeowners further will only damage the market here further.	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
108	I'm willing to pay to support education. And we have several parcels.	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
109	Homeowners have been hit already too hard in this recession. Stop using them as cash machines.	Jan 3, 2012 12:56 PM
110	WE ARE MAXED OUT ON THE PARCEL TAXES	Jan 3, 2012 12:44 PM
111	do this before cutting things	Jan 3, 2012 12:24 PM
112	Evaluate Prop 13 SPECIFIC TO RENTAL PROPERTIES! That is the MAIN property tax issue here, rental houses are "income" and should be assessed and taxed accordingly.	Jan 3, 2012 11:14 AM
113	You have to be kidding. It wouldn't pass in a billion years.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
114	picking off the people again and the poor will have to suffer for it	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
115	Don't we already have a parcel Tax?	Jan 2, 2012 10:57 PM
116	It'll never pass	Jan 2, 2012 7:05 PM
117	Vehemently oppose this much of a parcel tax if all city services are being outsourced.	Jan 2, 2012 5:59 PM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

118	Would this have to be a special election? If so that would COST the City. If included in a general election - OK	Jan 2, 2012 12:11 PM
119	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
120	This seems reasonable, and there are many folks in this town who pay less than their fair share due to Prop 13. This would help to level the playing field by requiring more people to pay their fair share.	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
121	Read my lips no new taxes. Cut Taxes!	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
122	Amount Excessive	Jan 2, 2012 12:57 AM
123	No more taxes. This problem is a tax revenue issue, because the tree huggers are in charge.	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
124	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
125	This is a sneaky ripoff of property and business owners.	Jan 1, 2012 7:24 PM
126	Leave parcel taxes for the schools. They have had success. The City trying to get into this area jeopardizes the schools.	Jan 1, 2012 6:22 PM
127	The people of the City are being nickel and dimed through Property Taxes. Let's build an infrastructure that keeps money in town by shopping. In the 1960's I lived in San Francisco, I came here to Pacifica to buy my car and have a nice test drive on the coast. Can't do that anymore, now my money and sales tax goes to Colma or Burlingame. I use to drive down for the nice little Seavue Theater. Can't do that anymore. Instead of improving my choices, they have all gone away to other Towns and Cities, so over the hill I go, polluting all the way as I waste gas stopping and starting on Hwy 1 and all the lights that keep me here to find nothing.	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
128	We have already supported 2 of these in the last few years -- enough is enough.	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
129	Parcel tax should be based on value of property, not a fixed amount	Jan 1, 2012 4:56 PM
130	STOP ASKING HOMEOWNERS TO BAIL YOU OUT.	Jan 1, 2012 10:16 AM
131	Any tax needs to be fairly paid by all not on the backs again of homeowners. More of this and I will leave!	Jan 1, 2012 9:03 AM
132	No - people are losing their houses, there have already been a few of these in the last few years.	Dec 31, 2011 10:19 PM
133	Only for specific budgetary items	Dec 31, 2011 10:17 PM
134	voters wouldn't approve. not now!	Dec 31, 2011 5:54 PM
135	Wouldn't vote for it til I see deeper cuts in areas I think need to be cut, ie, PD,	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM

Page 3, Q18. Parcel Tax: The City of Pacifica could propose to the voters a parcel tax similar to the one enacted in the Pacifica School District. At \$118 per parcel per year the tax would generate \$1.2 million annually.

Pro: Generate \$1.2 annually for the life of the parcel tax

Con: Requires a vote of ...

libraries, consolidation/outsourcing, real cuts to benefits and pay.

- | | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 136 | NO. This will make it hard for the school districts to continue or add parcel taxes to raise revenue. They have no other options. | Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM |
| 137 | parcel tax only if linked to actual economic development | Dec 31, 2011 12:36 PM |
| 138 | Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police | Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM |

Page 3, Q19. Increase revenue from rental properties (City owned) - The City receives rent from a variety of non-profit entities for facilities like the Sanchez Art Center, the Pacifica Pier and cell towers. Rents vary from seven cents per square foot to a flat monthly rent of \$1,107 depending on the facility...

1	Ask the County for money!	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	Example - the artists at Sanchez Art Center make tremendous profits from their shows. It is time they pay a reasonable rent - now it is ridiculous how little they pay!!	Jan 24, 2012 1:34 PM
3	May be a burden on most non-profits	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
4	Non-profits and individuals depend on our public facilities as gathering spots, for special events. These gatherings and special events are part of the life-blood of our community, what makes Pacifica special. Don't squeeze out any more of our life-blood!	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
5	1.00 per sq. ft	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
6	this is awful	Jan 23, 2012 5:27 PM
7	exempt non-profits	Jan 23, 2012 5:08 PM
8	Definitely! No more subsidies/ check subleases	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
9	Sure, let's drive small business from the city.	Jan 23, 2012 2:53 PM
10	sell properties	Jan 23, 2012 2:44 PM
11	recall	Jan 23, 2012 2:35 PM
12	Art Center: No increase! Cell towers - absolutely, yes. Bring art and music and a city flourishes. Increase funding for the Sanchez Art Center.	Jan 21, 2012 1:34 PM
13	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
14	How much of an increase? How much revenue?	Jan 21, 2012 12:25 PM
15	Again, it always seems to be the citizens and taxes that bail out Pacifica. Our salaries are not increasing, so how can we continue to hand out our money to Pacifica. I am tired of continuing to be asked for money!	Jan 20, 2012 11:21 PM
16	Need more information, not too crazy about more cell towers.	Jan 20, 2012 11:16 PM
17	I support this for cell tower rent, NOT on the Pier or the Sanchez Art Center	Jan 20, 2012 8:33 PM
18	Specifically, how much \$ is each rental property currently generating?	Jan 20, 2012 8:12 PM
19	I could see cell towers. However, trying to renegotiate the terms with a long-term cell tower company will cost well beyond any increased revenue you may generate. Taxing non-profits for the pier and Sanchez? Come on now.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
20	Hate to see non-profits hit with increases.	Jan 20, 2012 4:45 PM
21	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM

Page 3, Q19. Increase revenue from rental properties (City owned) - The City receives rent from a variety of non-profit entities for facilities like the Sanchez Art Center, the Pacifica Pier and cell towers. Rents vary from seven cents per square foot to a flat monthly rent of \$1,107 depending on the facilit...

22	Non-profits are hurting. Let's not drive them out of Pacifica too.	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM
23	Consider raising rent for all, but on a sliding scale based on revenue and whether 501c or profit earning.	Jan 20, 2012 12:34 PM
24	Too much of a burden on the non-profits.	Jan 20, 2012 11:39 AM
25	A distinction should be made between non-profits like the Sanchez Art Center and things like cell phone towers which generate profits for commercial businesses.	Jan 20, 2012 10:57 AM
26	Increase the rent on profit-generating entities, such as cell towers, not non-profits.	Jan 20, 2012 9:03 AM
27	For Profits yes, non-profits no	Jan 19, 2012 7:41 PM
28	NOT opposed to renegotiating unsustainable contracts.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
29	Insignificant benefit! Huge disadvantage!	Jan 19, 2012 4:38 PM
30	Re-negotiate with the large companies (cell towers)	Jan 19, 2012 4:00 PM
31	need more information, on the status of each of these what would the effects of this option have on each of these facilities	Jan 19, 2012 1:53 PM
32	Is this legal?	Jan 19, 2012 11:51 AM
33	Not for nonprofits.	Jan 19, 2012 11:44 AM
34	Definitely worth investigation, particularly for commercial entities like cell towers.	Jan 19, 2012 10:52 AM
35	This is a set up for bias - who decides how much and which rents to raise. It may be workable but is too vague as stated here.	Jan 19, 2012 10:45 AM
36	Charge for usage	Jan 19, 2012 10:21 AM
37	Need to distinguish between an increase on rents for non-profits and for-profit businesses. The City should investigate increasing rents on for-profits businesses on a square foot basis.	Jan 19, 2012 10:20 AM
38	Are not these (cell towers) for profit? They should pay more.	Jan 19, 2012 9:51 AM
39	Would need to consider each venue.	Jan 18, 2012 9:38 PM
40	This is a horrible question. Yes increase the cell towers but don't increase the non-profit.	Jan 18, 2012 7:24 PM
41	increase on cell towers	Jan 18, 2012 12:39 PM
42	Leases should have a common format.	Jan 18, 2012 11:21 AM
43	depends on the facility	Jan 17, 2012 9:45 PM

Page 3, Q19. Increase revenue from rental properties (City owned) - The City receives rent from a variety of non-profit entities for facilities like the Sanchez Art Center, the Pacifica Pier and cell towers. Rents vary from seven cents per square foot to a flat monthly rent of \$1,107 depending on the faciliit...

44	sell all property and stop renting out for nothing; or increase all rents to include utility, water, maintainance, etc.	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
45	Cell towers only	Jan 17, 2012 4:36 PM
46	increase rental rates from For-profit companies only	Jan 17, 2012 1:01 PM
47	Rental should be based on capacity to pay	Jan 16, 2012 4:21 PM
48	Non-profits need more appeals directly to the people for private funding.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM
49	I support this if non-profit organizations are exempted from an increase.	Jan 15, 2012 6:14 PM
50	Non-profit organizations and facilities should be exempted.	Jan 15, 2012 6:14 PM
51	Seven cents per square foot???? Are you kidding???	Jan 15, 2012 10:32 AM
52	raise rents on cell towers, not on non-profits	Jan 14, 2012 6:47 PM
53	This should be further evaluated and information provided to public as to how much additional revenue.	Jan 14, 2012 9:51 AM
54	Are cell towers nonprofit? Leases should be increased.	Jan 13, 2012 1:24 PM
55	rentals should be re-evaluated upon renewal.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
56	Inflation hits everyone, raising rents is common	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
57	Charge for utilities and increase rates to at least 50% of what the city pays per sq. ft. for rentals.	Jan 12, 2012 3:10 PM
58	It is harder to carhge more to organizations who are providing a service to the people of Pacifica	Jan 12, 2012 9:41 AM
59	Better to increase when the leases come due.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
60	cut high supervisory salaries . \$150K is not Ok for PAcifica	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
61	There is a typo in this question.	Jan 11, 2012 9:53 PM
62	New taxes on business will be passed on to the consumer and they will just go to lower-cost communities.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
63	If Sanchez art center is being run at a loss it should be sold or repurposed.	Jan 11, 2012 2:47 PM
64	learn to spell existing. Also, leases should be at market rate, and this would influence my opinion.	Jan 11, 2012 12:42 PM
65	irrelevant	Jan 10, 2012 11:18 PM
66	Leases when the expire? When was last rental increase?	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM

Page 3, Q19. Increase revenue from rental properties (City owned) - The City receives rent from a variety of non-profit entities for facilities like the Sanchez Art Center, the Pacifica Pier and cell towers. Rents vary from seven cents per square foot to a flat monthly rent of \$1,107 depending on the facilit...

67	I believe in and strongly support the arts. However, not at the cost of emergency services or support for our seniors. The non-profit organizations currently at City-owned facilities should bear just as much burden as the rest of us, and be charged a fair market value for rental of City-owned property.	Jan 10, 2012 7:23 PM
68	Sanchez hosts many events they should be able to pay more than seven center per sq. ft. The pier is always busy - many out of town people fishing on the pier. Perhaps charge a dailay usage fee for the maintenance and privlidge of using the pier.for	Jan 10, 2012 6:53 PM
69	Sanchez has become a "squat" for "arteests" who live there full time in violation of the health codes. No oversight, and run like a fiefdom .	Jan 10, 2012 6:07 PM
70	Do we collect less in rent than we pay out for utilities at Sanchex Center?	Jan 10, 2012 2:51 PM
71	When was rent last increased? If it's been several years I support a low increase.	Jan 10, 2012 2:37 PM
72	If we do not collect this rent but try a higher price we may end up with many properties vacant which would be considerably worse.	Jan 10, 2012 9:41 AM
73	do not support cutting other services if these outside groups are not paying a fair amount	Jan 9, 2012 9:32 PM
74	I support, but again for some of the businesses, they may need to look else where since people are not coming to our town to spend thier money.	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
75	It is not enough revenue. Too bad the quarry wasn't developed.	Jan 9, 2012 7:16 PM
76	You need to charge A LOT more rent there \$1500 per month is appropriate	Jan 9, 2012 2:26 PM
77	these groups should pay a fair share - BEFORE you consider eliminating other City Services - especially those businesses that are NOT non-profits.	Jan 9, 2012 12:56 PM
78	Raise taxes	Jan 9, 2012 11:52 AM
79	insufficient info given in question	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
80	Parity is at issue here, more than an undefined increased.	Jan 8, 2012 11:19 AM
81	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing such fees is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
82	City should get fair market rent.	Jan 7, 2012 10:15 AM
83	Strongly support raising all rentals to market rates, particularly in the case of the Sanchez Art Center.	Jan 7, 2012 10:00 AM
84	need more info	Jan 5, 2012 1:31 PM
85	Stop taxing us to solve the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
86	as long as the increase is reasonable and not cost prohibitive to these	Jan 4, 2012 8:25 PM

Page 3, Q19. Increase revenue from rental properties (City owned) - The City receives rent from a variety of non-profit entities for facilities like the Sanchez Art Center, the Pacifica Pier and cell towers. Rents vary from seven cents per square foot to a flat monthly rent of \$1,107 depending on the facilit...

	organizations	
87	support this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
88	create exemption for non-profits	Jan 4, 2012 3:08 PM
89	I'd like to know when of if the last increase was and how much this one would be.	Jan 3, 2012 11:45 PM
90	The City should NOT be subsidizing these groups or subsidize the non-profits less- and should NOT subsidize ANY "for-profits"	Jan 3, 2012 6:34 PM
91	Only renegotiate with those with deep pockets such as those telecommunication companies leasing towers. Oppose for non-profits or small businesses. Must balance negative effects - eg driving cafe off the pier or causing Sanchez art center to close which would detract from tourism, and decrease quality of life for Pacificans.	Jan 3, 2012 3:47 PM
92	Need more info. 'Could' generate more revenue to ambiguous	Jan 3, 2012 3:05 PM
93	No! Businesses will close, and we can't afford that!	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
94	There has never been a tax increase on rental propert which has not been passed through to tenants, so bad idea!	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
95	Renegotiate cell towers, not Sanchez.	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
96	This idea offers interesting positive possibilities. The city should do some marketing in order to get more business with for-profit companies and I would definitely protect non-profits from this increase in any case!	Jan 3, 2012 12:56 PM
97	I think in this climate that could put some nonprofits out of business entirely, but rents to for-profit entities should be reconsidered.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
98	change this and reword it to elimiate the non rofit arginazations	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
99	I want to know who's paying 7 cents a sq ft. That needs to be changed.	Jan 2, 2012 10:57 PM
100	Cell towers should be renegotiated as the contracts come due, but not before good faith terms have been fulfilled. Cell companies aren't exactly consumer friendly these days....	Jan 2, 2012 7:05 PM
101	follow market price	Jan 2, 2012 6:52 PM
102	make better use of unused properties such as old sewage treatment plant/council chambers, etc	Jan 2, 2012 11:05 AM
103	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
104	Could the City do this without impacting non-profits? Could they be given an exemption?	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM

Page 3, Q19. Increase revenue from rental properties (City owned) - The City receives rent from a variety of non-profit entities for facilities like the Sanchez Art Center, the Pacifica Pier and cell towers. Rents vary from seven cents per square foot to a flat monthly rent of \$1,107 depending on the facility...

105	pay for use.	Jan 2, 2012 9:29 AM
106	If these non profits can't make mover over and let someone else in who can.	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
107	tax payer will foot the bill no!!!!!!	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
108	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
109	Renegotiate leases they are now getting a bargain for leasing in Pacifica	Jan 1, 2012 7:24 PM
110	Not for non-profits serving youth	Jan 1, 2012 6:22 PM
111	YES YES YES. 7cents per square foot??? What year was that last looked at.	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
112	make out of town sanchez arlits pay more	Jan 1, 2012 5:31 PM
113	support for big business (cell towers) and oppose for non-profits	Jan 1, 2012 4:28 PM
114	The corp yard is on the best commercial real estate in town. This could be a great locatio for an "in and out burger"!	Jan 1, 2012 12:18 PM
115	GET REAL. RENTS ARE INCREASING.	Jan 1, 2012 10:16 AM
116	Yes to cell towers (they are owned by for- profit businesses) and no on Sanchez and Pier - both of these places bring visitors in to Pacifica.	Jan 1, 2012 4:30 AM
117	increase their rent to current commerical rates	Dec 31, 2011 9:55 PM
118	We must be careful not to tax business out of Pacifica.	Dec 31, 2011 4:47 PM
119	Charge a market rate	Dec 31, 2011 3:17 PM
120	Everything goes up. Raise and Standardize these rents (and utilities!) asap.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
121	YES. Lease prices should be market value of what other profit and non-profit leases are in North San Mateo County and Coastside.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
122	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM
123	charge cell towers as they are useless. don't raise for Sanchez	Dec 31, 2011 11:32 AM
124	How much are you thinking of what the raise should be?	Dec 31, 2011 11:30 AM

Page 3, Q20. Increase of Fireworks Tax/Surcharge -- The City currently receives a surcharge of 8% on the sale of all fireworks in the permitted booths during the 4th of July. The surcharge generates \$30,000 annually to cover the cost of public safety. An additional 6% would generate an additional \$23,400 ann...

1	Fireworks should be outlawed in Pacifica. They are dangerous and a complete waste and forget the excuse that the people want them. The City Council enacts other measures without resident approval, ie Recology - we did not ask or want if the Council brought them in regardless!!!	Jan 24, 2012 1:34 PM
2	Surcharge should be 25% - push sin taxes!	Jan 24, 2012 1:11 PM
3	Leave it alone or your citizens may just ask for a complete ban on the sale of fireworks	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
4	Family reasoning - people may buy fewer fireworks!!	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
5	Fire works should not be sold in town.	Jan 24, 2012 1:51 AM
6	eliminate selling fireworks may keep some of the illegal fireworks out of the City.	Jan 24, 2012 12:47 AM
7	I think fireworks are not vital other cities don't have them	Jan 24, 2012 12:37 AM
8	the fireworks industry wants to be legal - they need to pay for the priviledge	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
9	the city should ban all fireworks like the other smart cities do!	Jan 24, 2012 12:08 AM
10	get rid of fireworks not needed	Jan 23, 2012 10:37 PM
11	Stop selling Fireworks	Jan 23, 2012 10:08 PM
12	Fireworks cause nothing but pollution and danger. Charge more for this is okay.	Jan 23, 2012 5:27 PM
13	I love the 4th & fireworks and I buy fireworks. I'd pay the extra tax to help out Pacifica, I just might not buy as mush [sic] fireworks.	Jan 23, 2012 5:02 PM
14	ELIMINATE FIREWORKS IN PACIFICA	Jan 23, 2012 4:40 PM
15	NOT IN FAVOR OF (ANY) FIREWORKS	Jan 23, 2012 4:34 PM
16	Makes additional percentages less = 3%	Jan 23, 2012 4:28 PM
17	and then ban them from Pacifica - run them out of town!	Jan 23, 2012 4:12 PM
18	Self supporting	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
19	Get rid of the fireworks	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
20	Do away with fireworks.	Jan 23, 2012 3:16 PM
21	Eliminate fireworks sales completely. Huge savings from emergency room visits over time for Police and Fire also less property destruction.	Jan 23, 2012 3:10 PM
22	or do w/out fireworks!	Jan 23, 2012 2:59 PM
23	Get rid of the damn things!	Jan 23, 2012 2:53 PM

Page 3, Q20. Increase of Fireworks Tax/Surcharge – The City currently receives a surcharge of 8% on the sale of all fireworks in the permitted booths during the 4th of July. The surcharge generates \$30,000 annually to cover the cost of public safety. An additional 6% would generate an additional \$23,400 ann...

24	Fireworks should not be permitted in Pacifica.	Jan 23, 2012 2:35 PM
25	ELIMINATE FIREWORKS SALE COMPLETELY	Jan 23, 2012 1:12 PM
26	Should stop selling fireworks	Jan 23, 2012 11:27 AM
27	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
28	Non-profits usually run the fireworks booth. To charge them more would put them out of business. The profits they receive is minimum.	Jan 20, 2012 11:16 PM
29	Tax firework sales to the MAXIMUM \$\$	Jan 20, 2012 8:12 PM
30	I like fireworks, but the amount the City spends on police and the additional staffing should be covered. HOWEVER, has the city ever thought of making he 4th and "event" and opening the beach up to hot dogs, and beer, and alike and charging the booth holders? WOW, that would generate visitors, revenue, and some tax base.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
31	Consider eliminating fireworks to save money on police, fire, and city employees management of 4th of July aftermath	Jan 20, 2012 5:53 PM
32	Another 6% would be way too much since so many question the high tax when buying. This might just decrease fundraising monies to the non-profits	Jan 20, 2012 5:01 PM
33	yeah, do it. Costs the police lots to run around trying to control save-and-sanel	Jan 20, 2012 4:45 PM
34	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
35	All fireworks should be illegal in the City of Pacifica - \$30,000 does not cover the cost of public safety, personal injury and damage to public and private property caused by misuse or accidents caused by fireworks. Other 4th of July celebration sources of revenue should be considered such as community parades and festivals and professional firework displays at safe venues	Jan 20, 2012 2:19 PM
36	Who comes up with these numbers? People already complain about the surcharge. Adding 6% more is just going to keep people from buying, and this will hurt us more.	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM
37	I think fireworks should be eliminated in this town.	Jan 20, 2012 11:39 AM
38	Stop selling fireworks	Jan 19, 2012 8:06 PM
39	Eliminate fireworks	Jan 19, 2012 6:53 PM
40	God Bless America!	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
41	This is a stupid program but if the city has it, might as well make as much as possible	Jan 19, 2012 5:48 PM
42	Increase it more than (an additional) 6%	Jan 19, 2012 4:27 PM

Page 3, Q20. Increase of Fireworks Tax/Surcharge – The City currently receives a surcharge of 8% on the sale of all fireworks in the permitted booths during the 4th of July. The surcharge generates \$30,000 annually to cover the cost of public safety. An additional 6% would generate an additional \$23,400 ann...

43	taxes will not pass	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
44	this is how the non-profits make money for there clubs . should not take from them	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
45	Really- I hate the fireworks- so we could even eliminate sale of fireworks)	Jan 19, 2012 10:52 AM
46	NO MORE TAXES	Jan 19, 2012 10:21 AM
47	What is City expense of \$52,000? Fireworks should be prohibited altogether in Pacifica thereby eliminating the cost of enforcement, public safety related issues and clean-up costs. Make all fireworks illegal in Pacifica and increase fines for violations.	Jan 19, 2012 10:20 AM
48	In addition, we could save \$ by eliminating city fireworks! It is cold & foggy often.	Jan 19, 2012 9:51 AM
49	Outlaw fireworks	Jan 19, 2012 8:10 AM
50	Fireworks sale and use should be eliminated then there would be no need to offset costs. I have to believe there is more cost to the City than is being estimated (e.g. trash cleanup, damage to vehicles from fireworks, cost to residents to leave town or sedate pets, cost for extra police patrols that cannot effectively distinguish between legal and illegal firework use user field conditions)	Jan 18, 2012 11:56 PM
51	Given the scope of the problem strangling nonprofits seems counterproductive in this economic climate. we should have the courage to speak out the truth	Jan 18, 2012 9:38 PM
52	Do not raise taxes they are already too high	Jan 18, 2012 8:34 PM
53	Get rid of the fireworks!!!	Jan 18, 2012 8:16 PM
54	What the hell ask for 50% that way we need less police monitoring the streets because there won't be any fireworks sales in Pacifica	Jan 18, 2012 7:24 PM
55	And let 'em shoot 'em off at the beach to avoid fires.	Jan 18, 2012 4:46 PM
56	Eliminate the sale of fireworks and have \$52,000 to aid non-profits.	Jan 18, 2012 4:32 PM
57	Or else completely outlaw fireworks...which I prefer.	Jan 18, 2012 1:41 PM
58	rather do away with fireworks altogether	Jan 18, 2012 10:40 AM
59	ALL FIREWORKS SHOULD BE BANNED FOR PUBLIC SAFETY REASONS, ENVIRONMENTAL REASONS, & NUISANCEBUT YOU WON'T DOIT BECAUSE YOU DO NOT KNOW HOW TO GENERATE REVENUE BUT RAISE TAXES!	Jan 18, 2012 9:26 AM
60	Do away with fireworks in Pacifica	Jan 17, 2012 10:57 PM
61	ELIMINATE ALL FIREWORK SALES IN PACIFICA! Make ALL fireworks illegal.	Jan 17, 2012 8:16 PM
62	the fireworks booths are only one week for non profits.	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM

Page 3, Q20. Increase of Fireworks Tax/Surcharge – The City currently receives a surcharge of 8% on the sale of all fireworks in the permitted booths during the 4th of July. The surcharge generates \$30,000 annually to cover the cost of public safety. An additional 6% would generate an additional \$23,400 ann...

63	Eliminate fireworks sales totally	Jan 17, 2012 3:06 PM
64	Increase Fireworks Tax/Surcharge by additional 25%	Jan 16, 2012 1:19 PM
65	How much does it cost Pacifica to allow fireworks? Increase fireworks charge to 20% for two benefits, fewer fireworks and more taxes.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM
66	Yes! lots of outsiders will pay this tax	Jan 16, 2012 9:47 AM
67	Eliminate the sales of fireworks to reduce then need for so many hours of public safety on the 4th of July. There are only two cities in San Mateo County that allow fireworks and it is time that Pacifica stops the use of fireworks. The non-profit groups can come up with other ways to raise money. I would like to see it publized how much money would be saved in the city for that one night.	Jan 15, 2012 12:04 PM
68	GET RID of the 7-4 sales!! Enormous environmental costs: the debris is washed into the ocean at Linda Mar. It is unconscienable that the city encourages this environmental devastation by trying to profit from it.	Jan 14, 2012 10:50 PM
69	If sale of firework are not discontinued then they should fully offset City total expenses.	Jan 14, 2012 9:51 AM
70	no fireworks	Jan 13, 2012 1:41 PM
71	Pacifica should not sell fireworks.	Jan 13, 2012 1:24 PM
72	I would prefer we eliminate use of fireworks in Pacifica. However, if the community wishes to continue with them then by all means taxes should be INCREASED significantly!	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
73	eliminate fireworks entirely - it is dangerous & costs the city too much	Jan 13, 2012 9:33 AM
74	fireworks are a luxury, this won't hurt the needy	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
75	Would strongly oppose if the result is the elimination of fireworks sales.	Jan 12, 2012 8:48 PM
76	Since we don't seem to be able to ban fireworks, we might just as well get as much revenue as we can.	Jan 12, 2012 7:51 PM
77	The sale of (legal) fireworks should be completely banned in Pacifica! Animal Cruelty!	Jan 12, 2012 2:44 PM
78	They need to pay for themselves.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
79	tax the public that wants to blow up\$\$\$\$	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
80	Eliminate Fireworks in the city and save the public safety costs. Raise funds differently for those organizations participating.	Jan 11, 2012 10:58 PM
81	Non-profit groups have a hard enough time raising money now.	Jan 11, 2012 9:09 PM
82	The surcharge of Permit fee for the fireworks stands should cover 80% of the	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM

Page 3, Q20. Increase of Fireworks Tax/Surcharge -- The City currently receives a surcharge of 8% on the sale of all fireworks in the permitted booths during the 4th of July. The surcharge generates \$30,000 annually to cover the cost of public safety. An additional 6% would generate an additional \$23,400 ann...

City expense of 4th of July Sales. Without the stands, far fewer people could come to Pacifica. But, maybe they buy gas and lunch when they get here...

83	If we can't have this for NON-PROFIT organizations at the high surcharge it already entails, then eliminate it altogether. Fireworks are dangerous anyway.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
84	I hate the fireworks, tax the hell out of them to offset the pain they cause residents	Jan 11, 2012 6:20 PM
85	get rid of the fireworks -Ban Fireworks !!	Jan 11, 2012 5:49 PM
86	what about increasing fines for illegal fireworks as well?	Jan 11, 2012 5:07 PM
87	prefer we didn't even allow sale of fireworks	Jan 11, 2012 2:28 PM
88	stop selling altogether	Jan 11, 2012 11:41 AM
89	In fact, I believe the tax should be raised an additional 10 percent, which should increase the amount even further.	Jan 10, 2012 7:23 PM
90	Fire and police might be better able to enforce illgal fireworks if we raise fees	Jan 10, 2012 6:07 PM
91	I already think it is too high.	Jan 10, 2012 2:32 PM
92	Should not allow fireworks	Jan 10, 2012 11:16 AM
93	Reduces the revunue for non-profits, doesn't make sense	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
94	Better to eliminate fireworks altogether. NFP groups can find other revenue. Need for public safety policing would decrease over a couple of years.	Jan 9, 2012 6:00 PM
95	bite the bullet and eliminate fireworks sale - learn to say NO	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
96	Take action to eliminate the sale of fireworks totally. Enforcement is already a horrific issue, even if the fireworks vendors pay for it.	Jan 8, 2012 2:02 PM
97	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. Increasing such fees is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
98	we need to grow up and eliminate firework sales...lets sell red, white and blue pies instead....	Jan 6, 2012 5:32 PM
99	eliminate fireworks	Jan 6, 2012 3:56 PM
100	If fireworks cost Pacifica overall, then they should be banned	Jan 6, 2012 2:42 PM
101	Stop selling fireworks	Jan 6, 2012 8:02 AM
102	Would rather see fireworks sale eliminated entirely	Jan 5, 2012 1:55 PM
103	they can approach but you don't have to give it	Jan 5, 2012 1:31 PM
104	Stop taxing us to solve the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM

Page 3, Q20. Increase of Fireworks Tax/Surcharge – The City currently receives a surcharge of 8% on the sale of all fireworks in the permitted booths during the 4th of July. The surcharge generates \$30,000 annually to cover the cost of public safety. An additional 6% would generate an additional \$23,400 ann...

105	End fireworks totally. Magnet for troublemakers.	Jan 4, 2012 10:36 PM
106	support this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
107	Please do not sell nor all the use of fireworks in Pacifica or anywhere in San Mateo Co.	Jan 4, 2012 8:11 PM
108	I am mortified and oppose the Sale of Fireworks in Pacifica! It has to end! I can not even leave my home on 4th of july or New years. Please Ban these sales and start tightening up on Fireworks being used on a weekly basis!	Jan 4, 2012 7:40 PM
109	the city of Pacifica should not allow the sale of fireworks.	Jan 4, 2012 2:34 PM
110	How much does policing and cleaning up after teh 4th cost the City??	Jan 4, 2012 10:51 AM
111	eliminate fireworks	Jan 4, 2012 8:28 AM
112	If you make legal fireworks too expensive, you'll increase the use of illegal fireworks, which this City already has a problem controlling. This will increase police costs. I don't think this will save money in the long run.	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
113	People love fireworks, so tax them a little more but don't eliminate. Less fireworks is not a bad thing during global warming.	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
114	FIREWORKS SHOULD BE ILLEGAL	Jan 3, 2012 12:44 PM
115	Fireworks should be illegal!!!! *****	Jan 3, 2012 12:24 PM
116	Yes - Please tax these annoying fireworks; Somehow people seem to have hundreds of \$ to spend on this all over my neighborhood all night long!!	Jan 3, 2012 9:49 AM
117	I think this is a good place to raise revenue.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
118	Fireworks should not be allowed in Pacifica, period. We're the only idiots in San Mateo who can't seem to understand that.	Jan 2, 2012 7:21 PM
119	No brained. This luxury item will be paid for despite the small increase.	Jan 2, 2012 7:05 PM
120	get rid of fireworks	Jan 2, 2012 10:48 AM
121	Fireworks sales/legality bring people to Pacifica which supports other local business. Please avoid impacts here.	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
122	fireworks should be ILLEGAL totally in Pacifica	Jan 2, 2012 9:06 AM
123	Please make cuts. No New Taxes!	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
124	people of Pacifica will foot this bill again. NO!	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
125	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
126	Better yet eliminate the sales of fireworks!	Jan 1, 2012 7:24 PM

Page 3, Q20. Increase of Fireworks Tax/Surcharge -- The City currently receives a surcharge of 8% on the sale of all fireworks in the permitted booths during the 4th of July. The surcharge generates \$30,000 annually to cover the cost of public safety. An additional 6% would generate an additional \$23,400 ann...

127	Pay to play -- if folks want fireworks, they need to cover the cost to the city not covered by fines	Jan 1, 2012 6:22 PM
128	Either get rid of the fireworks, they are polluting and cause illegal fireworks to come here along with undesirable elements selling them and lighting them and it is a high cost to our Police department. They should be giving you 25% of their sales, because they would not be raising this money in another city. Also, it wastes our Fire Departments recources because of bottle rockets and unsafe pratices. Drive down Cape Breton, where the majority of residents have a block party and a 70 year old man brings out his garbage cans to light off cherry bombs and M80's. Nice neighborly fun with little kids running around with all the adults nice and drunk. Glad I don't have to visit there anymore. (zero tolerance is ignored)	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
129	Double the rate. If our community is going to be unlivable for a day (or more) let's get something out of it.	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
130	Tax should be a full 20% if fireworks continue to be sold. Eliminating fireworks sales would remove the need for extra police on every block	Jan 1, 2012 4:56 PM
131	Tax more! It is amazing what people will dish out for entertainment	Jan 1, 2012 12:59 PM
132	By all means, if you must sell this item in town make people pay for it	Jan 1, 2012 11:40 AM
133	Double thios at least!	Jan 1, 2012 9:03 AM
134	Up the City's surcharge to 25%.	Dec 31, 2011 10:19 PM
135	raise it much higher, closer to 50% we are one of few communities selling them	Dec 31, 2011 9:55 PM
136	How about banning them!	Dec 31, 2011 3:17 PM
137	Make fireworks illegal in Pacifica and we do not have to pay the extra "staffing" of public safety on the 4th of July	Dec 31, 2011 2:50 PM
138	Fireworks is a billion dollar industry in the US. The non-profits can work out a better deal with TNT or whomever or they make less money. The city should not make up the difference for something many see as a public nuisance.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
139	YES. Users should pay to cover the extra police/fire/ambulance/hospital services the fireworks incurr. It is only fair.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
140	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM
141	triple the surcharge	Dec 31, 2011 11:54 AM
142	I 100% support elimination of Fireworks in Pacifica.	Dec 31, 2011 9:48 AM

Page 3, Q21. Update Building Permit Fees (Planning Department). The majority of the City's building permit fees have not been modified, even to keep up with inflation, since the 1990's. If these fees were reset to be comparable to other cities of similar size, Pacifica could expect an average increase in bui...

1	No many of us fell that fees are already sufficient for services rendered.	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
2	Who pays for the study?	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
3	With in house inspectors	Jan 24, 2012 1:03 AM
4	No more formal studies costing us thousands	Jan 24, 2012 12:47 AM
5	pay for what you get - make it clear. Hardship clause to protect quality of sites	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
6	People can hardle build in this City now.	Jan 23, 2012 5:27 PM
7	HIGHER RAISES FOR SPEC. BUILDERS	Jan 23, 2012 5:08 PM
8	Formal fee study will cost \$33,000 - no savings	Jan 23, 2012 4:29 PM
9	Fees are too high NOW	Jan 23, 2012 4:24 PM
10	Allow/encourage more development permits	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
11	the tax would be passed on to consumers	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
12	Make permit application process less of a red tape nightmare.	Jan 23, 2012 3:10 PM
13	Give this to County	Jan 23, 2012 2:53 PM
14	MORE STUDIES - NO! HOW ABOUT MARKET VALUE BASED FEE?!	Jan 23, 2012 1:12 PM
15	Businesses already can't make it in this town. We need big businesses in the Quarry! Really big! (Too bad we didn't get it right back then)	Jan 23, 2012 12:53 PM
16	These fees are not low! They need to stay in relation to the work/improvements done. I spent \$900 on a permit for a \$4,000 kitchen update!! If permit fees increase, residents will skip the permit. Infact, permits also dissuage homeowners from improving their homes. Despite rebate incentives, I know mnay woh are not spending \$ on solar because of the permits required! Suggestion: Eliminate all permits except for sqft modification/building envelope modification.	Jan 21, 2012 1:34 PM
17	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
18	make sure our fees were comparable to other cities not like our higher garbage rates.	Jan 20, 2012 5:01 PM
19	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
20	Pacifica already chases builders away	Jan 20, 2012 2:46 PM
21	Yes to commercial..no to residential	Jan 20, 2012 11:00 AM
22	Studies always cost more than added revenues	Jan 19, 2012 8:20 PM

Page 3, Q21. Update Building Permit Fees (Planning Department). The majority of the City's building permit fees have not been modified, even to keep up with inflation, since the 1990's. If these fees were reset to be comparable to other cities of similar size, Pacifica could expect an average increase in bui...

23	We have no real In-Lieu parking fees for applicants thus results in variances and no financial offset for the deficiency to the City. Include a real fee for parking variances City wide	Jan 19, 2012 5:10 PM
24	Update or continue to worsen deficit [sic]	Jan 19, 2012 4:38 PM
25	taxes will not pass [sic]	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
26	should look at other cities our size and see what there fees are	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
27	Do not raise taxes they are already too high	Jan 18, 2012 8:34 PM
28	We are one of the highest in the Bay Area. This is not an area to explore. Reduce the salaries of engineering, planning etc	Jan 18, 2012 4:49 PM
29	your looking a increasing for peanuts stop trying to drive people out of pacifica.	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
30	Need to streamline the planning process. Pacifica has a bad reputation for dragging the planning process out resulting in increased costs to the builder.	Jan 16, 2012 8:50 PM
31	Recruit community volunteers with the skill to conduct the study. I am sure that there are Pacifica residents with the necessary skills to conduct such a study.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM
32	We should entice people to improve their homes not penalize them. The trickledown effect of increased jobs and property values far outweigh the increase in fees. You are not factoring in how many people will simply not pull a permit because of the increased fees. The customer service and application process must drastically improve before you can charge higher fees.	Jan 15, 2012 10:32 AM
33	Fees charged should cover actual permit/inspection costs to the city. The purpose of permit fees is to ensure that buildings are maintained and kept up to standards, and inspection needs to ensure these standards are met.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
34	if you stop with the parcel taxes, this would be fair	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
35	Would support if other measures to encourage building and development are enacted.	Jan 12, 2012 8:48 PM
36	And how about enforcing and increasing fines for illegal units? The city has at least a 25% additional housing unit economy that is sucking up services and not paying their fair share	Jan 12, 2012 9:41 AM
37	The city should consider updating and increasing all fees.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
38	Depends on the cost of the study - may not net any funds	Jan 11, 2012 10:28 PM
39	Why didn't this get done every 5 years at least. Why are our Building Permits a bargain? Our inspectors expect to be paid market rates.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
40	Increase them reasonably, not just because other cities charge more. It should be done over time.	Jan 11, 2012 8:11 PM

Page 3, Q21. Update Building Permit Fees (Planning Department). The majority of the City's building permit fees have not been modified, even to keep up with inflation, since the 1990's. If these fees were reset to be comparable to other cities of similar size, Pacifica could expect an average increase in bui...

41	This only adds to the burden and red tape citizens must endure. It gives government a black eye.	Jan 11, 2012 2:47 PM
42	I just got another building permit, rates were much higher- was told there had been an fee increase- so I am wondering about the truth in the above question!	Jan 11, 2012 7:40 AM
43	as long as there was an increase in service	Jan 10, 2012 9:46 PM
44	It is ridiculous that the fees haven't been re-done since the 1990's.	Jan 10, 2012 9:08 PM
45	Who does the inspections? Could be combined with other jobs.	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM
46	Building permits are already outrageously expensive, making it prohibitive to perform extensive maintenance on property.	Jan 10, 2012 7:23 PM
47	Again, for the majority of this concept, this falls on the backs of those already living here in town	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
48	generally, permit applicants are not dealt with efficiently but are subject to the vagaries of a schizophrenic planning commission and/or council. It is unfortunate that the City hasn't done it's homework already in doing a formal fee study so the actual facts could be presented at this time.	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
49	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing such fees is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
50	exempt energy efficiency projects from increased fees	Jan 7, 2012 11:14 AM
51	Would the panning department then make the process a little easier for our builders?	Jan 7, 2012 8:25 AM
52	how much is the formal fee study?	Jan 5, 2012 1:31 PM
53	Stop taxing us to solve the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
54	Oppose this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
55	Law says your fees already have to reflect the actual cost of providing services. So you're saying Pacifica doesn't comply with the law? Frankly, the last thing you need is to increase the cost of doing business or residing in Pacifica. Of course, NIMBYs will think this is a swell idea.	Jan 4, 2012 10:31 AM
56	I think fixing up homes in Pacifica should be encouraged. Too many look in bad shape.	Jan 3, 2012 11:45 PM
57	Leave residents alone....target big money business.	Jan 3, 2012 9:50 PM
58	Fees are already ridiculously high and subjectively set.Having to pay several hundred dollars in fees to replace a door or replace a tiny 8x10' section of roof is already unfair! Cost of fees for small remodel already exceeds cost of remodel itself. Raising fees further would discourage remodeling and replenishment of Pacifica building stock. Refurbishment of buildings should be encouraged, not discouraged with higher fees.	Jan 3, 2012 3:47 PM

Page 3, Q21. Update Building Permit Fees (Planning Department). The majority of the City's building permit fees have not been modified, even to keep up with inflation, since the 1990's. If these fees were reset to be comparable to other cities of similar size, Pacifica could expect an average increase in bui...

59	I can't believe the City Council hasn't done this already! No wonder we're in financial trouble!	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
60	This is a terrible idea. The margin on construction has already taken a huge hit. our City leadership shuld be attracting non-publicly funded construction and renovation projects	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
61	Seems like the cost of implementing will offset savings.	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
62	The country needs to get back in their feet by assisting the small builders and homeowners to recover from this horrendous recession. This ridiculous initiative is clearly blind to the reality of the country and the needs of the citizens.	Jan 3, 2012 12:56 PM
63	This is a BAD OPTION, you prevent residents from making improvements. This is a huge issue as is code enforcement.	Jan 3, 2012 11:14 AM
64	I think if people have the money for a construction project, the permit fee is probably not what's stopping them.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
65	raisthe fees on the new homes and the minor repairs leave and updating the same	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
66	only if the city re establishes its own building department	Jan 2, 2012 5:43 PM
67	May cause people to try doing work without permits and it's hard enough to get things done in Pacifica as it is.	Jan 2, 2012 12:11 PM
68	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
69	Could City apply this to SFR's only, providing an exemption for business?	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
70	Lower Fees. No Increases!	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
71	no	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
72	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
73	% increase does not mean addl serivces reqd.	Jan 1, 2012 7:24 PM
74	Go ahead.	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
75	How much would the fee study cost?	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
76	This could inhibit people from making improvements which would lessen property taxes and investment in housing upgrades.	Jan 1, 2012 12:59 PM
77	why hasnt it been done sooner? Should be updated each year!	Dec 31, 2011 9:55 PM
78	This should have been done all along!	Dec 31, 2011 3:17 PM
79	Allowing building provides extra parcel revenue so why would you want to discourage residents to build?	Dec 31, 2011 2:50 PM

Page 3, Q21. Update Building Permit Fees (Planning Department). The majority of the City's building permit fees have not been modified, even to keep up with inflation, since the 1990's. If these fees were reset to be comparable to other cities of similar size, Pacifica could expect an average increase in bui...

80	All city fees should be competitive with those charged by similar cities.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
81	The sewer lateril remodel trigger of \$50,000.00 pus higher permit fees may drive more work underground.	Dec 31, 2011 1:05 PM
82	YES we should be on par with fees in North San Mateo County and Coastside.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
83	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM

Page 3, Q22. Assess Fee for Winter Storm Water/Erosion Control Inspections (Planning Department). The City could add a fee for winterization inspections. Construction sites are required to put in place measures to prevent erosion over the winter months and the City inspects these sites to insure that they rem...

1	The City Buildings and shopping areas are getting old! Build new and ask for bond monies.	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	Why increase fees for inspections that should already be taking place.	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
3	Planning dept. should be inspecting these sites already!	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
4	It would be very helpful to have a single sheet with all options and costs. Being able to mix and match more easily would make this much more valuable.	Jan 24, 2012 1:51 AM
5	Pay for proper maintenance - avoid not updating	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
6	One more road block to build new homes - especially small affordable ones	Jan 23, 2012 11:50 PM
7	Is already part of the planning department job	Jan 23, 2012 5:27 PM
8	Good contractors already do erosion control.	Jan 23, 2012 5:13 PM
9	Self supporting	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
10	How do we interpret who's [sic] property falls into this planning?	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
11	What good is the inspection when the board gives okay anyway. Esplande condos is case in point.	Jan 23, 2012 3:10 PM
12	Give to County!!!	Jan 23, 2012 2:53 PM
13	The SWPPP Program is required if you have 1 acre of land under construction. The home or building owner should maintain this. This is not an Inspector's jurisdiction. The State SWPPP People come to inspect this. In other words - The Civil Enngineer look at this!!	Jan 23, 2012 1:00 PM
14	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
15	You would spend more reviewing, enforcing, and monitoring this than you would in revenue. This is a silly idea.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
16	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
17	Hard to judge this without estimated cost for each inspection	Jan 20, 2012 2:46 PM
18	This might discourage people from getting inspections.	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM
19	Need to know cost per inspection	Jan 19, 2012 10:45 PM
20	this service is for the good of everyone, it should be free. if someone doesn't follow the reccomendations, and damage occurs, a fine should be levied to pay for repairs.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
21	who are you assessing? home owners again....what do you think...money grows on tree's in our back yards???	Jan 18, 2012 7:24 PM

Page 3, Q22. Assess Fee for Winter Storm Water/Erosion Control Inspections (Planning Department). The City could add a fee for winterization inspections. Construction sites are required to put in place measures to prevent erosion over the winter months and the City inspects these sites to insure that they rem...

22	sounds like nickle and diming people. not right.	Jan 17, 2012 8:49 PM
23	again people do not want to be nickled and dined to death not enough revenue to worry about	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
24	should be, with correction, charged only if inspection is a reality! Not as a part of permit. Permits for fee charging need to be stipulated, i.e., who pays, why	Jan 17, 2012 1:45 PM
25	Discouraging building/renovations could ultimately reduce other revenue that would result from new/improved commercial or residential buildings..	Jan 16, 2012 8:50 PM
26	Builders and construction are already suffering from this depressed economy.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM
27	Maybe something to consider in future.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
28	let the landowners be responsible for their properties	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
29	The citizens should pay for the services the city provides.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
30	I'll bet typically these inspections are a drive by, often done on the way to or from something near by. Just increase the permit fee generally and skip the annoying, penny pinching impression this creates.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
31	Lower the pay of City Managers and Employees before adding more fees to businesses.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
32	does not apply to all citizens fairly	Jan 11, 2012 5:49 PM
33	This just part of the cost of building. It is a justified tax.	Jan 11, 2012 9:13 AM
34	Where do these inspections take place?	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM
35	What would the fee per permit be?	Jan 10, 2012 6:53 PM
36	why charge a fee for people taking an active role in keeping the town nice looking.	Jan 9, 2012 9:55 PM
37	Can understand this based on erosion control, but first is there really that much construction going on to justify	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
38	It is the cost of living in Pacifica.	Jan 9, 2012 7:16 PM
39	minimum return for the additional cost burden	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
40	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing this fee is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
41	Stop taxing us to solve the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
42	neither oppose or support	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
43	Income not worth the bureaucratic effort.	Jan 4, 2012 10:31 AM

Page 3, Q22. Assess Fee for Winter Storm Water/Erosion Control Inspections (Planning Department). The City could add a fee for winterization inspections. Construction sites are required to put in place measures to prevent erosion over the winter months and the City inspects these sites to insure that they rem...

44	If there is an actual cost for the inspections, the people receiving the service should pay.	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
45	Please see response to #21 above.	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
46	cut the inspections to two one at the beginning and one at the end and a thourghoone at the end to protect the people andif not done properly they will half to correct it before sales	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
47	We end up paying either way	Jan 2, 2012 10:57 PM
48	Makes sense to pass this cost on...I think.	Jan 2, 2012 7:05 PM
49	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
50	These inspections must be supported in light of presence of many Federally-listed species.	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
51	No New Fees!	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
52	not enough to consider	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
53	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
54	So what they will pass the increase to the seller or property owner.	Jan 1, 2012 7:24 PM
55	Yes, of course. Why loose money??	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
56	What is the proposed cost of the fee?	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
57	Include in the Building Permit Fee Study to determine amount to charge and could be Cost Recovery Deposit. Developer is required to cover the City's costs for inspections.	Jan 1, 2012 11:23 AM
58	I do not understand what this is	Jan 1, 2012 11:18 AM
59	Again, please be careful not to tax businesses right out of Pacifica. They can go elsewhere.	Dec 31, 2011 4:47 PM
60	This shouldn't be on this list. Fees are revenue neutral by law	Dec 31, 2011 3:17 PM
61	If other cities do this, so should Pacifica.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
62	YES we should be on par with fees in North San Mateo County and Coastside	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
63	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM

Page 3, Q23. Towing/storage of vehicles (Police Department). Begin collecting a \$50 per vehicle towing fee. Current law allows for the recovery of staff time costs directly related to the towing and storage of vehicles initiated by the police department. The Police Department Franchise Contract with tow co...

1	No no no this kind of question is ridiculous.	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	Not enough input to make an informed decision.	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
3	In sufficient information to form a decision.	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
4	Is there a cap on how much a person must pay? Do those who pay have to pay more to support dead beats?	Jan 23, 2012 11:50 PM
5	Enough fees as it is!	Jan 23, 2012 10:08 PM
6	Self supporting	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
7	I am against any profits made or passed on to certain businesses	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
8	Code enforcement officer should bring back abandoned autos cars with for sale signs parked on streets; private property abandoned care citation fees for not alleviating urban blight. These cars are hazards and become toys for kids (dangerous) Trash collection site; and haven for vandalism.	Jan 23, 2012 3:10 PM
9	it should come the vehicle owner not the tow truck company.	Jan 23, 2012 2:59 PM
10	Since It is MANDATED to Collect \$50 fee, Just COLLECT from towing companie [sic] They DO collect from owners!!	Jan 23, 2012 1:12 PM
11	These companies pass on fees to public - enough already - plenty expensive. This company should be paying more sales tax. They are a Big Business! They need to pay more tax! (no one's aware that they don't pay their fair business tax) They are already cheating the public, and abusing with their power to tow - the incapacitated or the poor person that's broken down and on the road.	Jan 23, 2012 12:53 PM
12	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
13	Again, too many high salaries in the past have caused many of our problems. Why should tax payers bail out city's greed?	Jan 20, 2012 11:21 PM
14	There is a larger question to be considered here. What is the process that the City with responsible due diligence should employ to examine the fee schedule with regard to appropriate fairness? In fact these local businesses have been given extraordinary leeway to set their fees and their right to take people's automobiles has been given freely by the City. I have never had a vehicle towed. In fact we paid \$2000 to one of these companies for an pickup truck from one of these companies that they had taken from one of our neighbors (we later found out). Who was the beneficiary? I later learned that another neighbor couple went on their honeymoon overseas, and were gone for one month and had their car parked in from of their own house reported by a resentful neighbor as not being moved in 72 hours. It was tagged, towed and stored, and when they returned after the honeymoon their car was gone, and they couldn't afford the over \$1000 in fees being charged by the towing company (after the expenses of the wedding and honeymoon). Their car was used but very nice and probably worth at least \$5000. So the company kept the car and they got nothing except very sad and disappointed by the utter unfairness of it. There is a	Jan 20, 2012 10:27 PM

Page 3, Q23. Towing/storage of vehicles (Police Department). Begin collecting a \$50 per vehicle towing fee. Current law allows for the recovery of staff time costs directly related to the towing and storage of vehicles initiated by the police department. The Police Department Franchise Contract with tow co...

problem with this system when a business is allowed to legally appropriate significant personal property of local residents and citizens. It's harsh to say but it's astonishing that this goes on and even more extraordinary that we would be somehow apologetic for asking them to pay a pitiful \$50 in fees to the City? The City should look very closely at the fee structures of these businesses and set what we think are reasonable and fair rates and require a percentage go to the City, not a single minimal amount per vehicle. If the City is going to bargain on behalf of its citizens it needs to serve their interests; the community should at least benefit in some way from this singular and significant access to ordinary citizens' personal property (or its "ransom") while attaching very little, cursory due "process".

15	Sure.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
16	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
17	making money by towing peoples cars is a slippery slope.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
18	this is outrageous robbery	Jan 19, 2012 4:22 PM
19	need more information	Jan 19, 2012 1:53 PM
20	sould be \$150.00 per car and repeat sould be \$250.00	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
21	SF is much higher	Jan 19, 2012 11:38 AM
22	How can you do this if we sub out to the Sheriff's Dept?	Jan 19, 2012 7:51 AM
23	Should be more, \$150	Jan 18, 2012 9:40 AM
24	let's not be like SF. The unfortunate soul who's car is towed shouldn't be burdened with paying for all Pacifica's woes.	Jan 17, 2012 8:49 PM
25	stop going after the little guy and look at the big picture	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
26	Recommend allowing more competition by encouraging other company showing interest to seek approval.	Jan 17, 2012 1:09 PM
27	Encourage/allow the towing of more illegally parked cars and make the contracts more appealing. Prohibit overnight street parking of commercial vehicles and make Comcast and other companies pay for cluttering our streets with their vehicles. Tow companies should be able to charge offenders whatever necessary to recoup their costs. Pacifica should care only about getting the maximum fee allowable and keeping our streets clear of non-working vehicles.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM
28	It cost me over \$500 to recover my car in SF	Jan 14, 2012 6:47 PM
29	Whenever possible these fees should be passed on to the owner of the vehicle towed.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
30	How about also enforcing the laws about vehicles parked on streets for longer than they are supposed to be?	Jan 12, 2012 9:41 AM

Page 3, Q23. Towing/storage of vehicles (Police Department). Begin collecting a \$50 per vehicle towing fee. Current law allows for the recovery of staff time costs directly related to the towing and storage of vehicles initiated by the police department. The Police Department Franchise Contract with tow co...

31	Seems modest.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
32	towing sucks	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
33	I'm not sure I understand. Now the Tow Company collects from the owner of the towed vehicle, but they Don't pay the City. Do they pay the City a % of the Tow fee for the franchise? Does the vehile owner pay the city for the violation committed that necessitated the Tow?	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
34	Yes, the vehicle owners should be billed the full cost.	Jan 11, 2012 2:47 PM
35	Collect from car owners, or from selling cars not picked up by owners. Title can be transfered by the State to allow this	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM
36	Start towing cars that are parked illegally as well. Individual would need to pay to recover their vehicle just like in SF.	Jan 10, 2012 6:53 PM
37	The cost will go to the towee. As in my instance my car was stolen, so I paid 1000 to get my car back. Not all who get towed deserve it.	Jan 10, 2012 9:41 AM
38	Although I see importance of covering staff time associated with this issue, seems like a small amount for impacts	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
39	collect fee from vehicle owner, not tow company	Jan 9, 2012 6:00 PM
40	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing this fine is both unnecessary and unreasonably hard on people who already have automobile problems.	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
41	Stop taxing us to solve the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
42	oppose this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
43	Have cars towed from street on day of street sweeper. Put up signs. will increase city revenue ! \$\$\$\$\$	Jan 3, 2012 5:45 PM
44	There is an inherent conflict of interest when a city tries to make increased revenues with more parking tickets and towing fees. The system is already rife with abuse. At least one of the current tow companies patrols the streets and looks for ways to generate towing business from city, by calling in minor violations pretending to be a concerned citizen. Sometimes this company tows whenever there is a citation on the windshield, whether the Pacifica PD have asked for a tow or not. This company already charges the registered owner exhorbitant prices before any \$50 fee and tows too aggressively (and illegally in some cases). There are reports from Safeway customers that several cars have been towed from Safeway parking lot while their owners still in the store shopping. In one case, the vehicle was towed while owner in Walgreens waiting for prescription. No spaces available at Walgreens or on Manor, so patron parked in Safeway lot which appeared to be a public lot for the Manor shopping district. Another case of towing from residential neighborhood because of hanging front license plate due to rusty bolt. This should not require an expensive tow. Pacifica PD claimed they never requested a tow, but the towing company hooked up vehicle for tow anyway. A simple friendly notification to the	Jan 3, 2012 3:47 PM

Page 3, Q23. Towing/storage of vehicles (Police Department). Begin collecting a \$50 per vehicle towing fee. Current law allows for the recovery of staff time costs directly related to the towing and storage of vehicles initiated by the police department. The Police Department Franchise Contract with tow co...

owner to insert a new bolt should have been sufficient. Another case of ticketing and towing homeowner's car from his own driveway (parked well back from street against garage door), because vehicle had a flat tire and appeared unused. These are abuses of Pacifica's citizens and should not be encouraged by a more aggressive towing program.

- | | | |
|----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 45 | Both increase fees and open the vendor list to enhance competition. | Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM |
| 46 | I'm not going to cry over the poor towing companies. This seems reasonable to me. | Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM |
| 47 | the towing ocompany makes their money on it by charging a large sum to start with | Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM |
| 48 | If they impound the vehicle, they can get it back! | Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM |
| 49 | I hope you get it by now no New Fees! | Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM |
| 50 | No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism. | Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM |
| 51 | Only 500 cars per year. There are 3 on the top of Everglades that need to be towed. A stinky old VW Bug that parks on his lawn and then races down the street stinking up everthing with diesel blowing out of it. Yuck. Then in front of the rank there is a rusting yellow Pinto parked there for weeks not moving and a white truck with paint all over it. Now let me start with Linda Mar Blvd....go make some money and clean up this town. | Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM |
| 52 | Increase the fee to \$100 | Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM |
| 53 | Why not \$100 or \$200. \$50. is far too low. | Jan 1, 2012 12:18 PM |
| 54 | How about a \$25 per vehicle fee? | Jan 1, 2012 9:19 AM |
| 55 | Most cities charge a lot more than \$50 - closer to \$150. | Dec 31, 2011 10:19 PM |
| 56 | this is a no-brainer - do it | Dec 31, 2011 5:54 PM |
| 57 | Charge a fee to release impounded vehicles as other police departments do. | Dec 31, 2011 2:50 PM |
| 58 | Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police | Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM |
| 59 | very large fines for owners of towed vehicles | Dec 31, 2011 11:54 AM |

Page 3, Q24. Community Center Rentals (PB&R) Home of Senior Services, recreation preschool classes, recreation instructional classes, city special events, location for community group and non-profit meetings as well as private party rentals. The Community Center is a hub for community gathering and soci...

1	this kind of thing needs to be looked @ close "Where do the profits go?"	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	Another Anti-Senior initiative!	Jan 24, 2012 1:34 PM
3	How much is current fee? (\$3,000) How much would the increase be?	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
4	Events can be utilized to enhance local community, retail businesses, etc.	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
5	Self supporting	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
6	There is not enough favorable socializing events - especially - in the adult (non handicapped) group. Don't hamper this by charging more - money - it's hard enough in this remote village for adults to get together. Seniors (without partners over 60) already can't find ways to meet potential partners!	Jan 23, 2012 12:53 PM
7	No they shouldn't	Jan 23, 2012 11:15 AM
8	Also, moved lighted kiosk off building to corner of Hwy 1 and Crespi - this would allow most Pacificans and visitors driving along Highway to know what is happening at the Community Center, when, etc. Thus making it a very desirable place to rent for publicly attended events.	Jan 21, 2012 1:07 PM
9	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
10	hopefully the increase fee is not to large, maybe it should be by how much % we cut expenditures by	Jan 20, 2012 5:01 PM
11	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
12	You need to specify how much the increase will be	Jan 20, 2012 2:46 PM
13	Non-profits cannot afford this, and would be forced to forgo events at the Community Center. Everybody loses.	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM
14	\$3,000 is not enough money. It may dissuade renters and we need that revenue.	Jan 20, 2012 11:39 AM
15	the community center should serve the residents, but if someone is making money from its use the community should also benefit. particularly if the fundraised proceeds are to be spent outside of our community.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
16	Inc. private party rental. [sic]	Jan 19, 2012 2:56 PM
17	Charge Fair-Market rents	Jan 19, 2012 10:21 AM
18	Need to know current cost versus proposed cost of \$3000.	Jan 19, 2012 10:20 AM
19	We are considering cutting services and also raising the rent for community nonprofits who do try and provide some services? Does this make sense?	Jan 18, 2012 9:38 PM
20	What are the current rates and what would be the proposed increase? (i.e., how is the \$3,000 figure reached?)	Jan 17, 2012 1:09 PM

Page 3, Q24. Community Center Rentals (PB&R) Home of Senior Services, recreation preschool classes, recreation instructional classes, city special events, location for community group and non-profit meetings as well as private party rentals. The Community Center is a hub for community gathering and soci...

21	Private parties should be slightly higher than non profits	Jan 16, 2012 4:21 PM
22	Pacifica cannot afford to subsidize non-essential services	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM
23	Try to retain renters to come back year over year.	Jan 16, 2012 9:47 AM
24	What is percentage of increase? What are these funds used for? Could be added to library funding?	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
25	It is hard to have an opion since the amount of increase is not specified. Also, there should be a difference between non-profit and other group charges.	Jan 12, 2012 6:38 PM
26	they are providing a service	Jan 12, 2012 9:41 AM
27	Modest increases should be expected.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
28	fund rasing is good	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
29	You are not clear on anticipated savings/revenue	Jan 11, 2012 10:28 PM
30	It is the rich non-profit, community activity and coming to gether for fund raising that make Pacific one of the unique, great places in California to live. Don't under mine those activites with increase fees, for such a small monetary gain. It would be counter productive.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
31	base fees on actual attendance	Jan 11, 2012 8:44 PM
32	not enough info	Jan 11, 2012 8:11 PM
33	Maybe have a percentage on what is raised by the non-profit organization event.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
34	Yes, pay as you go services... if usage drops off, then you have immediate feedback that the service was not as essential as thought.	Jan 11, 2012 2:47 PM
35	What are the present rates?	Jan 11, 2012 12:24 PM
36	irrelevant	Jan 10, 2012 11:18 PM
37	What is the rental fee now & how much would the increase be?	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM
38	Seems rather high.	Jan 10, 2012 6:53 PM
39	Discount for non-profit organizations and increase for for-profit organizations	Jan 9, 2012 10:31 PM
40	I would think that the majority of rentals are local events put on by people living in community so the impact again is those living here. I'd rater pay in this fashion though.	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
41	insufficient info -	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
42	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing this fee is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM

Page 3, Q24. Community Center Rentals (PB&R) Home of Senior Services, recreation preschool classes, recreation instructional classes, city special events, location for community group and non-profit meetings as well as private party rentals. The Community Center is a hub for community gathering and soci...

43	too small a potential increase to justify	Jan 6, 2012 2:42 PM
44	Stop taxing us to solve the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
45	oppose this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
46	Just consider a modest increase in rental rates for all.	Jan 4, 2012 10:31 AM
47	Would like to know the % increase this would be.	Jan 3, 2012 11:28 PM
48	\$3,000? Really?	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
49	Private organizations may solicit personal donations or class fees to cover any rental increase.	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
50	No	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
51	Have you already surveyed the seniors to ask them for input on their needs and even for their suggestion in how to increase revenue? You may be surprised at the level of volunteer initiative that they may have.	Jan 3, 2012 12:56 PM
52	why pick on the small people pick on the big wheels	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
53	You want to charge \$3000 for a fundraising party? The non-profits are normally raising funds to improve Pacifica services.	Jan 2, 2012 10:57 PM
54	market value	Jan 2, 2012 6:52 PM
55	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
56	Charge everyone the going rate don't try to pick winners and losers it's not your job it's a business no winners or losers.	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
57	it will just slow down and lessen non-profit activity	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
58	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
59	so what. Make those who use the facilities to pay more!	Jan 1, 2012 7:24 PM
60	Should be carefully considered. Perhaps create a fee structure that is x amount flat rate and y amount based on total amount of funds raised (sliding scale)	Jan 1, 2012 6:22 PM
61	Increase it from what to what. You need to be specific. Will it make groups stop renting or will it be a small fair increase. I need details.	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
62	Increase the rental rate for commercial groups only.	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
63	Without more information on expected revenue, it is impossible to assess this	Jan 1, 2012 4:56 PM
64	Increasing fees will only discourage usage at that price.	Dec 31, 2011 2:50 PM
65	Fair.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM

Page 3, Q24. Community Center Rentals (PB&R) Home of Senior Services, recreation preschool classes, recreation instructional classes, city special events, location for community group and non-profit meetings as well as private party rentals. The Community Center is a hub for community gathering and soci...

66	Make sure that they are not above market rate for non-profit uses or there may be loss in revenue.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
67	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM

Page 3, Q25. Teen Programs (PBR) - Cabrillo Afterschool Program, Youth Advisory Board, J-Teen Dances, Leaders in Training Education (LITE's). These programs provide safe environments for teens and J-teens to socialize and recreate; promote healthy choices; encourage connections to the community via experience...

1	You would consider teens to have dances increase? who are you people? We need a new mayor!	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	If parents cannot afford to pay for their children's needs, they shouldn't have them to begin with!	Jan 24, 2012 1:34 PM
3	Our families are stretched so thin already. Please do not consider making it more expensive for our kids' activities.	Jan 24, 2012 12:48 PM
4	Leave youth services alone! Families are already stretched. "Just a dollar" can be just enough to prevent a kid attending an event.	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
5	Let people who have kids pay extra school taxes	Jan 24, 2012 1:03 AM
6	More for LITE. Ok on the dance. No on teen center fee.	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
7	Where is the Teen Center? Is it centrally located? No fee should be added. LITE program is a volunteer program - no fee should be added. Add a dollar to the J-Teen Dances	Jan 23, 2012 10:08 PM
8	Teens seem to have all the money they need. Just don't get carried away.	Jan 23, 2012 5:27 PM
9	NO SUPPORT ON OPTION #1 & #3, SUPPORT OPTION #2	Jan 23, 2012 4:58 PM
10	Self supporting	Jan 23, 2012 3:25 PM
11	Where do you folks learn how to stick it to the little guy?	Jan 23, 2012 2:53 PM
12	Fees should cover costs	Jan 23, 2012 11:27 AM
13	This program probably keeps teens out of trouble/drugs/gangs. It's good and may be more expensive in the end to cut.	Jan 21, 2012 1:34 PM
14	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
15	It is not fair to shift the burden of budget shortfalls on students, seniors and poor people. Put it back to corporations who make the most money. (They don't even pay taxes . . .)	Jan 21, 2012 12:36 PM
16	The increase is too minimal in contrast to the # of teens you may be reaching.	Jan 20, 2012 11:16 PM
17	these are lame nickel and dime ideas!	Jan 20, 2012 7:28 PM
18	This is a petty increase and taxing that the City would really feel. Mainly because it is a direct tax for kids and teens.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
19	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
20	We're nickel and dimming here, and it's not worth it. It will do more harm than good.	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM
21	Consider a way to have fees paid on a sliding scale based on income.	Jan 20, 2012 12:34 PM

Page 3, Q25. Teen Programs (PBR) - Cabrillo Afterschool Program, Youth Advisory Board, J-Teen Dances, Leaders in Training Education (LITE's). These programs provide safe environments for teens and J-teens to socialize and recreate; promote healthy choices; encourage connections to the community via experience...

22	The teens need these activities.	Jan 20, 2012 11:39 AM
23	Doubling the LITE fee from \$25 to \$50 seems too high. The other fee hikes are more reasonable.	Jan 20, 2012 12:01 AM
24	Increases dance ticket and Teen Center fee - Not LITE	Jan 19, 2012 5:56 PM
25	we should be offering maximum support, not putting the squeeze on them.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
26	Insignificant financial benefit with significant negative impact.	Jan 19, 2012 4:38 PM
27	Only support dance tickets.	Jan 19, 2012 2:56 PM
28	Support option to increase teen center fee. Oppose option to increase LITE Program Fee and J-Teen Dance Fees	Jan 19, 2012 2:38 PM
29	taxes in current economy?	Jan 19, 2012 1:25 PM
30	Kids shouldn't have to pay more	Jan 19, 2012 11:28 AM
31	We favor items 2 & 3 here, but not 1.	Jan 19, 2012 11:03 AM
32	I support increase in Proposed Items 2 and 3, but not 1.	Jan 19, 2012 10:20 AM
33	small am't [sic] \$ may reduce teen participation.	Jan 19, 2012 9:51 AM
34	My God, did you have Grover Norquist write up these options.	Jan 18, 2012 9:38 PM
35	1. do not increase LITE program 2. increase J-Teen dance 3. increase Teen center fee.....these are more drops in the bucket	Jan 18, 2012 7:24 PM
36	why don't you put metered parking at the beach and at the community center	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
37	Need low income options first	Jan 17, 2012 3:06 PM
38	Find a sponsor before cutting back on current teen programs	Jan 16, 2012 4:21 PM
39	Parents who can pay should and others should have access to funds solicited from and donated by individuals and local businesses.	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM
40	Dance \$6 before, \$8 at door Teen Center fee to \$200	Jan 16, 2012 9:47 AM
41	#2 sounds good. #1 and 3 NO	Jan 15, 2012 5:11 PM
42	Need more info-are the LITE and teen center fees annual?	Jan 13, 2012 2:31 PM
43	Cut city employee salaries 5-10% = reduce benefits from 100% to 50%	Jan 13, 2012 11:42 AM
44	make increases minimal and incremental. Start with #2. Or #1 increase from \$25 to \$26, etc.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
45	users of services need to pay, not homeowners	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM

Page 3, Q25. Teen Programs (PBR) - Cabrillo Afterschool Program, Youth Advisory Board, J-Teen Dances, Leaders in Training Education (LITE's). These programs provide safe environments for teens and J-teens to socialize and recreate; promote healthy choices; encourage connections to the community via experience...

46	Support some inceases and not others. Would like to know what current participation is. I support dance inceases. Others I would need specifics to render an opinion.	Jan 12, 2012 6:38 PM
47	They are providing a needed service	Jan 12, 2012 9:41 AM
48	These should not all be lumped into one item - separate them for a cleaner designation of what could be reduced.	Jan 12, 2012 9:08 AM
49	These are modest changes.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
50	give teens a break...good lord this is a bad idea	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
51	smaller increase in LITE fee to \$35	Jan 11, 2012 8:44 PM
52	Increasing the fees for these events is good but a scholarship should be given to families who are needy.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
53	Only increase cost of ticket for dances.	Jan 11, 2012 7:04 AM
54	totally irreleevant	Jan 10, 2012 11:18 PM
55	This should be broken down to answers for each question as I support some and oppose others, thus my somewhat oppose this option answer.	Jan 10, 2012 9:08 PM
56	There is not enough for Teens to do. Save this program,	Jan 10, 2012 8:37 PM
57	taking money from teen, have we fallen that far...	Jan 10, 2012 6:23 PM
58	It would at least keep program going and other option is worse	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
59	We must invest in our youth. We need to be their advocates.	Jan 9, 2012 7:16 PM
60	Raise taxes	Jan 9, 2012 11:52 AM
61	small revenue increase for such a large con.	Jan 9, 2012 11:15 AM
62	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing this fee is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
63	Include provision for LITE and Teen Center Fee reduction for low-income family teens (use in-place test like qualification for reduced price meals at school; don't reinvent the wheel)	Jan 7, 2012 10:00 AM
64	even the increased fees are very low. OK to increase.	Jan 6, 2012 2:42 PM
65	We need to be able to vote on each one individually	Jan 6, 2012 9:31 AM
66	i dont agree with all of them, however i do agree that adding \$1/ticket for j-teen dances is very do-able	Jan 5, 2012 5:25 PM
67	Ok with increasng fee from #2 but not #1 and #2	Jan 5, 2012 4:15 PM

Page 3, Q25. Teen Programs (PBR) - Cabrillo Afterschool Program, Youth Advisory Board, J-Teen Dances, Leaders in Training Education (LITE's). These programs provide safe environments for teens and J-teens to socialize and recreate; promote healthy choices; encourage connections to the community via experience...

68	Stop taxing us to solve the problem.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
69	There has not been a LITE fee before... this is completely new.	Jan 4, 2012 8:25 PM
70	support this option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
71	Again...these are services that are "nice" to have and are not essential. There should be an out of pocket cost for anyone taking advantage of them.	Jan 4, 2012 10:51 AM
72	Increase J-Teen dances to \$10	Jan 4, 2012 9:03 AM
73	Increase only #2	Jan 3, 2012 11:45 PM
74	These revenues are so minimal they aren't worth the reduction of service.	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
75	If deemed necessary to retain, increase fees for users of these programs.	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
76	Outsource the police. This is a pittance.	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
77	Do not support point 1. Definitely support point 2 and somewhat support point 3.	Jan 3, 2012 12:56 PM
78	Seems like a very small gain at the potential expense of hurting teen programs. There's little enough for teenagers to do in Pacifica.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
79	teens are getting more wages than some of the seniors and this on some people are good maybe will stop them smoking	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
80	If it comes out of my pocket, I'll vote no, because we are already paying. However, if we are losing money on it and need to break even on some of these, then I would not be opposed.	Jan 2, 2012 10:57 PM
81	I think you can add year to year increases as well.	Jan 2, 2012 5:59 PM
82	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
83	Same reasoning as previously expressed (as related to potential loss of local PD.)	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
84	seven dollars is a reasonable fee for a dance. If it were a private enterprise they would look at the competition and that would be a factor but our wonderful government has eliminated competition in this area and for that matter all these areas that you are addressing.	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
85	no!	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
86	Oppose #1; Support #2; Support #3.	Jan 1, 2012 11:09 PM
87	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
88	What you are increasing should not be a burden to any family!	Jan 1, 2012 7:24 PM
89	Teen center fees should be higher than \$150 for 9 months of service! Offer a	Jan 1, 2012 6:22 PM

Page 3, Q25. Teen Programs (PBR) - Cabrillo Afterschool Program, Youth Advisory Board, J-Teen Dances, Leaders in Training Education (LITE's). These programs provide safe environments for teens and J-teens to socialize and recreate; promote healthy choices; encourage connections to the community via experience...

sliding scale/scholarship program for low income families, but really, an average cost of \$16.67 per month is quite low for the quality of the program.

90	Kids can't even get Paper routes to help defray costs. How about having the kids work for tickets. Do things around City Hall, like Janitorial Work, or Filing Papers, or helping out at the Resource Center get donations from large corporations (oops we don't have any) or request a bake sale.	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
91	Ask that the teens participate in some civic project to help offset these costs.	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
92	Do not support LITE fee increase; do support others.	Jan 1, 2012 11:36 AM
93	maybe don't increase LITE, definitely not double fee	Jan 1, 2012 11:25 AM
94	No to 1, yes to 2 & 3	Jan 1, 2012 1:18 AM
95	Pay now or pay later.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
96	Make sure families that have financial difficulties are exempt from increase.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
97	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM