

2

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

• Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, t...

1	The city will always need senior service programs what are they thinking?	Jan 24, 2012 1:45 PM
2	Do you idiots realize this is the only meal some receipants get each day? Apparently you've never gone hungry.	Jan 24, 2012 1:16 PM
3	I guess you all are healthy and have never gone hungry and have live in help.	Jan 24, 2012 12:40 PM
4	Don't seniors pay for the transport services?	Jan 24, 2012 1:59 AM
5	many folks are totally dependent on these services	Jan 24, 2012 1:42 AM
6	Need to look at some of the programs - they could be reduced	Jan 24, 2012 1:19 AM
7	try to get more transportation	Jan 24, 2012 12:25 AM
8	a must keep	Jan 23, 2012 11:01 PM
9	Leave senior services alone!!!!	Jan 23, 2012 10:35 PM
10	this city needs those programs	Jan 23, 2012 10:14 PM
11	This si the only program seniors have a lot of seniors would not eat.	Jan 23, 2012 5:20 PM
12	As a senior and I volunteer at the center I see first hand how the seniors need the services. To a lot of our seniors they only have the one meal a day either meals on wheels or lunch at the Center. There only contact are people at our Center.	Jan 23, 2012 5:05 PM
13	Charge more	Jan 23, 2012 4:15 PM
14	The State & County should take over this operation.	Jan 23, 2012 2:47 PM
15	Instead of cutting - find money from federal grant progrms for these needed \$	Jan 23, 2012 12:37 PM
16	Do not cut senior services!	Jan 23, 2012 11:57 AM
17	The seniors are the fastest growing segment of our population. why cut our most needy population?	Jan 23, 2012 10:42 AM
18	Vital program for some	Jan 21, 2012 12:57 PM
19	New sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:39 PM
20	Was this thrown in to make everything else seem like a better option? Joke! Cruel!!! Seniors face many challenges, anything helps.	Jan 21, 2012 12:21 PM
21	These are essential services which improve quality of life for seniors.	Jan 21, 2012 12:05 PM
22	lower salaries of some city employees	Jan 20, 2012 11:04 PM

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

• Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, t...

23	Need more info.(#s) re.current use of all above programs	Jan 20, 2012 7:08 PM
24	cut spending by a fixed % on every budgeted item	Jan 20, 2012 4:46 PM
25	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:05 PM
26	Are these programs used?If so than do not reduce them, if they are not being used than cut them.If they are underutilized than make a decsion. Either pump them up or cut them loose. This isn't rocket science.	Jan 20, 2012 4:04 PM
27	Need more information on this to separate meat from fat	Jan 20, 2012 2:53 PM
28	Maybe we can ask for more volunteers, perhaps high school students. We can't shut out our senior citizens. It's just wrong.	Jan 20, 2012 12:56 PM
29	This would put a major part of the population at risk. It would undoubtedly increase law enforcement, emergency services, and nearby hospital coast in dealing with senior emergencies. This may be the only thing they have in their lives. The meals can be sometimes the only meal these people get. It would be a criminal offense if this happened.	Jan 20, 2012 11:10 AM
30	I can't believe that any of these Senior Programs are being considered for reduction! We don't have enough services for our senior as it is! I know many people who benefit from these programs in ways that cannot be measured. Please leave the Children's, Teen's and Seniors' programs alone! NO REDUCTIONS!	Jan 19, 2012 11:17 PM
31	Instead of reducing or eliminating any of above, could slightly increase fees	Jan 19, 2012 5:39 PM
32	Do we have a sliding scale rate based on income/ability to pay?	Jan 19, 2012 4:55 PM
33	These options are disgusting! Why not just take them out to the pier and push them off.	Jan 19, 2012 4:36 PM
34	I Strongly oppse cuts.	Jan 19, 2012 4:31 PM
35	recipientsofthisprogramshouldbefinanciallyscreenedtoavoidtabuseoftheprogram	Jan 19, 2012 3:57 PM
36	This is a vital service	Jan 19, 2012 1:21 PM
37	should be cut in half .	Jan 19, 2012 12:58 PM
38	Really, cutting money for the sick and elderly?	Jan 19, 2012 11:44 AM
39	Increase lunch fee for those who can afford it.	Jan 19, 2012 11:31 AM
40	Don't mess with the food services	Jan 19, 2012 11:25 AM
41	Does tansportation go only to Comm Ctr or to doc appts? [sic]	Jan 19, 2012 9:55 AM

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

• Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, L...

42	Strongly (oppose these options)	Jan 19, 2012 9:44 AM
43	Does this 9Meals on Wheels) duplicate the county program? If so, it can be cut.	Jan 19, 2012 9:33 AM
44	I am assuming the 261k is the net after all the lost funding. Is that true?	Jan 18, 2012 11:38 PM
45	Encourage a city-wide program to involve local volunteers in assistance with elder transportation	Jan 18, 2012 8:18 PM
46	cut the kids, now cut the elderly and shut-ins. they won't complain...what the hell. Where is the option to cut All city employee's salaries by 5% or 10% across the board	Jan 18, 2012 6:31 PM
47	How much of the seniors use these services when they don't need to just because they are available? Is there a way to identify who is really at risk and offer to them? If families of seniors are able to help out, I think that is the first option rather than the city trying to fulfill the family role.	Jan 18, 2012 5:01 PM
48	salary cuts not service cuts	Jan 18, 2012 4:40 PM
49	LEAVE THE SENIORS ALONE, ITS ALL THEY HAVE	Jan 18, 2012 4:00 PM
50	Should be fee for service to break even.	Jan 18, 2012 11:05 AM
51	What is cost / benefit ratio? How many people participate?	Jan 18, 2012 9:19 AM
52	I am not a senior citizen, but many of my neighbors are, and depend on these. Why do we have a dog park again??	Jan 17, 2012 8:39 PM
53	you should be ashamed even suggesting this reduction. cut your own salary	Jan 17, 2012 6:42 PM
54	These programs support the most vulnerable members of our community.	Jan 17, 2012 3:02 PM
55	Explore other options for senior citizen funding.	Jan 16, 2012 10:27 AM
56	Meals on Wheels also serves as a wellness check for many seniors in Pacifica	Jan 16, 2012 9:39 AM
57	we as a society need to be able to take care of the poor and our senior citizens	Jan 15, 2012 10:24 AM
58	These are the most needy people.	Jan 14, 2012 6:46 PM
59	Thet paid for these services all of their lives/hurts the poor	Jan 14, 2012 4:09 PM
60	More support should be considered.	Jan 14, 2012 9:09 AM
61	Cut city employee salaries 5-10% = reduce benefits from 100% to 50%	Jan 13, 2012 11:34 AM
62	The health and welfare of senior citizens would be drastically impacted if these programs were cut.	Jan 13, 2012 9:58 AM

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

• Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, L..

63	everything needs to be cut we can't afford all services	Jan 13, 2012 7:49 AM
64	Reduce city salaries and benefits so these programs aren't reduced.	Jan 12, 2012 10:04 PM
65	i've heard the meals are pretty lousy	Jan 12, 2012 9:14 PM
66	This is a compassionate program that we actually need to find grants	Jan 12, 2012 9:24 AM
67	this is part of the city's liveability, raise taxes	Jan 12, 2012 8:34 AM
68	alot of seniors pay taxes here	Jan 12, 2012 12:23 AM
69	We have the best Senior programs that benefit all Seniors.	Jan 11, 2012 9:03 PM
70	Charge a little more for the service. 200 Snrs x \$25 x 52 wks = \$260,000. Chg for services, the congregating is very important.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
71	Not all seniors are needy. They can pay more for lunches and other services if they are interested. Each program should be charged more to those who can afford them. Scholarships can be paid for those who can't afford the programs. Pay the employees less. People volunteer their time to the center. Pay less for the City Managers and employees overall instead of cutting services.	Jan 11, 2012 7:34 PM
72	Appeal to volunteers for transportation	Jan 11, 2012 6:02 PM
73	This this appears to be a large part of budget and warrants a careful review. I would like to know how many people are actually using these services vs the cost per person. It may be possible to deliver the same level of service for less.	Jan 11, 2012 1:52 PM
74	this program should be based on need from Seniors	Jan 10, 2012 9:20 PM
75	Do you realize how many people over age of 60 there are	Jan 10, 2012 7:56 PM
76	I strongly oppose reduction in lunch programs for the seniors, but believe SamTrans has additional availability for transportation services of the home-bound.	Jan 10, 2012 7:10 PM
77	The transportation bus seems empty most of the day - perhaps contract out with a local taxi service and give seniors vouchers.	Jan 10, 2012 6:32 PM
78	Taking money away for the elderly, and those in need makes me sad to be a Pacifican.	Jan 10, 2012 6:17 PM
79	I don't support taking the food from the mouths of seniors, on the other hand, this is a quarter million bucks we're talking about!	Jan 10, 2012 5:49 PM
80	How many seniors participate?	Jan 10, 2012 11:10 AM
81	This town is focused too heavily on its seniors. There are plenty of young people	Jan 9, 2012 9:47 PM

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

• Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, L...

moving in that would like the money spent on revenue producing programs.

82	What does it say for your community when you don't offer such a vital service to seniors	Jan 9, 2012 8:56 PM
83	Consider having the community participate in volunteer efforts to support some of the programs (like driving meals to residents)	Jan 9, 2012 5:52 PM
84	impossible to evaluate without cost\$\$ for each program	Jan 9, 2012 5:43 PM
85	Raise taxes	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
86	I would support some cuts to the senior programs if the programs were underutilized. I don't feel that i know enough to make a recommendation.	Jan 9, 2012 11:14 AM
87	consider slight increase in lunch fee	Jan 8, 2012 10:38 PM
88	Our seniors need these services. They don't receive enough social security as it is.	Jan 8, 2012 3:36 PM
89	Mixed feelings about this program. For these it serves, it is invaluable. But the cost is high for the number of participants. Meals on Wheels is vital unless program can be outsourced.	Jan 8, 2012 1:50 PM
90	Pacifica needs to undertake a comprehensive review of all social/human service programs, regardless of current service provider, to ensure eligible Pacificans receive the highest quality services.	Jan 8, 2012 11:04 AM
91	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. eliminating jobs is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 5:53 PM
92	What is the impact of reducing programs (reduction of 20% saves 30% of cost?)	Jan 7, 2012 11:40 AM
93	Pay for an auditor to look for cost savings within the programs	Jan 7, 2012 9:16 AM
94	Need additional information on user demographics for these programs. If seniors can afford the services but use them for social purposes reduce or eliminate funding. If services are safety net/lifeline retain.	Jan 7, 2012 9:09 AM
95	The seniors depend on these programs	Jan 7, 2012 8:12 AM
96	our seniors deserve this from their hometown...I will be willing to pay some higher city tax to help our seniors	Jan 6, 2012 5:17 PM
97	Pacifica need to support its seniors-DO NOT ELIMINATE	Jan 6, 2012 4:07 PM
98	We have got to take care of our seniors. What will they do without our support?	Jan 6, 2012 9:25 AM
99	Last option I would take	Jan 5, 2012 1:23 PM

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

• Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, t...

100	what 'programs' besides listed?	Jan 5, 2012 1:11 PM
101	So now we'll balance the budget on the backs of our elderly population? Have you NO heart?	Jan 5, 2012 12:43 PM
102	oppose	Jan 4, 2012 7:29 PM
103	these services should be provided by religious organizations, not the city	Jan 4, 2012 2:24 PM
104	Again, these programs are OUTSIDE of a City's mandate. There are numerous non-profit organizations that can do this work cheaper and more efficiently.	Jan 4, 2012 10:44 AM
105	Seek revehnue from other grant opportunities.	Jan 4, 2012 10:18 AM
106	Bring in other revenues by selective commercial development, eg quarry & other parcels. Make the big cuts by outsourcing police & eliminating some supervisory positions which will more than outweigh modest savings from Senior Programs. More volunteers who are screened with full background checks.	Jan 3, 2012 2:50 PM
107	Undesireable, but necessary to evaluate savings	Jan 3, 2012 1:14 PM
108	Is is shameful that someone came up with this idea to further impact such a vulnerable population.	Jan 3, 2012 12:39 PM
109	Absolutley No cuts tot he senior program-it is hard enough as it is for them	Jan 3, 2012 12:37 PM
110	Depends on how many seniors are serviced for 261,000, not enough info avail to make this decision	Jan 3, 2012 11:02 AM
111	senior have put in their time and money now is the time to hlep them out and not penilize them for your overpaying of some people	Jan 3, 2012 4:29 AM
112	My mother loves the activities provided at the Senior Center. Would hate to lose that benefit. She has friends(other widows) that get together there. Would be a shame to lose programming. Would have like to have seen the individual costs of each program ie: Meals On Wheels...	Jan 2, 2012 10:35 PM
113	If these are eliminated there will be NOTHING for the Seniors and that is NOT GOOD whatsoever. What is wrong with everybody, when you people on the board get to where we are - you will agree not to do away. Cut expenses on other unimportant items not on the Seniors, please	Jan 2, 2012 1:52 PM
114	Could transportation be replace with RediWheels? Can some of these services be replaced with outside agency help?	Jan 2, 2012 11:45 AM
115	Make more cut in city workers pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 10:47 AM
116	eliminate social part and have ask local churches to sponser an activity for day or two a month	Jan 2, 2012 10:38 AM

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

• Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, L...

117	Discretion should be used here as many elderly are on fixed incomes and are highly-dependent on this class of services. Cost savings should be balanced against ability of fed/state funding to close gap. I was a "Meals on Wheels" volunteer in the past. Is that an option here?	Jan 2, 2012 9:10 AM
118	agin private interprise	Jan 2, 2012 7:18 AM
119	It would be nice if more details could be provided (for example I would strongly oppose complete elimination of "Meals on Wheels" however fees could be increased where possible, etc.)	Jan 2, 2012 12:29 AM
120	Increase tax revenue by becoming more business fiendly.	Jan 1, 2012 10:52 PM
121	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 10:43 PM
122	Cut by 25%; savings of \$65,000. Some service is better than none.	Jan 1, 2012 5:55 PM
123	Isn't the Senior Citizen transport program provided by SamTrans. They provide rides to Seniors if they are called in advance and give door to door service. What money is provided for by the City. My Dad lived to be 99 and drove until he was 95. Not all seniors require transporation. Some can come with friends or family. I'm a senior, I drive, I would bring someone with me. Can we get volunteers like they do for the sash program. I do not advocate leaving people at home or in nursing facilities just because they do not have transporation, I think transportation needs to be available to everyone. I moved to my house because there was transportation two houses away from me, but that is long gone. Perhaps when I am older, I will depend on others or government provided transport or for seniors with money they can pay for it themselves. Also we should have income ranges where by people perhaps get reduced or free lunch but for those who can afford it, maybe they pay full fare.	Jan 1, 2012 5:17 PM
124	No reductions to senior services.	Jan 1, 2012 4:47 PM
125	maybe it can be trimmed a bit use more free help like via donation of time and money from the people of pacifica, after all pacifica is not a senior cittizen retirement community	Jan 1, 2012 1:53 PM
126	these services are essential to the health of those citizens	Jan 1, 2012 1:01 PM
127	Seniors are wonderful volunteers	Jan 1, 2012 12:59 PM
128	Get more volunteers	Jan 1, 2012 12:22 PM
129	Please do not cut this	Jan 1, 2012 11:09 AM
130	No & No	Jan 1, 2012 10:53 AM
131	I strongly oppose any cuts to Pacifica's essential core services of Police Fire and Public Works! Elected Officials and City Management need to fund the City of	Jan 1, 2012 9:54 AM

Page 2, Q9. Changes in Services Levels in PB&R - Senior Services Programs

- Eliminate Senior Services Programs (\$261,033).

Programs and services are provided at the Pacifica Community Center, which houses a multi-purpose senior center. The center provides a congregate and nutrition lunch program, t...

Pacifica's essential core services before providing funding to non essential programs.

132	Legal aid, tax assistance, podiatry opportunities to cut	Jan 1, 2012 8:58 AM
133	Never balance a budget on the backs of the elderly. This is appalling.	Jan 1, 2012 8:30 AM
134	how many are served? can grant \$ make up the difference?	Dec 31, 2011 5:41 PM
135	It is our responsibility to take care of the elderly!	Dec 31, 2011 4:35 PM
136	What kind of community are we if we fail to protect and support our elderly? To me this is not just a city financial obligation it is a duty. A compassionate duty. Continue the excellent management and the never-ending search for grants and community support.	Dec 31, 2011 1:35 PM
137	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:24 PM
138	NO. These are critical services for needy seniors who have no other options.	Dec 31, 2011 12:13 PM
139	use more volunteers for these programs	Dec 31, 2011 11:40 AM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

1	Hence word "important"	Jan 24, 2012 1:45 PM
2	It has been my personal experience that donations in the Resource Center are not given by them to those in need. Example, I sponsored a needy family at xmas and provided a \$100 safeway gift card for their holiday dinner. It was never given to the family - no big mystery who kept it!	Jan 24, 2012 1:16 PM
3	Reduce the program contribution and ask the private sector to grant more funding.	Jan 24, 2012 1:04 PM
4	"those in need" are still deserving citizens of Pacifica.	Jan 24, 2012 12:40 PM
5	Leave our Resource Center alone - it is the core of the community	Jan 24, 2012 12:19 PM
6	very vital to the city	Jan 24, 2012 1:13 AM
7	we need to take care of these in need especially in emergency situations	Jan 24, 2012 12:39 AM
8	get more grants	Jan 24, 2012 12:25 AM
9	I would probably be dead if not for the Resource Center, no kidding.	Jan 23, 2012 11:34 PM
10	too many people need this	Jan 23, 2012 11:01 PM
11	No way	Jan 23, 2012 10:14 PM
12	I do not want the City to eliminate funding but \$83,000	Jan 23, 2012 10:05 PM
13	The garbage company every weeks collects for recycling and the city gets a big kick back not the resident.	Jan 23, 2012 5:20 PM
14	Be sure those in need, really need	Jan 23, 2012 5:10 PM
15	Reduce current funding to \$10,000	Jan 23, 2012 4:38 PM
16	Consider reducing to \$30,000	Jan 23, 2012 4:32 PM
17	We thought they were self-sufficient.	Jan 23, 2012 2:54 PM
18	Let the County handle the cost.	Jan 23, 2012 2:47 PM
19	Refer to churches for help & peronal accountability.	Jan 23, 2012 2:38 PM
20	Find volunteers to do more or do without the Resource Center. I've had experience with them - a dog and pony show htat gets very little done. Good PR but is only an image for Pacifica.	Jan 23, 2012 12:37 PM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

21	Should not be general fund money - tax money	Jan 23, 2012 11:23 AM
22	If the city wants to spend on senior programs or has a history of supporting seniors, would building a city owned cemetery make sense? (Colma seems to make a lot of income from it)	Jan 21, 2012 1:31 PM
23	This is a vital program for many people in this awful recession. We should consider giving this program more funds.	Jan 21, 2012 12:57 PM
24	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:39 PM
25	How many current positions would be eliminated?	Jan 20, 2012 7:08 PM
26	If you aren't willing to cut the salaries of employees making over \$100K, they could each donate 10% of salary to the resource center via payroll deduction and receive an income tax deduction for their contribution	Jan 20, 2012 5:42 PM
27	rather cut every single budget item by a fixed %	Jan 20, 2012 4:46 PM
28	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:05 PM
29	Nobody uses the darn thing.	Jan 20, 2012 4:04 PM
30	The Resource Center helps people of all ages who need help. We need a safety net for people, and should continue to fund the Resource Center at all costs.	Jan 20, 2012 12:56 PM
31	The Resource Center helped my family during a tragedy we couldnt have made it without their support.	Jan 20, 2012 12:37 PM
32	Balancing the budget on the backs of the poor is the wrong thing to do. See the comments for the senior services reduction. They apply here too.	Jan 20, 2012 11:10 AM
33	Turn this into a Volunteer Based Center no salaries paid	Jan 20, 2012 11:00 AM
34	What? Are you kidding? This would be criminal?	Jan 19, 2012 11:17 PM
35	reduce by 2%	Jan 19, 2012 4:55 PM
36	people in despair cost a heck of a lot more, in the long run, than 83,000. dollars.	Jan 19, 2012 4:36 PM
37	theresourcecenterhashelpedalotoffolksinpacificaandoutsideofpacifica.againthereisabusetohisprogramparticularlyfromoutsidersandpeoplewhoapplyforassistanceshouldbebetterscreened.wecouldshrinkthebudgetby30%andIwouldn'tbesuprisedtoseeitmadeupbydonors.especiallywithenoughpublicity	Jan 19, 2012 3:57 PM
38	This program is supported by Tides. Look how small a contribution the city gives.	Jan 19, 2012 2:50 PM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

39	This is a vital service	Jan 19, 2012 1:21 PM
40	Services are abused	Jan 19, 2012 11:35 AM
41	Incomplete analysis. Huge financial losses to community if PRC eliminated.	Jan 19, 2012 11:31 AM
42	How many people?	Jan 19, 2012 11:25 AM
43	Funding from other sources is possible	Jan 19, 2012 9:44 AM
44	What is this? I have no idea what the Resource center does....looks like 1 or to salaried employee's.	Jan 18, 2012 6:31 PM
45	city staff salaries are too high. That is where cuts need to be made. NOT SERVICES	Jan 18, 2012 4:40 PM
46	We need somewhere to turn in time of need	Jan 18, 2012 4:00 PM
47	Should not be an option	Jan 17, 2012 8:39 PM
48	Our seniors deserve more not less- cap city counsel [sic] salaries or work part-time and get a real job!	Jan 17, 2012 4:47 PM
49	Where else can they go?	Jan 17, 2012 3:19 PM
50	Again your target the most vulnerable population	Jan 17, 2012 3:02 PM
51	Can come back later	Jan 17, 2012 12:03 PM
52	Absolutely not!	Jan 16, 2012 9:50 PM
53	Find county wide funding not just city wide	Jan 16, 2012 4:11 PM
54	The Resource Center should be funded solely through private donations. The Resource Center should appeal directly to the community.	Jan 16, 2012 10:42 AM
55	Explore county options.	Jan 16, 2012 10:27 AM
56	25% REDUCTION	Jan 14, 2012 7:22 PM
57	In times of increased need and better economical time increased support, but at least no cuts before revenue increases more adequately explored.	Jan 14, 2012 9:09 AM
58	this brings in a lot of other money we don't want to lose	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 PM
59	gradually phase out funding and help to replace it with direct community support	Jan 13, 2012 2:29 PM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

60	Cut city employee salaries 5-10% = reduce benefits from 100% to 50%	Jan 13, 2012 11:34 AM
61	Not familiar with the resource center or the services it provides to the community.	Jan 13, 2012 9:58 AM
62	Reduce city salaries and benefits so this program is not reduced.	Jan 12, 2012 10:04 AM
63	Some of the support goes to residents of other communities.	Jan 12, 2012 7:30 PM
64	Reduce funding -R.C. Admin staff to take reduction in salary much like city employees did	Jan 12, 2012 2:56 PM
65	Possible reduction, but not full elimination of funding.	Jan 12, 2012 11:23 AM
66	This is the most vulnerable population who uses this service we cannot eliminate this; add a tax to the payday loan businesses to pay for the resource center	Jan 12, 2012 9:24 AM
67	people will be hungry and homeless, cutting these programs will lead to other, more expensive, problems	Jan 12, 2012 8:34 AM
68	what does this do..review...cut big salaries..	Jan 12, 2012 12:23 AM
69	STRONGLY Oppose this. The PRC is more critical today then ever in support of our community. This center has a proven track record of maximizing funding and continually finding ways to support more and more families with need. Absolutely should not be considered for cuts.	Jan 11, 2012 10:41 PM
70	combine R C and V C together in highly visible location	Jan 11, 2012 8:12 PM
71	Many people and organizations donate to the resource center. Pay the employees less.Pay less for the City Managers and employees overall instead of cutting services.	Jan 11, 2012 7:34 PM
72	Reducing this budget item could cost the city far more with increased crime and homelessness. We simply cannot lose the PRC.	Jan 11, 2012 5:06 PM
73	I would like to see an accounting of what the \$83K is spent on.	Jan 11, 2012 1:52 PM
74	Does city currently support Resource Center to this extent?	Jan 11, 2012 12:10 PM
75	i have no idea what this is/does	Jan 10, 2012 11:04 PM
76	Did you see the numbers for those who need help	Jan 10, 2012 7:56 PM
77	The agreement was to sunset the monetary support years ago. I think it's time they stand on their own.	Jan 10, 2012 7:30 PM
78	Help the poor.	Jan 10, 2012 7:18 PM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

79	The Resource Center saves lives.	Jan 10, 2012 6:17 PM
80	Better here than a park for off leash dogs, estimated to serve 25 patrons a day! sheesh!	Jan 10, 2012 5:49 PM
81	This service is needed now more than ever.	Jan 10, 2012 2:32 PM
82	They are a vital asset to helping those who fall upon hard times in the pacifica area.	Jan 10, 2012 9:27 AM
83	They should keep it, there are many starving seniors and poor students that are on SSI or welfare and disabled.	Jan 9, 2012 10:32 PM
84	This should become or be administered by non-profit organizations	Jan 9, 2012 10:21 PM
85	should reduce City support by a percentage - 10%	Jan 9, 2012 9:26 PM
86	Again, need to put something on the table	Jan 9, 2012 8:56 PM
87	please specify what needs special needs homeless vs autistic?	Jan 9, 2012 2:14 PM
88	A place of last resort for citizens in a bad position should be funded!	Jan 9, 2012 12:57 PM
89	consider reducing a percentage - just as other City Services have been reduced (5-10% reduction)	Jan 9, 2012 12:51 PM
90	Raise taxes	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
91	This is not a core government function	Jan 9, 2012 11:13 AM
92	slight cut to funding	Jan 8, 2012 10:38 PM
93	We see people get free food from the resource center then dump it into the garbage cans at the car wash what they don't want. Such a waste!	Jan 8, 2012 3:36 PM
94	Pacifica needs to undertake a comprehensive review of all social/human service programs, regardless of current service provider, to ensure eligible Pacificans receive the highest quality services. Ineffective duplication exists among service providers. Some services are dangerously under-resourced. The Pacifica Resource Center is not sufficiently accountable to Pacificans due to its status as a project of the Tides Center, its ineffective advisory board, a lack of transparency, and lack of demonstrable outcomes for the City's investment of \$83,000 in unrestricted funding.	Jan 8, 2012 11:04 AM
95	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. eliminating jobs is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 5:53 PM
96	a better explanation is needed; this single reduction could pay for the majority of	Jan 7, 2012 9:58 AM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

the above recommended cuts. I need more information to make an informed decision

97	What community services?	Jan 7, 2012 9:34 AM
98	Pacifica has a great reputation for helping its citizens in need we need to continue this goodwill service	Jan 6, 2012 5:17 PM
99	Balance expenditure against benefit to community	Jan 6, 2012 4:07 PM
100	Reduce without eliminating completely?	Jan 6, 2012 2:32 PM
101	Resource Center not helpful at Xmas donation time	Jan 5, 2012 6:30 PM
102	need 'important community services' defined	Jan 5, 2012 1:11 PM
103	The young, the elderly and the poor - what could reductions you propose.	Jan 5, 2012 12:43 PM
104	support this option	Jan 4, 2012 7:29 PM
105	reduce for 1-2 years	Jan 4, 2012 5:49 PM
106	cut dollar amount to 50000	Jan 4, 2012 3:01 PM
107	reduce Resource Center by a percentage (10%) to be in line with other cuts asked of City Services	Jan 3, 2012 6:24 PM
108	Need more details on Resource center activities and utilization	Jan 3, 2012 2:55 PM
109	Whoever works there should be replaced. Don't know what Resource Center does SPECIFICALLY. Some neighbors needed help with medical transportation. Several calls made to resource center were never even returned. Finally, they visited the resource center in person and were gruffly referred to Crespi community center. Call from community center was apparently never returned. This is very poor service for 83K/year! Please provide list of annual achievements of resource center for past 5 years.	Jan 3, 2012 2:50 PM
110	Do not do this please.	Jan 3, 2012 1:20 PM
111	It is shameful that in this times of need the city of Pacifica is even considering affecting those who really need assistance.	Jan 3, 2012 12:39 PM
112	fund at a lower level	Jan 3, 2012 11:39 AM
113	this help out a lot of people and if you do there will be more theft and also more bums and people begging because of this	Jan 3, 2012 4:29 AM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

114	What does the Resource Center provide? What kind of resources?	Jan 2, 2012 10:35 PM
115	Make more cut in city workers pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 10:47 AM
116	reduce allocation to 75,000	Jan 2, 2012 10:38 AM
117	There are plenty of NGO's in the world which can make up any shortfalls. However, back up should be in place before any reductions are made to avoid impacting single-parent families.	Jan 2, 2012 9:10 AM
118	private interprise	Jan 2, 2012 7:18 AM
119	More information needed	Jan 2, 2012 12:29 AM
120	Increase tax revenue by becoming more business fiendly.	Jan 1, 2012 10:52 PM
121	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 10:43 PM
122	cut by 25%; save \$20,000	Jan 1, 2012 5:55 PM
123	The resource center is a non profit agency of the Tides foundation and should not depend on City Money.	Jan 1, 2012 5:17 PM
124	Increase support for the Resource Center. Include shelter for the one or two local homeless people that currently sleep on Pacifica State Beach.	Jan 1, 2012 4:47 PM
125	Reduce funding by half. Enlist volunteers!!!	Jan 1, 2012 4:23 PM
126	City should apply for an allocation of County CDBG funds.	Jan 1, 2012 12:11 PM
127	No	Jan 1, 2012 10:53 AM
128	I strongly oppose any cuts to Pacifica's essential core services of Police Fire and Public Works! Elected Officials and City Management need to fund the City of Pacifica's essential core services before providing funding to non essential programs.	Jan 1, 2012 9:54 AM
129	cut, but not eliminate	Jan 1, 2012 8:53 AM
130	need more info on services provided to # of people	Dec 31, 2011 5:41 PM
131	Need more Information	Dec 31, 2011 4:35 PM
132	The PRC can and should be self-supporting. To abruptly cut off all city funding seems unfair and foolish. Instead, begin this year to reduce funding by 25% each year. It won't be a surprise to staff. And stick to the plan.	Dec 31, 2011 1:35 PM

Page 2, Q10. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Resource Center (\$83,000).

Pro: Saves \$83,000

Con: Eliminates funding support for important community services for those in need in Pacifica

133	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:24 PM
134	NO. This is very important for the needy	Dec 31, 2011 12:13 PM

Page 2, Q11. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Visitor Center (\$10,000).

Pro: Saves \$10,000

Con: Reduces resources available to support tourism in Pacifica and funding support for the Chamber of Commerce

1	We need tourism "surfer"	Jan 24, 2012 1:45 PM
2	Let Chamber of Commerce be a volunteer organization. Does City contribute to Ms. Conlon's salary and benefits?	Jan 24, 2012 12:40 PM
3	Eliminate the Chamber of Commerce support	Jan 24, 2012 12:19 PM
4	Is it really a visitor center?	Jan 24, 2012 12:25 AM
5	cut wages	Jan 23, 2012 10:23 PM
6	pacifica needs tourists	Jan 23, 2012 10:14 PM
7	Can the Visitor Center be given \$5,000?	Jan 23, 2012 10:05 PM
8	This is our local responsibility.	Jan 23, 2012 2:47 PM
9	Keep brochure - eliminate personnel. I have yet to ever see a person at the counter.	Jan 23, 2012 2:47 PM
10	What we need is a community center at the Quarry for tourists. A town square for younger folks.	Jan 23, 2012 12:37 PM
11	Do not reduce	Jan 23, 2012 11:13 AM
12	"online" is a more fiscally responsible option	Jan 23, 2012 10:42 AM
13	more tourism=more money	Jan 21, 2012 1:31 PM
14	We need more visitors who will stop and shop here - taxes!	Jan 21, 2012 12:57 PM
15	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:39 PM
16	This is a source of revenue - beef it up and promote!!!	Jan 21, 2012 12:21 PM
17	It helps generate revenue for local businesses	Jan 21, 2012 12:05 PM
18	This is the work that the Chamber of Commerce should do!	Jan 20, 2012 7:08 PM
19	The Chamber of Commerce is vital not only for visitors but to attract people to Pacifica to support our revenue base. This would be more of a negative cut than proposed	Jan 20, 2012 6:09 PM
20	cut spending equally by a fixed % on every expenditure budgeted	Jan 20, 2012 4:46 PM
21	Business should come up with Chamber of Commerce funds.	Jan 20, 2012 4:22 PM

Page 2, Q11. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Visitor Center (\$10,000).

Pro: Saves \$10,000

Con: Reduces resources available to support tourism in Pacifica and funding support for the Chamber of Commerce

22	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:05 PM
23	Expand it! Attract more folks to come here.	Jan 20, 2012 4:04 PM
24	The Chamber does a fantastic job of bringing in new business and tourists. They need the city's support.	Jan 20, 2012 11:10 AM
25	Increase the TOT or have the business district pay a share	Jan 19, 2012 4:55 PM
26	more chump change. let's get serious.	Jan 19, 2012 4:36 PM
27	Where is it?	Jan 19, 2012 4:11 PM
28	Should be Chamber of Commerce function.	Jan 19, 2012 4:04 PM
29	againmorevolunteers	Jan 19, 2012 3:57 PM
30	Get rid of visitor center and give this money to.. (*comments cut off on submitted paper print out)	Jan 19, 2012 3:56 PM
31	VOLUNTEERS	Jan 19, 2012 1:59 PM
32	I did not know we had a visitor center?	Jan 19, 2012 1:21 PM
33	Agree!	Jan 19, 2012 11:44 AM
34	Do it online instead	Jan 19, 2012 11:25 AM
35	Chamber of Commerce should fund this.	Jan 19, 2012 9:44 AM
36	use volunteer staff	Jan 18, 2012 9:24 PM
37	Why would the city support the Chamber of Commerce?	Jan 18, 2012 8:53 PM
38	Why are we funding the Chamber of Commerce? Shouldn't their members fund their activities?	Jan 18, 2012 8:12 PM
39	Where is the question about revenue generation by making it easier and advantageous for business to move into Pacifica...We have more restaurants per capita but not many other types of business because the city is difficult to do business with. Why? The Chamber of Commerce has been pushing this for a LONG, LONG time....what is the hold up?	Jan 18, 2012 6:31 PM
40	Cuts need to be made at the top of all city administrators.	Jan 18, 2012 4:40 PM
41	I think we should charge for parking for visitors at the beach.. Residents could	Jan 18, 2012 11:09 AM

Page 2, Q11. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Visitor Center (\$10,000).

Pro: Saves \$10,000

Con: Reduces resources available to support tourism in Pacifica and funding support for the Chamber of Commerce

get a reduced rate.

42	More than pays for itself by attracting economic activity,	Jan 18, 2012 11:05 AM
43	Is anyone ever in there?	Jan 18, 2012 9:19 AM
44	can come back later	Jan 17, 2012 12:03 PM
45	Find a for profit sponsor or rent it with a for profit co tenant such as a boutique	Jan 16, 2012 4:11 PM
46	Didn't even know we had a visitor center! Use the saved money to empty the garbage cans on the beach that will increase tourism! Clean the streets...what does the dept. of public works do? At times the streets look worse than cities in Mexico.	Jan 16, 2012 10:27 AM
47	depends on how much it's used I would get rid of it...we can also send information to san francisco for people to have the info on the first beach by the water in the peninsula!	Jan 15, 2012 4:59 PM
48	We have a visitor center? and my tax dollars pay for it.....	Jan 15, 2012 10:24 AM
49	NO PUBLIC FUNDS for Private organization	Jan 14, 2012 4:09 PM
50	Should be business funded.	Jan 14, 2012 10:36 AM
51	Consider reduction in support funding and increased efforts to economic development. Consider reducing support funding to half its current level.	Jan 14, 2012 9:09 AM
52	We need a stronger Chamber of Commerce and new businesses to drive revenue generating tourism. We need more money in this area, not less	Jan 14, 2012 7:44 AM
53	Cut city employee salaries 5-10% = reduce benefits from 100% to 50%	Jan 13, 2012 11:34 AM
54	Visitor information can be provided online; also through the city of San Francisco/San Mateo. Don't feel elimination would impact tourism to Pacifica.	Jan 13, 2012 9:58 AM
55	need visitor center, could be staffed by volunteers	Jan 13, 2012 7:49 AM
56	Currently don't see value coming from this.	Jan 12, 2012 11:23 AM
57	I am not sure what value they add	Jan 12, 2012 9:24 AM
58	ask for contributions	Jan 12, 2012 8:34 AM
59	14 years and i've never heard of it...	Jan 12, 2012 12:23 AM

Page 2, Q11. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Visitor Center (\$10,000).

Pro: Saves \$10,000

Con: Reduces resources available to support tourism in Pacifica and funding support for the Chamber of Commerce

60	although the efforts of these people could generate much-needed money...	Jan 11, 2012 10:17 PM
61	I thought it was important to support tourism in Pacifica?	Jan 11, 2012 9:03 PM
62	I didn't know we had a Visitors Center. They come for the beach and retail. In Future years spend the money to make the roadway pretty instead. Advertise in future years.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
63	combine R C and V C together in highly visible location	Jan 11, 2012 8:12 PM
64	Pay less to the employees and maybe have less employees in each program. Pay less for the City Managers and employees overall instead of cutting services.	Jan 11, 2012 7:34 PM
65	This would be better than cutting senior programs	Jan 11, 2012 6:09 PM
66	Need to do cost-benefit analysis to determine value of this option.	Jan 11, 2012 12:22 PM
67	Chamber of Commerce is business organization, and should pay for this	Jan 11, 2012 6:31 AM
68	irrelevant	Jan 10, 2012 11:04 PM
69	tourism brings in revenue	Jan 10, 2012 9:20 PM
70	Chamber needs to do more fund raising	Jan 10, 2012 7:56 PM
71	The Chamber of Commerce should be funding this from its membership dues - not the City coffers.	Jan 10, 2012 7:10 PM
72	A waste of resources	Jan 10, 2012 5:49 PM
73	We have a visitor center. This may be an antiquated approach to tourism.	Jan 10, 2012 9:27 AM
74	I couldn't even guess where our tourism center is.	Jan 9, 2012 9:47 PM
75	reduce City support by a percentage	Jan 9, 2012 9:26 PM
76	Why even bother trying to support tourism when you have a City that doesn't want any growth. We have so much potential in this beautiful town, but our Council's (past and current) allow Pacifica to be stagnate	Jan 9, 2012 8:56 PM
77	we need a bigger and better visitor center akin to a national park with education about the natural environment. Activities, events, art, meetings could occur here. An ideal place would be next to the ocean behind our new library in the Sharp Park area.	Jan 9, 2012 6:52 PM

Page 2, Q11. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Visitor Center (\$10,000).

Pro: Saves \$10,000

Con: Reduces resources available to support tourism in Pacifica and funding support for the Chamber of Commerce

78	put VC and CoC at Golf Course	Jan 9, 2012 5:43 PM
79	consider a 5-10% reduction as other City Departments have been reduced	Jan 9, 2012 12:51 PM
80	this is not a core government function	Jan 9, 2012 11:13 AM
81	focus on bringing in new businesses instead	Jan 8, 2012 10:38 PM
82	We have no tourism because we have nothing to offer them	Jan 8, 2012 6:36 AM
83	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. eliminating jobs is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 5:53 PM
84	Fund by Chamber of Commerce donations/assessments	Jan 7, 2012 11:22 AM
85	Visitor center should be funded by merchants	Jan 6, 2012 9:26 PM
86	the business of pacific can team together to come up with this small amount of funds	Jan 6, 2012 5:17 PM
87	is this program really doing anything now anyways?	Jan 5, 2012 5:15 PM
88	The Chamber doesn't even do this anymore. They shouldn't get the funding.	Jan 5, 2012 2:51 PM
89	not enough info again - define use of resources	Jan 5, 2012 1:11 PM
90	support this Option	Jan 4, 2012 7:29 PM
91	If anything, this should be pumped up.	Jan 4, 2012 10:18 AM
92	This is penny ante stuff. Tourism center does not appear to be very effective. Perhaps more effective tourism outreach & innovative ideas can be achieved using marketing students from local or community colleges. Also can C of C take over this operation?	Jan 3, 2012 2:50 PM
93	The Chamber of Commerce was a supporter of the Peebles' plan and I do not feel it is in touch with the community. I do however support promoting tourism in general, so I would oppose this if the Chamber would get a clue about the kind of conservation-minded resident who moves to Pacifica.	Jan 3, 2012 1:20 PM
94	Get the business together in a meeting those businesses that benefit directly from tourism and ask them to come up with a plan to cover these expenses.	Jan 3, 2012 12:39 PM
95	Let the business pay a small amount toward the center	Jan 3, 2012 12:37 PM
96	Does anyone visit the center? Need more context...	Jan 3, 2012 9:34 AM

Page 2, Q11. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Visitor Center (\$10,000).

Pro: Saves \$10,000

Con: Reduces resources available to support tourism in Pacifica and funding support for the Chamber of Commerce

97	Honestly, I've never seen anyone in the Visitor Center.	Jan 3, 2012 8:39 AM
98	Tourism support is important; can help with long-term solvency for city.	Jan 3, 2012 8:32 AM
99	Where is our visitor center? Is that the booth in the Senior Center?	Jan 2, 2012 10:35 PM
100	What - you don't want people to come and see our beautiful city which has lot to show. Again, what's wrong with you all. I know times are hard, but come on let's not take away our tourism	Jan 2, 2012 1:52 PM
101	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 10:47 AM
102	reduce allocation to 8,000	Jan 2, 2012 10:38 AM
103	volunteers	Jan 2, 2012 9:22 AM
104	VC's are a generational thing. We have enough retirees here, and need to attract more young families and professionals. Younger folks use the internet, so, just make sure your website accomodates.	Jan 2, 2012 9:10 AM
105	privite interprise	Jan 2, 2012 7:18 AM
106	Increase tax revenue by becoming more business fiendly.	Jan 1, 2012 10:52 PM
107	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 10:43 PM
108	Chamber needs to fund this expense	Jan 1, 2012 5:55 PM
109	Could we perhaps sell Sourvenier items at the Visitors Center or ask business to pay for a business map to show visitors which business to go to for Sun Tan lotion, meals, gas etc.	Jan 1, 2012 5:17 PM
110	Let the Chamber of Commerce fund their own projects. It is a private organization that should have no problem raising \$10k.	Jan 1, 2012 4:47 PM
111	Chamber should be funded by merchants who benefit.	Jan 1, 2012 4:23 PM
112	volunteers could help here	Jan 1, 2012 12:59 PM
113	Perhaps just suspend program for 2 years or so	Jan 1, 2012 12:22 PM
114	I strongly oppose any cuts to Pacifica's essential core services of Police Fire and Public Works! Elected Officials and City Management need to fund the City of Pacifica's essential core services before providing funding to non essential programs.	Jan 1, 2012 9:54 AM

Page 2, Q11. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Eliminate funding for Visitor Center (\$10,000).

Pro: Saves \$10,000

Con: Reduces resources available to support tourism in Pacifica and funding support for the Chamber of Commerce

115	Other avenues of information available on-line	Jan 1, 2012 8:58 AM
116	Have Chamber of Commerce Fund Visitor Center	Dec 31, 2011 6:03 PM
117	the office is usually closed when I stop by...wasteful	Dec 31, 2011 5:41 PM
118	Pacifica needs this resource for exposure to our community	Dec 31, 2011 4:35 PM
119	Don't know how much \$10k in funding generates through tourism.	Dec 31, 2011 4:35 PM
120	New and improved CofC can and should handle this one.	Dec 31, 2011 1:35 PM
121	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:24 PM
122	NO. Unknown loss of revenue from Chamber to save money does not make sense. City could be losing money with this measure.	Dec 31, 2011 12:13 PM

Page 2, Q13. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Reduce funding support for 14 extra library hours in the County Library in Pacifica. Extra hours are necessitated by having to split County allocation of hours between two facilities. (\$75,000)

Pro: Saves \$75,000

Con: Reduce...

1	We already have few hours	Jan 24, 2012 1:45 PM
2	An educated well-read citizenship is essential for a cohesive, strong community. Once lost, library funding will be difficult to re-establish.	Jan 24, 2012 12:19 PM
3	We need a literate society	Jan 24, 2012 1:42 AM
4	Get one library - work at it better	Jan 24, 2012 12:25 AM
5	People need library to look for jobs and resources to care for themselves without health insurance or money to hire repair service and a clean, warm place to relax and enjoy.	Jan 23, 2012 11:45 PM
6	Most have computers at home and school	Jan 23, 2012 10:43 PM
7	do we really need 2 facilities?	Jan 23, 2012 10:05 PM
8	Times are tough	Jan 23, 2012 5:10 PM
9	Why can't we have just one library with more hours?	Jan 23, 2012 5:00 PM
10	Eliminate one library	Jan 23, 2012 4:15 PM
11	Library's [sic] are manditory [sic] for every city for young & older people to have access in order to expand their minds. and contribute to a healthy...*(comments incomplete and cut off from print out)	Jan 23, 2012 3:03 PM
12	Keeping libraries open are our local obligation.	Jan 23, 2012 2:47 PM
13	\$150,000 for a dog park	Jan 23, 2012 2:30 PM
14	Recommendations (see comments #29). Close BOTH Libraries and sell proerty. Let People use internet (at home) or free internet at starbucks. or. 2 close 1 and sell property. or 3. Alternate reduced open hours betwee both libraries 4. Have support hours @ single library	Jan 23, 2012 2:05 PM
15	Look at federal grant money to continue funding for our excellent libraries.	Jan 23, 2012 12:37 PM
16	Close one library	Jan 23, 2012 11:23 AM
17	Libraries are a vital link for many people to know about our universe, lives and histories - especially for children.	Jan 21, 2012 12:57 PM
18	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:39 PM
19	Combine the two libraries	Jan 21, 2012 12:21 PM
20	Look to grant funding to replace future costs for library operation	Jan 20, 2012 7:08 PM

Page 2, Q13. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Reduce funding support for 14 extra library hours in the County Library in Pacifica. Extra hours are necessitated by having to split County allocation of hours between two facilities. (\$75,000)

Pro: Saves \$75,000

Con: Reduce...

21	I think we should eliminate a library and consolidate the two.	Jan 20, 2012 6:09 PM
22	consider consolidating the library to one site to allow an increase in hours	Jan 20, 2012 5:42 PM
23	cut every budgeted item by a fixed % so every service, group needs to make up rest of money on own	Jan 20, 2012 4:46 PM
24	libraries are fundamental to a community. no library = no community	Jan 20, 2012 4:22 PM
25	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:05 PM
26	Propose fee for use of library to cover costs of operation and maintenance	Jan 20, 2012 2:02 PM
27	Could we support just enough extra library hours to receive the matching funds from the County? We can always add more hours when these tough economic times end - hopefully soon.	Jan 20, 2012 12:56 PM
28	Many people use the loprary for job search research. We need more residents to be employed so they can contribute financially to their community.	Jan 20, 2012 11:10 AM
29	Combine the 2 libraries	Jan 19, 2012 5:39 PM
30	Reduce hours at the smallest and least central facility	Jan 19, 2012 4:55 PM
31	stop taking from the people who need the most and have the least.	Jan 19, 2012 4:36 PM
32	Thisisthenewageofinformation.it'saboutcomputers/google/internetnetworks/explo rerect.	Jan 19, 2012 3:57 PM
33	Maybe the supervisors should take a pay cut.	Jan 19, 2012 3:56 PM
34	library services [sic]	Jan 19, 2012 1:21 PM
35	Do this!	Jan 19, 2012 11:48 AM
36	Make one library.	Jan 19, 2012 11:44 AM
37	Close 1 library	Jan 19, 2012 11:33 AM
38	Leave services untouched and cut salaries/benefits that are out of line with a city of our size	Jan 18, 2012 4:40 PM
39	We need our libraries We need more volunteers. Even high school students who may need service hours	Jan 18, 2012 11:09 AM
40	Between Internet and other, better, peninsula libraries, we do not need them	Jan 18, 2012 8:55 AM
41	We need our libraries, we don't need a TV station that features a talk show host	Jan 17, 2012 8:39 PM

Page 2, Q13. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Reduce funding support for 14 extra library hours in the County Library in Pacifica. Extra hours are necessitated by having to split County allocation of hours between two facilities. (\$75,000)

Pro: Saves \$75,000

Con: Reduce...

from the east bay.

42	both our libraris are outdated, they don't have proper books and have turned into baby sitters for partents. we don't need these old outdated libraris.	Jan 17, 2012 6:42 PM
43	If you decide to elimiate the homework progrms the libraries must maintain their hours.	Jan 16, 2012 11:19 AM
44	More services are now available online reducing the impact of fewer library hours.	Jan 16, 2012 10:42 AM
45	We already have the shortest library hours in San mateo county. Library serves all the citizens and should be saved.	Jan 16, 2012 10:27 AM
46	Alternate the opening of the libraries, 1 open day, then the other opesn the next day and so on.	Jan 16, 2012 9:39 AM
47	close library in the AM and open in the PM to save money	Jan 15, 2012 4:59 PM
48	Based on City Council/Library Study/and General Plan Update Project it appears Pacifica will be going to single library so expedite City Council decision as to location and consider revenue potential for library relocation and excessable property.	Jan 14, 2012 9:09 AM
49	Should look into options to leverage the local school libraries.	Jan 14, 2012 7:44 AM
50	Cut city employee salaries 5-10% = reduce benefits from 100% to 50%	Jan 13, 2012 11:34 AM
51	Strongly oppose! Libraries are key to a healthy community. Provides constructive outlets for after school/weekend for kids/teens/students. Also a great resource for adults and seniors, including use of computers (jobs/employment/volunteer/health). The library's food for fines raised food for the community that would perhaps not occur otherwise. Libraries are a resource that provides growth and opportunities for the residents of Pacifica as well as brings community together. If anything, library hours should be increased (during school season, could open later some days and extend evening hours; add alternating Sunday openings between Sharp Park and Sanchez). Libraries are a valuable community resource and funding SHOULD NOT be reduced/eliminated.	Jan 13, 2012 9:58 AM
52	start a fee for using the library, it shouldn't be free to use	Jan 13, 2012 7:49 AM
53	Reduce city salary and benefits.	Jan 12, 2012 10:04 PM
54	One Library only	Jan 12, 2012 2:56 PM
55	i strongly support this option	Jan 12, 2012 12:45 PM

Page 2, Q13. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Reduce funding support for 14 extra library hours in the County Library in Pacifica. Extra hours are necessitated by having to split County allocation of hours between two facilities. (\$75,000)

Pro: Saves \$75,000

Con: Reduce...

56	ask for contributions or raise taxese	Jan 12, 2012 8:34 AM
57	put resource center materials in libraries...save \$\$	Jan 12, 2012 12:23 AM
58	The loss of matched funds is significant	Jan 11, 2012 10:17 PM
59	These are very tough times. The hours can be added back relatively easily, and it is more efficient in the long run to have only 1 library. This reduction would be moving toward that reality. But it's with great reluctance I can support this.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
60	seek more volunteers to man libraries(i.e.retirees)	Jan 11, 2012 8:12 PM
61	Pay less for the City Managers and employees overall instead of cutting the library services.	Jan 11, 2012 7:34 PM
62	I would like more not less library services!	Jan 11, 2012 4:56 PM
63	I think the library will find new ways to adapt and serve the public as needs and technology change.	Jan 11, 2012 1:52 PM
64	DO NOT TOUCH LIBRARY OR EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM	Jan 11, 2012 12:22 PM
65	'additional' to what? what we have today? if so, yes	Jan 10, 2012 11:04 PM
66	We have tv station? And I pay for it?	Jan 10, 2012 7:18 PM
67	And they fully fund a park for off leash dogs instead, what genius came up with that?	Jan 10, 2012 5:49 PM
68	I see many children at the library plus many who are looking for employment. This is vital center for growing an involved and educated community.	Jan 10, 2012 9:27 AM
69	Both libraries should start doing fundraisers	Jan 9, 2012 10:21 PM
70	reduce this support by 20% - City should not pay support it by so much, especially when reducing other City services	Jan 9, 2012 9:26 PM
71	another impact to our children and education	Jan 9, 2012 8:56 PM
72	after one library is established, the staffing needs will change. The library is an important community resource.	Jan 9, 2012 6:52 PM
73	libraries are essential for an informed citizenry	Jan 9, 2012 5:43 PM
74	reduce some support - City is cutting services in other department, why is the Library NOT taking a cut?	Jan 9, 2012 12:51 PM
75	Raise taxes	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM

Page 2, Q13. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Reduce funding support for 14 extra library hours in the County Library in Pacifica. Extra hours are necessitated by having to split County allocation of hours between two facilities. (\$75,000)

Pro: Saves \$75,000

Con: Reduce...

76	Close one branch; reduce library hours.	Jan 8, 2012 1:50 PM
77	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. eliminating jobs is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 6:53 PM
78	Are the match funds used exclusively for library services? Is the \$75K really \$37.5K with the matching funds?	Jan 7, 2012 11:40 AM
79	again there maybe some saving realized if we optimize the library program. Digital information availability on computers is now significant you can cut some library hours but offset that by having some computers at the community center available when libraries are not open.	Jan 6, 2012 5:17 PM
80	Services critical to community-LEAVE IT ALONE!!!!!!	Jan 6, 2012 4:07 PM
81	I don't understand the loss of match thing - will this save money or not?	Jan 6, 2012 2:32 PM
82	This is the item that is most important NOT to cut!!	Jan 6, 2012 9:06 AM
83	combine libraries	Jan 5, 2012 6:30 PM
84	use volunteers with 1 paid staff person.	Jan 4, 2012 10:25 PM
85	Oppose this option	Jan 4, 2012 7:29 PM
86	use volunteers for the library like the sf zoo	Jan 4, 2012 4:42 PM
87	Why are we the ONLY City in the County with two libraries?	Jan 4, 2012 10:44 AM
88	Can also call upon volunteers got cover hours of operation. This is what San Jose is doing to ensure residents have access to library facilities.	Jan 4, 2012 10:18 AM
89	Does the saving include or exclude matching funds?	Jan 3, 2012 11:23 PM
90	reduce Library support by a percentage (10%) to be in line with other cuts asked of City Services - Why should we have 2 libraries?	Jan 3, 2012 6:24 PM
91	Strongly oppose. Many volunteers willing to help extend Library hours for free. Suggest making big cuts by outsourcing police & eliminating some supervisory positions which will more than outweigh modest savings from cutbacks to Library hours. Also, bring in other revenues by selective commercial development, eg quarry & other parcels.	Jan 3, 2012 2:50 PM
92	You outsource the police, you don't need to do the rest of this. The police is the bulk of the cost. The rest is such smaller amounts of money.	Jan 3, 2012 1:20 PM
93	So, besides affecting the most disenfranchised, the city is proposing a reduction in education and research?	Jan 3, 2012 12:39 PM

Page 2, Q13. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Reduce funding support for 14 extra library hours in the County Library in Pacifica. Extra hours are necessitated by having to split County allocation of hours between two facilities. (\$75,000)

Pro: Saves \$75,000

Con: Reduce...

94	change working hours and also use part time workers	Jan 3, 2012 4:29 AM
95	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 10:47 AM
96	staffeach library with only 2 librarians at all times, One for public interfacing(help finding things, checking out, etc) , one for restocking, organizing, etc.	Jan 2, 2012 10:38 AM
97	use volunteers to run libraries	Jan 2, 2012 10:33 AM
98	Should move towards having only one library in the city.	Jan 2, 2012 10:00 AM
99	use the internet	Jan 2, 2012 9:22 AM
100	As mentioned before, education-related services must always be supported (especially if local PD is eliminated.)	Jan 2, 2012 9:10 AM
101	privite interprise	Jan 2, 2012 7:18 AM
102	Increase tax revenue by becoming more business fiendly.	Jan 1, 2012 10:52 PM
103	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 10:43 PM
104	Cut by 33%; net savings of approximately \$13,000	Jan 1, 2012 5:55 PM
105	Both libraries are rather small and outdated. We need one big super library that could accomodate all of Pacifica's needs. This town cannot afford to support two.	Jan 1, 2012 5:17 PM
106	In general I feel our taxes should be RAISED so these cuts don't have to happen.	Jan 1, 2012 5:11 PM
107	Volunteers	Jan 1, 2012 12:59 PM
108	Why have two libraries operating at same time. Have one open M-W and the other open Th-Sunday	Jan 1, 2012 12:11 PM
109	I strongly oppose any cuts to Pacifica's essential core services of Police Fire and Public Works! Elected Officials and City Management need to fund the City of Pacifica's essential core services before providing funding to non essential programs.	Jan 1, 2012 9:54 AM
110	Late hrs can be reduced	Jan 1, 2012 8:53 AM
111	NO!	Jan 1, 2012 12:55 AM
112	consolidate libraries, only have 1, cut costs by eliminating the internet and computer access	Dec 31, 2011 9:20 PM

Page 2, Q13. Non-Departmental Expenditures (General) - Community Services

•Reduce funding support for 14 extra library hours in the County Library in Pacifica. Extra hours are necessitated by having to split County allocation of hours between two facilities. (\$75,000)

Pro: Saves \$75,000

Con: Reduce...

113	the library is already closed way too often	Dec 31, 2011 5:41 PM
114	I fully support this cut but the real issue is why do we still have 2 libraries? I'm a regular patron but the waste of money is glaring. This is a luxury we cannot afford.	Dec 31, 2011 1:35 PM
115	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:24 PM
116	No, Library services are already low. Consider consolidating the 2 libraries for efficiency	Dec 31, 2011 12:13 PM

Page 3, Q14. Business License (General): Restructure/increase the % charged for each business type. A business license in Pacifica can range from the minimum of \$40 per year to the maximum of \$12,457. Businesses with gross sales under \$25,000 pay the minimum, contractors are \$50 and professionals (dentist...

1	Look at big business only - taco bell, mc donalds, banks, safeway, samtrans, gas stations	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	A few years ago City Hall advised me that having a business license is not enforced in Pacifica. The Palmetto Ave. Business I inquired about had not paid for a business license in the 3 prior year! That business charged me \$1500 for work not done. The State of California recovered \$750 for me and the business was closed. Pacifica? No fines. No follow-u. No Assistance!	Jan 24, 2012 1:34 PM
3	We should be trying to attract business, not run it off.	Jan 24, 2012 1:11 PM
4	I believe most of our businesses would consider themselves struggling	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
5	With in house buliding inspector not rent-a inspections	Jan 24, 2012 1:03 AM
6	the economy is bad	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
7	We need more business in Pacifica. Why kill what we already have? No increase	Jan 24, 2012 12:08 AM
8	Let's not discourage those that generate revenue and jobs.	Jan 23, 2012 11:50 PM
9	cut wages	Jan 23, 2012 10:25 PM
10	Make application easier take a look at HMB it is so much easier for businesses to interpret	Jan 23, 2012 10:16 PM
11	The economy is bad. We have a hard enough time keeping businesses in Pacifica. Hello!	Jan 23, 2012 5:27 PM
12	We could loose businesses	Jan 23, 2012 5:12 PM
13	maybe increase the fee but not doubled	Jan 23, 2012 5:02 PM
14	Increase \$40 to 50% = \$60 [sic]	Jan 23, 2012 4:28 PM
15	This a town of small businesses. Why put the burden of deficit on their shoulders whle big business does not pay an adequate amount!	Jan 23, 2012 3:10 PM
16	This info is not all accurate!	Jan 23, 2012 2:59 PM
17	A "support" vote kills business inPacifica. As if it needed a reason.	Jan 23, 2012 2:53 PM
18	smaller increases	Jan 23, 2012 1:56 PM
19	Only for large businesses w/sales above \$30,000 (varying increases for varying sales) Structures of greater than \$15,000.	Jan 23, 2012 12:53 PM
20	Keep taxes low for small guys!	Jan 23, 2012 8:56 AM
21	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
22	This City has never seemed to support new business, now it's caused a	Jan 20, 2012 11:21 PM

Page 3, Q14. Business License (General): Restructure/increase the % charged for each business type. A business license in Pacifica can range from the minimum of \$40 per year to the maximum of \$12,457. Businesses with gross sales under \$25,000 pay the minimum, contractors are \$50 and professionals (dentist...

problem.

23	Don't make it more difficult for businesses to survive here.	Jan 20, 2012 8:12 PM
24	A business license increase will not "make or break" any business that is contributing tax revenue to the city. As a business owner, this would never have any play on whether or not I would or would not do business in Pacifica for the amount of money discussed. Perhaps if it were \$500 or \$1,000 I might think twice.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
25	Gotta sell folks on raising taxes.	Jan 20, 2012 4:45 PM
26	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
27	This isn't revenue effective	Jan 20, 2012 2:19 PM
28	Doubling a business licenses is just going to make people angry. Everyone is struggling right now. We should have business incentives to lure first-time businesses into Pacifica, such as a lower fee for the first year, but a contract at the regular rate for the next 3-5 years...	Jan 20, 2012 1:32 PM
29	This is not worth it for only \$20,000 additional revenues. We want to attract businesses. I have heard that Pacifica makes it really hard to create a business. This needs to change.	Jan 20, 2012 11:39 AM
30	Establish permit parking for excess vehicles parked in neighborhoods overnight. Also consider paid parking in public lots and streets near the beaches and piers.	Jan 20, 2012 11:08 AM
31	Contractors should be charged an increased amount as well, up to \$100.	Jan 20, 2012 9:03 AM
32	hopefully the businesses derive some benefit from these funds, (expedite services), but i doubt if anyone really knows.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
33	Appropriate levels of increase based on revenue of license-holder.	Jan 19, 2012 4:38 PM
34	I'm willing to pay \$80 as I am one of the 430.	Jan 19, 2012 11:38 AM
35	Don't hurt local businesses	Jan 19, 2012 11:28 AM
36	Increase should be greater for more lucrative businesses (i.e., dentists)	Jan 19, 2012 9:51 AM
37	Do not raise taxes they are already too high	Jan 18, 2012 8:34 PM
38	When you don't do a good job attracting businesses you want to charge the existing business more when their business has dropped....make it easy, make it attractive for businesses to come to Pacifica....Look at what SF, Daly City, SSF, SB, SM does and make it easier than they do.	Jan 18, 2012 7:24 PM
39	Increase business licenses to \$125. This is what Daly City charges. I pay that as a landlord for property in Daly City. Increase the 430 businesses by \$20.	Jan 18, 2012 5:08 PM
40	We need to help businesses not burden them at the cost of over inflated salaries	Jan 18, 2012 4:49 PM

Page 3, Q14. Business License (General): Restructure/increase the % charged for each business type. A business license in Pacifica can range from the minimum of \$40 per year to the maximum of \$12,457. Businesses with gross sales under \$25,000 pay the minimum, contractors are \$50 and professionals (dentist...

and benefits

41	We need to support local businesses	Jan 18, 2012 11:19 AM
42	Pacifica is not business friendly to begin with.	Jan 18, 2012 9:26 AM
43	Professionals should be raised only by 100% to \$200	Jan 17, 2012 8:40 PM
44	then business will go elsewhere keep the same	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
45	AND I OWN A BUSINESS HERE!	Jan 17, 2012 4:54 PM
46	Licensing fee's already financially hurt small business	Jan 17, 2012 2:41 PM
47	How do our license requirements compare with neighboring cities?	Jan 16, 2012 10:36 AM
48	support option for corporations such as Safeway and Verizon and businesse's at top end of scale	Jan 15, 2012 6:21 PM
49	I support taxing the businesses with high revenue (Walgreens, Rite Aid, Fresh&Easy, Safeway, Ross, Starbucks, etc. at a much higher rate.	Jan 15, 2012 6:14 PM
50	The City of Pacifica should charge the larger businesses like Safeway at a much higher tax rate, rather than putting more burden on the small independent businesses.	Jan 15, 2012 6:14 PM
51	Is this a yearly fee, if so, it seems ok to increase based on your explanation above	Jan 15, 2012 5:11 PM
52	We need to keep businesses here for revenue/taxation.	Jan 14, 2012 10:45 AM
53	Seems reasonable	Jan 14, 2012 9:51 AM
54	I am a small business owner	Jan 13, 2012 8:20 PM
55	Professionals can afford higher fees	Jan 13, 2012 12:54 PM
56	Cut city employee salaries 5-10% = reduce benefits from 100% to 50%	Jan 13, 2012 11:42 AM
57	A \$40 per year increase for a business is minimal. Don't see how this would significantly impact even struggling businesses.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
58	smaller graduated increase would make more sense than driving marginal businesses out of business	Jan 13, 2012 9:33 AM
59	stop killing business and homeownership cut taxes	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
60	\$100 to \$150 is high, but ok. \$40 should go up a third as well, to \$54 - \$60.	Jan 12, 2012 9:50 PM
61	I support the general option but disagree with the increase as identified. There should be additional levels for higher revenue generators.	Jan 12, 2012 6:38 PM

Page 3, Q14. Business License (General): Restructure/increase the % charged for each business type. A business license in Pacifica can range from the minimum of \$40 per year to the maximum of \$12,457. Businesses with gross sales under \$25,000 pay the minimum, contractors are \$50 and professionals (dentist...

62	I am an attorney in this city, I support this option.	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
63	don't hurt business	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
64	increases of any kind should be minimal to help small business owners	Jan 11, 2012 8:44 PM
65	Pay less for the City Managers and employees overall instead of raising taxes. Higher taxes will raise the cost of business and be passed on to the consumers and renters, etc.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
66	I own a business and have no problem paying this increase	Jan 11, 2012 6:20 PM
67	This would only discourage businesses from opening or staying in Pacifica. And that would reduce the tax base... Have it your way, the money can stay in Pacifica, or just go next door to Colma.	Jan 11, 2012 2:47 PM
68	it is hard enough on us, dont increase taxation...	Jan 11, 2012 9:49 AM
69	better get the licence and pay the tax then have people not do either	Jan 10, 2012 10:22 PM
70	local businesses generate city revenue, this makes it harder to generate a profit and continue to increase revenue	Jan 10, 2012 9:46 PM
71	Pacifica is not pro-business and we seem to have more businesses leaving than starting. Maybe think of how to promote new business rather than increase taxes on those struggling to stay here.	Jan 10, 2012 6:53 PM
72	Not enforced upon out of town business and thus penalizes in local business.	Jan 10, 2012 6:07 PM
73	Attract business with less tax.	Jan 10, 2012 2:32 PM
74	You are talking about very small amounts. We don't want to discourage businesses from locating/staying in Pacifica. However, if a business cannot afford the charges shown, they need to look more seriously at what they are doing. (The numbers shown above are less than a dinner for two at a good restaurant.)	Jan 10, 2012 10:03 AM
75	This is a small element to the expenses of a business.	Jan 10, 2012 9:41 AM
76	Restructing will drive businesses away from Pacifica	Jan 9, 2012 10:31 PM
77	make it easy for businesses in town, not harder.	Jan 9, 2012 9:55 PM
78	don't put as much on the smaller businesses - don't increase as much	Jan 9, 2012 9:32 PM
79	I support on one hand since the majority of business license are well below the norm. On the other hand, it may be a large impact to small businesses with no growth in sales. We really need more growth in this town! We as a community need to start thinking out side of the box. You can only increase current revunues so much!!!!	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
80	Too bad the quarry wasn't developed.	Jan 9, 2012 7:16 PM

Page 3, Q14. Business License (General): Restructure/increase the % charged for each business type. A business license in Pacifica can range from the minimum of \$40 per year to the maximum of \$12,457. Businesses with gross sales under \$25,000 pay the minimum, contractors are \$50 and professionals (dentist...

81	base it on business size - do not increase small business by such a large percent	Jan 9, 2012 12:56 PM
82	No Special Election on this question.	Jan 8, 2012 2:02 PM
83	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing this fee is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
84	I would like to compare our fees to other municipalities	Jan 7, 2012 10:17 AM
85	The City already voted in a fee from \$0 to \$150 for fire inspections. All businesses pay the same, this is crazy!	Jan 7, 2012 9:35 AM
86	The increases proposed are reasonable	Jan 6, 2012 4:24 PM
87	the small increases are okay, but no big increases	Jan 6, 2012 2:42 PM
88	Need more businesses brought into City	Jan 5, 2012 6:43 PM
89	Taxing business is NOT a way to encourage business.	Jan 5, 2012 10:38 AM
90	Would drive out more businesses faster than now.	Jan 4, 2012 10:36 PM
91	Oppose this Option	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
92	all services that they provide would increase	Jan 4, 2012 6:03 PM
93	Businesses will leave and you will lose money, idiots.	Jan 4, 2012 3:10 PM
94	Pacificains are taxed to death cut down on fire department, schools and teacher vacation and pay.	Jan 4, 2012 1:01 PM
95	professional license should at least double to 200.00	Jan 4, 2012 8:28 AM
96	Do not raise the small business fees (40.00) by as much, \$10-\$20	Jan 3, 2012 6:34 PM
97	Don't discourage business in Pacifica by raising fees	Jan 3, 2012 3:47 PM
98	I own to small businesses in Pacifica and support this.	Jan 3, 2012 3:05 PM
99	\$80 to \$150 is a very small price to pay to operate a business. It's fair for businesses to pay a little more if the City continues to fund the special events and visitors' center.	Jan 3, 2012 2:10 PM
100	Pacifica needs to attract, not further burden local businesses and create a non-business friendly environment.	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
101	Outsource the police. This is a pittance.	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
102	DOCS & LAWYERS ONLY PAY \$100-ARE YOU CRAZY??	Jan 3, 2012 12:44 PM
103	I am a local contractor Granite Electric and I'm willing to help.	Jan 3, 2012 11:39 AM

Page 3, Q14. Business License (General): Restructure/increase the % charged for each business type. A business license in Pacifica can range from the minimum of \$40 per year to the maximum of \$12,457. Businesses with gross sales under \$25,000 pay the minimum, contractors are \$50 and professionals (dentist...

104	I'm a Pacifica business owner and I would support paying a higher business license fee.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
105	as a home owner it is hard enough to pay for the taxes now with recessio going on	Jan 3, 2012 5:46 AM
106	Businesses with large revenue should bay more than \$100, if a small business is paying \$40-\$80.	Jan 2, 2012 9:53 PM
107	Seems fair based on the court ruling	Jan 2, 2012 7:05 PM
108	If it has to be approved by votes, wouldn't that COST the City to run an election? Would this run the risk	Jan 2, 2012 12:11 PM
109	This will drive away new businesses. Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
110	I think businesses can handle another \$40-50/yr.	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
111	please we don't need you to charge us more for services that you really don't have a clue of what your doing if you did you would get out of our way and charge us less can't you see you are choking us in fees and charges. Please get out of our way.	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
112	do not hurt the businesses that we have try and grow more!	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
113	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
114	These are nominal costs. If a small business cannot absorb and additional \$40-\$50 in costs ANNUALLY they are bound to fail anyway	Jan 1, 2012 6:22 PM
115	Start charging for parking in front of business as well. A few well placed meters could generate some income.	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
116	Instead, increase taxes for businesses with gross sales over \$25,000 - they can afford it.	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
117	Base tax entirely on income. Restructure so businesses with higher earnings pay more.	Jan 1, 2012 4:56 PM
118	I own a business and support the shared interset of the community	Jan 1, 2012 11:40 AM
119	We need more business not less. This is not a good choice.	Jan 1, 2012 11:18 AM
120	Please do not hinder local businesses. They need all the help they can get.	Jan 1, 2012 10:16 AM
121	Elected Officials need to foster and support a properly planned and managed business development program.	Jan 1, 2012 10:11 AM
122	Would like to know what surrounding cities are charging for their business licenses	Jan 1, 2012 9:19 AM

Page 3, Q14. Business License (General): Restructure/increase the % charged for each business type. A business license in Pacifica can range from the minimum of \$40 per year to the maximum of \$12,457. Businesses with gross sales under \$25,000 pay the minimum, contractors are \$50 and professionals (dentist...

123	Professionals should pay a much higher rate!!!!	Jan 1, 2012 9:03 AM
124	Walgreen's & Safeway can afford to pay a bit more	Dec 31, 2011 5:54 PM
125	Wrong time to creat additional expenses for businesses. Wait a few years.	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
126	NO This discourages businesses and raises prices for local businesses. We already lose much sales tax revenue due to better priced big boxes over the hill.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
127	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM

Page 3, Q15. Transient Occupancy Tax (General) (TOT) (Hotel tax): The TOT can also be applied to Vacation Rentals By Owners (VRBO's). From a quick survey of online Pacifica VRBO (a total of 12), a potential \$30,000 to \$40,000 could be collected annually including \$480 in business licenses.

Pro: The Count...

1	What City collects tax from rental? Don't understand	Jan 24, 2012 1:58 PM
2	What business of the City is it what individuals do with their property? Too much big brother!!!	Jan 24, 2012 1:34 PM
3	Many vacationers may already consider the rental at the top of their budget!	Jan 24, 2012 12:36 PM
4	Confusing	Jan 24, 2012 12:30 AM
5	Absolutley, In HI (Hawaii), short term rentals without a special permit are not allowed. They have a team who trolls [sic] the internet looking for any illegal rentals. When they find one, a lien is placed on the property which frequently stops the rental activity.	Jan 23, 2012 2:27 PM
6	TOO MUCH BUREACRACY [sic]	Jan 23, 2012 1:12 PM
7	These are occupants that can spend money in Pacifica if there were better options! (TOT increase/yes but limit it)	Jan 23, 2012 12:53 PM
8	Bad idea, it is like Soviet Union method of making money.	Jan 21, 2012 1:07 PM
9	new sales tax	Jan 21, 2012 12:44 PM
10	At this point, it appears that the extra dollars associated with tracking, implementing, and allocating these funds would far exceed the amount brought in.	Jan 20, 2012 6:28 PM
11	cut pay for senior staff	Jan 20, 2012 4:24 PM
12	County of Monterey Planning did this effectively	Jan 20, 2012 2:19 PM
13	the revenue sounds good but implementation sounds like a big headache.	Jan 19, 2012 5:54 PM
14	When you can apply this fairly and without...(*comments cut off from paper print out)	Jan 19, 2012 4:00 PM
15	Everybody else does it.	Jan 19, 2012 11:38 AM
16	Do not raise taxes they are already too high	Jan 18, 2012 8:34 PM
17	You are trying to poison businesses	Jan 18, 2012 7:24 PM
18	Our Hotels are crap anyways. Nobody stays here. Pacifica Beach Resort will be closed again soon.	Jan 18, 2012 9:26 AM
19	why would you make it harder for business that exist in Pacifica? leave it alone	Jan 17, 2012 7:08 PM
20	charge according to amount of revenue not just a flat tax	Jan 16, 2012 4:21 PM
21	VRBOs notoriously evade TOT tax due. Start a tip line to identify offenders,	Jan 16, 2012 12:18 PM

Page 3, Q15. Transient Occupancy Tax (General) (TOT) (Hotel tax): The TOT can also be applied to Vacation Rentals By Owners (VRBO's). From a quick survey of online Pacifica VRBO (a total of 12), a potential \$30,000 to \$40,000 could be collected annually including \$480 in business licenses.

Pro: The Count...

	offering a reward when back taxes are successfully collected.	
22	Lots of other cities do this (should be 15-18%)	Jan 16, 2012 9:47 AM
23	I think your revenue estimates are too high.	Jan 15, 2012 10:32 AM
24	Support this option for Vacation rentals and hotels only. Not residential rentals.	Jan 13, 2012 9:59 AM
25	incourage travel to pacifica, don't punish it with taxes	Jan 13, 2012 8:06 AM
26	We are generally below wht other counties/cities charge so this should be on par with what the average is in other places; the city should also crack down on those who are illegally renting out their properties	Jan 12, 2012 9:41 AM
27	everyone needs to contribute	Jan 12, 2012 8:43 AM
28	don't hurt tourism	Jan 12, 2012 12:41 AM
29	Sounds like quite a hassle to get started for not much revenue, but it is equitable that the TOT be charged to VRBO & Hotels alike.	Jan 11, 2012 8:49 PM
30	Lower the high pay of the City Managers and other Employees. These type of taxes will be passed on to the consumers and/or renters...and therefore less business.	Jan 11, 2012 8:01 PM
31	I have used VRBO in Hawaii and they are Taxed! The system seems to work well for everyone with the taxes they have in place.	Jan 11, 2012 9:13 AM
32	I support this option, but am skeptical about the success of such efforts.	Jan 10, 2012 7:23 PM
33	You already raised this, do you want to attract or diswade tourists?	Jan 10, 2012 6:07 PM
34	Yes, this seems logical and in line with other cities	Jan 9, 2012 9:29 PM
35	Too bad the quarry wasn't developed.	Jan 9, 2012 7:16 PM
36	not cost efficient	Jan 9, 2012 11:27 AM
37	Consider Todd Bray's Suggestion. increasing this tax is unnecessary	Jan 7, 2012 7:12 PM
38	but if your cutting tourism services...	Jan 7, 2012 8:25 AM
39	the owners will just pass this fee on to renters...not sure on this one	Jan 6, 2012 5:32 PM
40	Depends on whether or not the pro outweighs the con and over what period of time	Jan 5, 2012 1:31 PM
41	neither support or oppose	Jan 4, 2012 8:13 PM
42	This will make fewer people come here. When they come here, they spend	Jan 4, 2012 3:10 PM

Page 3, Q15. Transient Occupancy Tax (General) (TOT) (Hotel tax): The TOT can also be applied to Vacation Rentals By Owners (VRBO's). From a quick survey of online Pacifica VRBO (a total of 12), a potential \$30,000 to \$40,000 could be collected annually including \$480 in business licenses.

Pro: The Count...

money at restaurants and stores.

43	Leave homeowners alone. They have a hard enough time paying their mortgages. A TOT on VRBOs will lead to less rentals and more foreclosures, bringing down everyone's property values.	Jan 3, 2012 3:47 PM
44	Again, do not burden potential visitors and those who cater to tourists with additional fees and pass-through expenses.	Jan 3, 2012 1:47 PM
45	This is hardly anything. Outsource the police and save 1.5 mil.	Jan 3, 2012 1:36 PM
46	Can you imagine the expense in FTE equivalent time just to keep identify and keep track of these few homes? Get real. Do not reduce the FTE time for other services instead of coming up with this idea.	Jan 3, 2012 12:56 PM
47	Haven't we already raised the TOT several times in recent years?	Jan 3, 2012 9:49 AM
48	I suspect it will be impossible to keep track of these and most people just won't pay.	Jan 3, 2012 8:50 AM
49	Not worth the headache!	Jan 2, 2012 7:05 PM
50	Make more cut in city workers exorbitant pensions and health care instead	Jan 2, 2012 11:03 AM
51	Yes, change the ordinance. People who own vacation rentals can afford to help out!	Jan 2, 2012 9:42 AM
52	Agin we don't need anymore charges we pay enough	Jan 2, 2012 7:58 AM
53	not a good idea	Jan 1, 2012 11:11 PM
54	No new local taxes. Expand business and tourism.	Jan 1, 2012 11:06 PM
55	Why just Vacation Rentals, why not all rentals. They are a business after all. I live on a small cul du sac and have 5 neighbors who rent out their houses. Why shouldn't they pay a business tax. I have one neighbor who rents out rooms including her garage, which I believe is illegal, so you should definately make some money from her,	Jan 1, 2012 6:04 PM
56	Increase the amount of the TOT by an amount that will pay for additional staff time required to update the language of the ordinance, track and collect revenues.	Jan 1, 2012 5:12 PM
57	Stop hindering local businss.	Jan 1, 2012 10:16 AM
58	I believe there are very few vacation rentals in this area making this minimal bang for the buck	Jan 1, 2012 9:19 AM
59	It's time for everyone to start paying their fair share, not just property owners.	Dec 31, 2011 10:19 PM

Page 3, Q15. Transient Occupancy Tax (General) (TOT) (Hotel tax): The TOT can also be applied to Vacation Rentals By Owners (VRBO's). From a quick survey of online Pacifica VRBO (a total of 12), a potential \$30,000 to \$40,000 could be collected annually including \$480 in business licenses.

Pro: The Count...

60	Go for it!	Dec 31, 2011 1:37 PM
61	Yes, they compete with our hotels and should be taxed equally. It is only fair. Stiff fines for those who do not comply should be enforced to encourage compliance.	Dec 31, 2011 12:45 PM
62	Reduce compensation of senior staff/dept heads/ fire and police	Dec 31, 2011 12:27 PM