MINUTES

City Council Regular Meeting
2212 Beach Boulevard
Pacifica, CA 94044

November 8, 2010

Mayor Digre called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m., stating that all councilmembers were present and announced that the Council would meet in Closed Session. City Attorney Quick announced the business to be discussed:

1. PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54957.6: Conference with labor negotiator. Agency negotiator: Ann Ritzma. Employee organization: Fire Fighters Local 2400; Teamsters Local 856 Battalion Chiefs; Pacifica Police Officers Association; Pacifica Police Supervisors Association; Police Management Teamsters Local 350; Department Directors Local.

2. PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 54956.8: Conference with Real Property Negotiator. Discussion concerns price and terms of payment. Agency negotiator attending session: Stephen Rhodes. Negotiating parties: City of Pacifica and Fairmont Subdivision Improvement Association. Property: 649 Parkview Circle, Pacifica, CA.

Mayor Digre convened to Closed Session.

Mayor Digre reconvened the meeting at 7:05 p.m.

Present:	Councilmembers:	Lancelle, Vreeland, DeJarnatt, Nihart and Digre.
Excused:	Councilmembers:	None.

Staff Present: Steve Rhodes, City Manager; Cecilia Quick, City Attorney; Lee Diaz, Associate Planner; Mike Perez, PB&R Director; Jim Saunders, Police Chief; Frank Panacci, Deputy Fire Chief; Doug Rider, Building Official; Kathy O'Connell, City Clerk.

Mayor Digre led the Salute to the Flag.

Commission Liaison: None.
Chamber Liaison: None.

CLOSED SESSION:

City Attorney Quick stated that there were no reportable actions from Closed Session this evening.

CONSENT CALENDAR:

Councilmember DeJarnatt moved approval of the Consent Calendar, as amended, as follows: Approval of disbursements dated 09/29/10 to 10/08/10 in the amount of $16,822.67, regular and quick checks numbered 81910 to 81911, 10832 to 10855 and 10857 to 10980; and disbursements dated 09/29/10 to 10/07/10 in the amount of $55,935.50, regular and quick checks numbered 81908, 10830, 10831 and 10856, as set forth in Item #1; Approval of Minutes of regular City

Council meeting of October 25, 2010, as set forth in Item #2; Approval of Contract Amendment No. 3 to the Consultant Services Agreement between the City of Pacifica and Wilsey Ham for the Route 1 San Pedro Creek Bridge Replacement Project. No Additional Budget Required as Enough Funds Have Been Previously Budgeted in Highway 1 Fund, Account No. 12-9000000-52800-0024-000, as set forth in Item #3; Approval of Contract Agreement with the Pacifica Police Department, San Bruno Police Department and Daly City Police Department Concerning the Participation in and Operation of a California Office of Traffic Safety DUI Grant Administered by the Pacifica Police Department, as set forth in Item #4; Approval of Agreement with BMI to Convert Existing Microfilm Police Department Records to Digital Reel In the Amount of $32,328.31 [Account 01.500540.55130.0000.000], as set forth in Item #5; Approval of Contract Agreement with Pacifica Police Department and the Half Moon Bay Police Department Concerning the Sharing of a Police Records Clerk for a Three-Month Period, as set forth in Item #6; seconded by Mayor pro Tem Nihart.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that he would be abstaining from voting on the minutes. He also asked for an explanation of a possible typo on Agenda Item #4 concerning participation in the operation of the California Office of Traffic Safety DUI Grant, on page 2, in the paragraph regarding Employment Status, the last sentence which states "... except as expressly provided in Section 4 and Section 5 below, each Pacifica, San Bruno and Pacifica shall be solely responsible for all salary benefits, workers' comp ..." He asked what that means in reality.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart thought it should be Daly City.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that it was what he thought.

Councilmember Lancelle stated that in the minutes, on page 7, third paragraph, "She acknowledged the Resource for supporting ..." should be corrected to say "She acknowledged the Resource Center for supporting ..."

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers: Lancelle, Vreeland, DeJarnatt, Nihart and Digre.
Noes: Councilmembers: None.

Motion carried: 5-0.

SPECIAL PRESENTATION:

None.

PUBLIC HEARINGS:

7. Adoption of Most Recent Edition of California Building Standards Code.

Associate Planner Diaz presented the staff report.

Mayor Digre opened the Public Hearing and, seeing no one, closed the Public Hearing.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that he would make the motion.

City Attorney Quick suggested that, rather than list each code section and each amendment, he could move to read the title of the ordinance next in order by title only.

Councilmember DeJarnatt moved to read item next in order by title only and further readings be waived; seconded by Mayor pro Tem Nihart.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers: Lancelle, Vreeland, DeJarnatt, Nihart and Digre.
Noes: Councilmembers: None.

Motion passed: 5-0.

Councilmember DeJarnatt moved introduction of the ordinance; seconded by Mayor pro Tem Nihart.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers: Lancelle, Vreeland, DeJarnatt, Nihart and Digre.
Noes: Councilmembers: None.

Motion passed: 5-0.

COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS:

Councilmember DeJarnatt congratulated Len Stone, the new councilmember, and congratulated Sue Digre and Jim Vreeland also for their victories. He also congratulated all the candidates who ran, adding that he felt the more running the better the election and the more variety of comments. He also congratulated the school board members and water board members who were elected.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart seconded what Councilmember DeJarnatt said. She reminded everyone that the Economic Development Committee would be meeting the next evening at the Police Station conference room. She invited everyone interested to join them.

Councilmember Lancelle also congratulated Mayor Digre and Councilmember Vreeland on their reelection, and commended everyone else who ran. She also welcomed Len Stone to the Council, taking her place. She asked confirmation from the City Clerk that December 15 would be the date of the installation.

City Clerk O'Connell responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Lancelle invited everyone to come and celebrate the end of her era on the City Council. She stated that she also attended the bicycle/pedestrian advisory committee for the county, adding that they were working on a new comprehensive master plan for the county and she pointed out a couple of things from Pacifica that needed to be included on the map. She stated that she was going to apply for one of the citizen's positions on the committee after she retires as a Councilmember on the committee. She also attended the ribbon cutting at the Pacifica Gardens at the Linda Mar Education Center. She didn't know how many have been there, but she felt it was beautiful, especially the new gate, and it was gratifying to see how it was coming along. She was also joining everyone who was so proud about the Giants winning the World Series.

Councilmember Vreeland also congratulated Len Stone. He was looking forward to working with him. He thanked Julie Lancelle for her more than ten years of dedicated service to the community from Mori Point to all the open space and environmental causes she championed. He felt she would be sorely missed.

Councilmember Lancelle thanked him, adding that she appreciated the comment. She stated that it has been a pleasure to work with all of them. She thanked him for all the efforts that he has spearheaded which have produced so many wonderful things in the community which sometimes go unnoticed.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart referred to Councilmember Lancelle's comments regarding the gate at the Community Gardens, and stated that if you haven't seen it, it was a work of the three sisters in terms of the harvest and done by an artist in Princeton. She encouraged everyone to look at it because it was quite beautiful.

Mayor Digre stated that the Open Space Committee meets at the Community Center on the 10th instead of the 3rd Wednesday. She stated that councilmembers and youth leaders would also be meeting on that date as well. She stated that, for those supporting youth fundraising, there would be a breakfast on that morning at the Outback for the Daly City Clinic. She also mentioned a Lobster Feed on the 13th by Pacifica Boys and Girls Club to raise money. She congratulated and thanked everyone who ran in all the elections which allows for forums and allows everyone to hear and give views. She stated that they were happy to have Mr. Stone on board. She thanked the voters for a great turnout as usual. She attended an opening of Tom's Auto Shop on Highway 1, following their move from Palmetto. She attended a conference by the San Mateo County Chamber, with a keynote speaker from Stanford, whose job was turning businesses around and who had made comparisons between Apple and another company.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Mayor Digre opened Oral Communications.

Anna Boothe, Pacifica, congratulated Sue Digre and Jim Vreeland on their reelection, adding that she was glad they were able to continue in this difficult job because Pacifica needs their wisdom and experience. She was present to invite everyone to the 15th annual Pacifica Poetry and Music Festival on Sunday at the Mildred Owen Concert Hall. She explained that they did this every year to honor Pacifica's birthday on the 22nd and also to celebrate poetry in Pacifica. She added that the Council has gotten in on the act, with Julie Lancelle playing her fiddle in the band. She stated that they had a special program for their 15th year, mentioning some specific performers.

Mitch Reid, Pacifica, stated that he recently attended the Devil's Slide tunnel punch through event where he had the opportunity to talk to the head of Caltrans District, Bijan Sartipi. He suggested that there be an all day celebration when the tunnels open and allow the public to walk through the tunnels, which he thought was a great idea and logistically feasible. He thought it would be a historic event and a great opportunity to promote Pacifica. He was asking the City to send a letter to Caltrans formally requesting that the City and community be involved in the planning of this historic celebration and possibly also suggest that there be a committee with City, Chamber of Commerce and citizen representatives. He compared this event for Pacifica like the Giants winning for San Francisco.

Ian Butler, Linda Mar, hoped Councilmember Lancelle enjoys the next phase in her life. While they appreciated all her work, he was sure she was ready for a break. He stated that the Pacifica Beach Coalition was hosting a talk at the Hilton Library on Tuesday, featuring Lincoln Shaw who led a team in the Antarctic, sailing the Sea Shepherd ship, to stop illegal whaling. He stated that it was free, adding that there would be a Beach Coalition meeting following the presentation.

Clorinda Campagna, 1 Gypsy Hill Road, was present for two things. First, she mentioned UNICEF and that the art students were trick or treating last week. She asked everyone that, if they see the trick or treat boxes, they remind the students to turn them in. She also mentioned that the Little Brown Church was having a work party on the 13th. She explained that the Pacific Islanders had volunteered to demolish the interior and now they needed help from contractors to put up drywalls, etc.

Lynne Adams, Pacifica, congratulated Sue Digre and Jim Vreeland, as well as Len Stone. She also thanked Julie Lancelle for all her service over the years, adding that it was wonderful to work with her. She then gave a recap for the Beach Coalition, specifically monthly cleanups at the beaches. She also invited people to the presentation of the "whale wars" at the library. She felt that anyone who would put their lives at risk to save whales was amazing. She stated that the whales were not safe and this was a firsthand account by someone who was there. She then mentioned that they have been working at the Pedro Point headlands for a year doing habitat restoration with an awesome turnout of people making a difference. She again mentioned the beach cleanups and encouraged everyone to help by also cleaning up their streets.

Lisa Vittori, San Francisco, stated that she was a former Pacifica resident. She congratulated everyone who was elected. She mentioned that there were three businesses in Pacifica that were gaining regional recognition, specifically the Rex Center which had water therapy for animals, Coastal Holistic who were master vets in their field, and Pacifica Vet which was old school but was taking care of all the rescued animals by nonprofits from places about to kill them. She personally saved two dogs about to be euthanized and stated that Pacifica Vet gave her $1000 worth of free vet care by discounting a lot of the services.

Mayor Digre closed Oral Communications.

CONSIDERATION

8. Recommendations for Western Snowy Plover Protection at Pacifica State Beach.

PB&R Director Perez presented the staff report.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart clarified that the staff report included recommendations where all the groups agreed.

PB&R Director Perez stated that it included those in agreement as well as additional items added by staff, mentioning those specifically.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart again clarified that the staff recommendations on the last page were some of those plus the additional ones, such as symbolic fencing.

PB&R Director Perez stated that the fencing would be on the west side of the dunes, and instead of actual fencing, they would have signage.

City Manager Rhodes clarified that the staff recommendations included the areas agreed to and also included the staff's added recommendations, starting at No. 10, although they were all together.

Councilmember Lancelle clarified that, even though different people came forward with different suggestions, everyone's interest was in protecting the plover which was a community effort.

PB&R Director Perez agreed that everyone who spoke stated that they would like to do something for the plover, even those who didn't want anything done were still acknowledging that.

Mayor Digre thought she had read that someone from the birding group was present at the subcommittee meetings.

PB&R Director Perez stated that they had different people come in and talk to them to give them information but they were not a member of the subcommittee.

Councilmember DeJarnatt referred to the mention of symbolic fencing, reading from the report, and asked if that was perpendicular to the highway at the Crespi intersection.

PB&R Director Perez confirmed that, clarifying that it would point a little bit toward the south, imagining the Crespi path and two ways to take and they would ideally point people to the south of the beach to avoid going through the part north of Crespi.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that, if it was symbolic fencing, it would not keep anyone out, but it was instructing everyone that it was an area where they didn't want people to go.

Councilmember Lancelle asked clarification of the symbolic fence description, which would direct people to the left past the trees to keep them from entering the plover habitat. She asked if, in addition to symbolic fencing, there were also signs to identify the area.

PB&R Director Perez stated that symbolic fencing was a smaller sign that would tell you why it was there, which give information about the plover in addition to preventing anyone from entering the area.

Councilmember Lancelle asked about the location of signs that would have more information.

PB&R Director Perez stated that the recommendations were to post informational signage about the plovers but also about general beach/dog etiquette. However, at the key access points, as well as the dry creek, it would identify the snowy plover and there would also be general signs for general rules.

Councilmember Lancelle asked if there was going to be a fence along the path leading from the Rockaway trail down to the beach area and, where they go across the dunes, there would also be a fence to prevent people going into the dune area.

PB&R Director Perez stated that it didn't go that far up. The map shows it where the dry creek bed entrance is and down to Crespi.

Councilmember Lancelle asked if the dry creek bed was considered to be the northern boundary.

PB&R Director Perez responded affirmatively, adding that it had been discussed.

Councilmember Lancelle asked if there would be signs along the path in addition to the fencing.

PB&R Director Perez thought there would be signs along the fencing to instruct people as to why it was there and that there was sensitive habitat there.

Councilmember Lancelle referred to Item #7 regarding the informational signage at the north parking lot or restroom parking lot about what can be done to reduce impacts to the plover population, and she asked if they were basing that information on the recovery plan implemented by the Pt. Reyes Bird Observatory.

PB&R Director Perez stated that, as mentioned previously, there had been previous plans to put signs up with a lot of research done on that. He stated that they had a lot of information and a lot of examples in nearby communities to follow.

Councilmember Lancelle asked if, when referring to San Francisco, they were talking about Crissy Field and Ocean Beach which were operated by the federal parks.

PB&R Director Perez stated that it was the GGNRA.

Councilmember Lancelle asked if they allowed off leash dogs.

PB&R Director Perez stated that they didn't allow off leash dogs, but do allow them on a leash. He stated that San Francisco did have off leash dogs in other areas, but the snowy plover habitat was enforced for on leash only.

Councilmember Lancelle asked about kite flying, fireworks, throwing balls, etc.

PB&R Director Perez stated that they suggested that those activities be done away from the snowy plover area.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart thought most of it was covered, but she referred to an example of a sign, and addressed the fact that it seemed to be a rather significant sign. She asked if those were the ones submitted in 2008.

PB&R Director Perez responded affirmatively.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart asked if the recommendations were to do something along those lines, clearly visible, etc.

PB&R Director Perez responded affirmatively.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart asked confirmation that we had a sign shop and whether these signs could be done in house.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they would not be able to be done in house, adding that any complicated sign was outsourced.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart asked where the funding would be coming from.

City Manager Rhodes stated that the staff report pointed out that it would be identified once they get into the program.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart asked just to make it clear.

City Manager Rhodes recalled that it would not be a significant amount of money to do the signage.

Mayor Digre read all the material, and heard that they wanted things based on science, so she called a couple of biologists familiar with snowy plovers, adding that the Audubon magazine for November/December 2010 had an article on the snowy plover and she spoke to one of the authors. She was then reminded that this was not a question and would discuss it later.

Mayor Digre opened public comments.

Bill Collins, Pacifica, was representing the Pacifica Beach Coalition and the Sierra Club. He thanked the Council for addressing this issue, then addressed some of them, specifically the leash law, fencing, good signage with penalties listed. He suggested they check with Fish and Wildlife to see what else needs to be done such as monitoring the population.

Clark Natwick, Pacifica, referred to "ecocommunity" in addressing the issue of protecting the snowy plovers, with the need for expert help in designing the final actions, and suggested that they consult National Fish and Wildlife. He referred to information mentioned in the article Mayor Digre had mentioned previously.

JoAnne Arnos, Pacifica, stated that she was on the Open Space Committee. She addressed a few issues, specifically that the Open Space Committee would like the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to be the primary authority for consultation. Because the snowy plovers are listed as threatened on the Endangered Species Act, she was concerned that the city will be liable if the recommendations are not adopted. She stated that the Committee was not in favor of no fencing, north to south and also not in favor of encouraging kite flying, etc.

Paul Cowan, Pacifica, stated that he wasn't even pretending that he liked the Council and thought they should have all been gone years ago. He felt this was a done deal because he knows them so he was going to address the people of Pacifica. His position was that the environmentalists wanted to take over the beaches in the name of the snowy plovers. He thought there were no snowy plover nests in most areas because the area was under water at least twice a month, no dunes for nesting, and most was private land, leaving Linda Mar Beach. His conclusion was that it was our God-given right to walk our dogs on the beaches, but now the beaches belong to the enviro-Nazis, giving some examples for his conclusion and vowing to retake the beach for their dogs.

Jeff Miller, San Francisco, was with the Center for Biological Diversity in San Francisco, a nonprofit group working to protect endangered species, and thanked Pacifica for taking up this endeavor. He briefly gave information that there were no breeding snowy plovers in Pacifica but they did winter here. He suggested that decisions not be based on what was happening with the GGNRA because of some problems they were dealing with. He then gave some suggestions regarding fencing, permits for activities in the area, restriction of dogs and that they consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service which will give the city protection against possible enforcement violations and the community will be confident that they have put in an effective law.

Irene Lee, Pacifica, stated that she supported the protection of the snowy plover, which she felt was best done through education, referring to the signage needed to accomplish that.

Noel Blincoe, Pacifica, stated that he was on the Open Space Committee. He referred to the letter the Council received which referred to a lawyer who was knowledgeable in terms of legal issues, adding that we could have possible harassment connected with events on the beach. He gave specific suggestions for the Council connected with surfing events and the north/south fence. He concluded by recommending that we involve U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to get information from them.

Bill Bigler, Pacifica, mentioned what it was like 25 years ago, with bonfires and dogs off leash, etc., but acknowledged that times change. He addressed the issue of on and off leash dogs and made alternative suggestions that could possibly be beneficial for everyone. He thought the recommendations now were reasonable, but he urged the Council to move toward protecting the north end of Linda Mar as much as possible, while going forward with the dog park in Sanchez and an off leash beach at Rockaway. He stated that people from both sides of the issue felt they could support this approach.

Margaret Goodale, 1135 Palou Drive, referred to one comment in the letter from Paul Keel of Dept. of State Parks, stating that they could not force Pacifica to comply with their policy, and she felt that staff had ignored the statewide policy which was strongly recommended in the remainder of the letter. She questioned whether the recommendations were good enough, and requested that the City consult with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service to find and implement truly meaningful protections. She then said that Stan Zeavin was sick and had sent a letter which she would read.

The City Clerk stated that she could not read it, but they would take the written letter.

Ron Maykel, 896 Rockaway Beach, congratulated Jim Vreeland and Sue Digre, stating that he appreciated their service and looked forward to them keeping things going for another four years. He was sorry to see Julie Lancelle go, mentioning working with her in various areas. He pointed out some history on the snowy plovers, specifically when they had installed signs, etc., and he hoped the city would make the best effort to protect the birds.

Victor Carmichael, 5005 Palmetto Avenue, sympathized with those present who were in favor of the dogs, and acknowledged how dogs enjoy the beach, but he felt some things trumped that. He then gave some information on past efforts regarding the snowy plover and concluded that the present efforts needed to be improved. He urged the Council to bring U.S. Fish and Wildlife into the process to help to define the protected zone and clarify the issue from an objective perspective.

Lynn Adams, 601 Beaumont, felt we were blessed to have the snowy plovers and be addressing this issue. She stated that, for the Beach Coalition, it was all about education. She felt we have to look at this area and make hard choices and allow, encourage and enable education to happen. She stated that there were people at Pt. Reyes with spotting scopes to show people the snowy plover. She felt signage was important and we needed to make some hard decisions.

Kay Marshall, 653 Canyon Drive, stated that, if the recommendations would include on leash dogs, then she had no complaints. She felt that, with responsible dog owners with their dogs on leash, everyone will be able to coexist. She encouraged the enforcement of fines for dogs off leash. She felt an added benefit would be that she would not be afraid of off leash dogs knocking her over or attacking her little dog.

Ron Andrade, 406 Brighton Road, stated that he appreciated the time and effort spent by everyone, and he applauded the recommendations for public outreach and education. However, he felt current enforcement of leash laws has been inadequate, not reflecting the law enforcement personnel but rather priorities. He had reservations about the effectiveness of enforcing the off leash laws and favored restricting all dogs from the beach north of Crespi Drive. He read a portion of the report, suggesting that the City err on the side of protection rather than risk violation of the endangered species act. He added that he enjoyed taking his dog to the beach, but not this particular beach.

Paul Jones, 1190 Manzanita, was supportive of any measures that the Council considered reasonable and he thought it was a good idea to confer with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as they see fit to ensure that their recommendations agree with the agency's idea of how best to protect the plovers. He walked his two dogs on the beach in a matter that was entirely consistent with the laws and common sense. He agreed with Ian Butler's comments and also recommended a comprehensive analysis of dog management in Pacifica to come up with a sound plan for all beaches.

Sam Casillas, Pacifica, stated he was representing the Pedro Point Community Association, adding that staff has the recommendation they put forth. He complimented the Council on their efforts, adding that it would not be a nesting area because of many factors, such as the nearby highway, etc., but it should be a roosting area. He felt that they didn't need to involve other agencies. He stated that they were in favor of the educational signing, fencing around the roosting area and prominent signs regarding applicable fines.

Anneli Loeffler, Pacifica, stated that she was a dog owner, and was for the protection of the snowy plover. However, she didn't agree with the recommendation to ban the dogs. She felt it would be better for businesses, mentioning that most of the dog walkers didn't live in Pacifica. She also felt that fencing and education were the ways to start with rather than banning the dogs.

Patty Sambrailo, Pacifica, stated that she has been going to the beach with her dogs for 20 years and has seen the changes. She had worked on a petition in the past when there was talk about banning the dogs, and she found that many of the signers were not residents. She was in favor of protecting the birds, but she didn't believe they needed to ban the dogs immediately but rather have the chance to prove that they can work this out. She felt that dog owners were being picked on unfairly because they were not the only people affecting the plovers. She hoped they came to a good solution.

Patricia Hobart, Pacifica, stated that being able to go to the beach with her dog was an important part of their lives. She understood that there were people who violate the laws, and she agreed that would be where they need education. She felt that some of the recommendations were very narrow. She suggested looking at other communities that have a better success rate and possibly find more reasonable programs that they can adopt without hurting the economic viability of the area yet protect the birds. She thought the Santa Barbara program was the best.

Norma Kropelnicki, Pacifica, supported the community and was for the protection of the snowy plover, but was concerned about the businesses in the community as an endangered species. She felt we drew people to our beaches based on our warmth and friendliness. She also commented that the birds did not support the community or pay taxes, although she has educated many people on the birds. She concluded by asking the Council to make a moderate decision that was all encompassing.

Lianne McLean, Pacifica, stated that she enjoyed walking her dogs on the beach and also supported measures to protect the snowy plover. She wasn't going to repeat some of the same points already mentioned, but she felt it was premature to ban the dogs when they haven't put up signage or started the educational campaign. She felt the dog owners on the beach would be respectful with education and she felt it was too far reaching to ban dogs from the beach until those measures were put in place and were proven not to work.

Beverly Kingsbury, Pacifica, was a co-founder of POOCH and was on the mayor's subcommittee on the protection of coastal birds. She encouraged the Council to implement a proven successful strategy of protecting coastal birds at Linda Mar Beach by using fencing, signage and education. She felt that using a proven approach can unite the city, with a far more effective outcome. She felt this approach could be the solution or at least an excellent first step. She supported enforcing all beach rules, mentioning the litter problem which attracts crows and gulls that are more hazardous than free roaming dogs. She concluded that fencing, signage, education and enforcement were a proven rational methodology. She asked that the Council vote for this approach.

Todd Ewell, Crespi Drive, stated that he spent a lot of time in Monterey and he thought one of the challenges in Pacifica was lack of education. He referred to the fact that the leash law was not being enforced now, and he felt the only people who will abide by the law would be those who understand there is a law. Then, we would have clean beaches and all dogs on leashes. He questioned passing laws that are not going to be enforced. He believes that once people are educated, they will see the opportunity to be stewards themselves. He concluded that we need to get educated and implement fencing.

Lisa Vittori, Pacifica, asked that the Council withhold acting on the recommendation now for several reasons; specifically that it is too late and it was also a poor recommendation. She referred to Apple being vertically integrated, and she felt this process and the audience were not vertically integrated. She felt they were talking about dogs as the problem, but there was education that was not happening. She felt a lot of people did not understand dogs, pointing out that it wasn't about leashes but passive and active recreation. She added that she was a professional environmentalist, mentioning some of her employers. She felt that all animals have their place and she felt that she was being asked to be either/or, and she felt there were many environmentalists who had dogs. She added that Crissy Field was off leash.

Mary Keitelman, Pacifica, thanked the Council for having the meeting and listening to all of them. She mentioned that the birds have been on the beach long before people were here, but the increasing number of people has caused them to have to compete for their habitat. She was in support of the recommendations, but she asked that they contact Fish and Wildlife for all the reasons mentioned earlier. She stated that Pt. Reyes had a statement on the birds' nesting. She commented that bird watchers spend money and are pretty big eco-tourists. She was a dog lover and she felt they can coexist. She mentioned that Pacifica had a Snowy Plover Day on April 26, and has been trying to protect the birds for a long time.

Charley Straight, Pacifica, stated that he doesn't know about snowy plovers but knows Linda Mar Beach very well, going there for 30 years. He thought the parking lot contributed more to the reduction of snowy plovers than dogs or dog lovers. He asked that there not be a fence on Linda Mar Beach that will cut off part of the beach for those who use it for spiritual healing. He felt that to lose this for snowy plovers was ridiculous. He also felt that the dogs and the birds get along.

Laurie Graham, San Mateo, was representing the Sequoia Audubon Society. They recommend that Pacifica use the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service as a resource on this effort. They thanked Pacifica on all the work done so far. She referred to the interesting comments made, such as that symbolic fencing does not keep dogs out and they should have real fencing, which the Society hoped that they would consider.

Dyer Crouch, Pacifica, suggested that staff comment on all the organizations that have weighed in on the suggestion that U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service be consulted. He stated that the federal recover plan was not being followed at this location with some information received being taken out of context. He stated that the Coastal Commission would not go forward with staff's recommendations and they were now being brought to the Council. He felt there were key items missing. He referred to beach use previously being almost exclusively local and now was used from San Jose to Sacramento, and he felt protections needed to reflect those changes. While many advocated that education vs. regulations was the solution, he felt that with any important issue, public education works together with regulations, not in place of. He gave the example of a school zone where drivers slow down because they don't want a ticket not because of the safety issue. He stressed that experts know that protections and mitigations need to be unique to the location and working with Fish and Wildlife was the right thing, protecting both wildlife and the City from fines, etc. He added the Shorebird Alliance's request that the City consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service.

Anne D'Angelo, Pacifica, stated that she encouraged business growth and welcomed people from out of town. She loved her dogs and animals of all kind, so she would like to believe that they can all coexist and continue the multi-use of the beach. She agreed with signage, and also felt docents were a good idea. She would like to educate dog owners, especially those from out of town, to protect the beach and animals.

August Murphy, Pacifica, was PB&R Chairman, and was on the subcommittee regarding the snowy plover. He felt they needed to get to basics. He stated that now there was nothing in place to protect the snowy plover. He learned about them and the recommendations were to protect them from dogs and anything else in their particular footprint, not the rest of the beach. He suggested that they start with education, signage and minimal fencing. Then they can take it further. He didn't think they needed to go to a higher authority but to start and do something to protect the snowy plover.

Julie Hartsell, Linda Mar, was a PB&R commissioner and served on the subcommittee regarding the snowy plover. She stated that was an eye opener because many people do not know that they are there. She stated that they had the solution to the problem in the staff recommendations. She didn't think it required anyone from outside Pacifica to tell them what to do. She felt we know we need to protect the plovers, and are also negligent in enforcing the leash laws. She felt that what was being proposed went beyond the dog issue. She felt they were talking about changing the role of half of Linda Mar Beach, which was a huge decision. She felt it was a slippery slope and could become complicated. She hoped that they strive for balance because the beach was very important to many segments of the community, and all of Pacifica would lose if they try to cram all the users into half of the beach.

Bruce Banco, Linda Mar, was a PB&R commissioner. He stressed that all the commissioners were for the protection of the snowy plover as well as other shorebirds. He stated that they had to grapple between plovers, dogs and people. He felt they came up with a well thought out approach which stressed education. He stated that, because Prop. 21 went down in flames, they can go to their plan to charge for parking at the beach and do a better job on the beach. Part of

the money would go to rangers who would be empowered to enforce. He referred to Item #4 in the staff report, stating that Linda Mar Beach was not a "breeding" habitat, but a roosting place. He also did not think Fish and Wildlife was needed, because they had a well thought out approach with proposals for fencing, signage, education and the opportunity to police it better. He asked them to look at the staff recommendations and urged the Council to vote on them.

Lazar Keitelman, Pacifica, stated that the staff recommendations failed to address the primary reasons for disturbance of shorebirds and were limited to actions aimed at maintaining the status quo at the behest of those who would place the rights of dog ownership over any realistic workable solution and that was a model for failure, giving his reasons. He stated that they can be proactive or give in to counterproductive political expediency. He suggested that they modify the recommendations and ask for the expertise of Fish and Wildlife to provide us with the necessary guidance to meet the goal of shorebird protection and, in the interim, undertake enforcement of the leash and pet registration laws. He felt a few hours a month would make a difference.

Mayor Digre closed public comments.

Mayor Digre called for a brief recess and then resumed the meeting.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that he didn't recall anyone ever saying they were planning on banning dogs, and it wasn't even on the agenda. He agreed with staff's recommendation, and did not have a problem with education and signs. He referred to the comments regarding enforcement, and he acknowledged the leash law has not been enforced on the beach as well as any of the other items, such as drinking, littering, etc. He stated that we didn't have anyone to do that but they were planning on charging for parking until the state told the City to hold off pending the outcome of the propositions. He thought they might be able to go ahead with that now, but it wasn't certain because of the passing of Prop. 26. He would like to go forward with the list staff has come up with and, if they can charge for parking, he was all for enforcing the regulations strictly, advertising a grace period first. He loved dogs but acknowledged that about 10% of dog owners did not listen to anything which was why he felt enforcement would be necessary. Some people just didn't care. He didn't mind asking Fish and Wildlife for their opinion. He would like to see the symbolic fence extend out from the dunes to put it in people's minds that this was a protected area. He didn't have a problem with events on the beach but he didn't believe structures should be allowed north of the south edge of Crespi because he thought they would have an effect.

Councilmember Lancelle thanked Clark Natwick, who was the main person who worked tirelessly removing the iceplant and caring about the plovers several years ago and, because of that, the City had moved forward with a signage program and an education program. Unfortunately, that effort was thwarted by a few individuals who felt it didn't go far enough, but she stressed that since we didn't work together, nothing happened for the plovers. She liked the idea of being collaborative, and she liked saying that we are an eco-community when we are in these situations where we are competing about the best solution. She thanked the Open Space Committee, PB&R Commission, Edwin from Half Moon Bay, Pt. Reyes Bird Observatory for their report which incorporated Fish and Wildlife Service recommendations into a cohesive document, and all the people who took her to Half Moon Bay. She mentioned that they had people with diverse interests, and she felt they needed to unite and move forward with a plan to address the issues. She saw the opportunity to evaluate their efforts but she felt they needed to begin with the list of recommendations. She would like to consider changing a few things, such as "roosting" on #4, and on #5 regarding providing information, signage and public outreach, she would also like a flyer. On #7, the signs should include showing the plover habitat. She felt it

was important to give this plan a chance, stressing the importance of education. She agreed with Councilmember DeJarnatt that it was essential to enforce the leash laws. She felt that they needed rangers because education and enforcement had to go together. She reiterated that they have to start with a plan, which they have. She encouraged the Council to move forward on approving the plan and she saw no reason not to consult with the Fish and Wildlife Service to get their input then go to the Coastal Commission. She thanked everyone who spoke, as well as those who worked on this over the years.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart apologized for any looks that she had which were because of the pain she was experiencing. She appreciated that Ron Maykel reminded them of the signage put up previously. She thought they would have to keep putting up signage because it was the beach, but she added that they have never had a comprehensive educational program regarding the snowy plovers. She felt that the comment about not knowing anything about the snowy plovers was probably true for the majority of the public. She would like to see them move forward with something. Two more years of nothing because they can't agree on anything made no sense. She thanked Councilmember Lancelle for putting a subcommittee together when she was mayor to generate agreement in the community. She thought agreement in today's environment was next to impossible to attain. She was hopeful, with the failure of Prop. 21, that we would be able to move ahead with the parking plan and fees to get rangers to have enforcement. She was also frustrated, as a dog owner, by dog owners. She stated that there was always a number of people who spoil the pot for everyone, and you can teach your dog not to run up to people and respect other animals but there will be those who have other animals that do not. Issues around animals in general frustrate her. She did agree that clear signage, penalties, enforcement, would begin to make a difference. She thought signage and the recommendations were important. She mentioned some of the various rules in other state beaches. She stated that the letter mentioned earlier clearly stated that this was part of our operating agreement but, if that person was running it, they would have a different opinion. She liked the maps and having it clearly marked but was worried about the western edge. She thought the fence along the trail made a lot of sense, but she didn't know how to make it clearer on the western edge. She asked why the north/south fence was left out.

PB&R Director Perez stated that the subcommittee couldn't agree on where it should be placed or how far it should be. He did contact Lynn Stencil from PRBO for recommendations on the amount of space and she couldn't give him an answer. There was no agreement in the subcommittee on that, although they agreed on a fence on the bicycle path and agreed on symbolic fencing sending people to the south.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart mentioned that they were discussed restrictions of activities such as kites and fireworks to encourage them to take place away from the snowy plover area.

PB&R Director Perez agreed, adding that kites could be viewed as a predator.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart referred to fireworks and asked if the snowy plovers were present in July.

PB&R Director Perez stated that some subcommittee members didn't want to talk about fireworks.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart stated that she would like them to get started on the recommendations and have U.S. Fish and Wildlife look at them.

Councilmember Vreeland asked the City Attorney to explain the impact of Prop. 26 on the City's ability to charge for parking.

City Attorney Quick stated that Prop. 26 was adopted by the voters at the election, which imposed the same 2/3 vote on a number of city fees that currently exist for special taxes. The League of California Cities and other interest groups were trying to get up to speed on what it means, stated that there were a number of exceptions. It was anticipated that there would likely be some litigation and that could influence the City's ability to charge for the parking. She acknowledged that she had not focused on the issue, but she would in the upcoming future.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that they originally asked to charge parking with different rates and the state said we could not do it. Then, they went back to the state with just one rate, and the state requested that they hold off until after the election on Prop. 21, which was the $18 fee for vehicles for state parks and that was defeated. Then, they voted for Prop. 26, and he concluded that potentially charging for parking at any rate would take a vote of 2/3 of the voting public.

City Attorney Quick acknowledged that was what the proposition did, but she added that it contained a number of exemptions which she had not looked at in light of the parking requirement, but she would.

Councilmember Vreeland felt that point was very salient to this discussion and he felt it needed to be resolved regardless of what they decide. He stated that they were wrestling with ways to protect or balance resources, and he felt they needed to have a sense of what that means for the City on what they can or can't do. He wasn't willing to be the test case, but he felt they needed to know what their risks and opportunities were in this case. He mentioned that it was important for "breeding" to be taken out of Item #4. He asked Director Perez if they developed the map that was part of the report.

City Manager Rhodes stated that it was the earlier map that they generated when they were making applications to place signage, and not part of this report.

Councilmember Vreeland asked where the western boundary of a fence would be and thought it had not been decided yet, further clarifying whether, walking out of the ocean and toward the dunes, it would 20 or 50 feet.

PB&R Director Perez stated that there was no fence west of the dunes.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that there would be a fence by the bike path to keep animals out of the area and then north and south fencing but nothing on the ocean side going toward the dunes.

PB&R Director Perez acknowledged that there would be nothing parallel to the beach on the western side of the dunes.

City Manager Rhodes clarified that there would not be fencing but there would be signage delineating the break of the habitat area.

Councilmember Vreeland thought that was important, but he also thought that, if they had an indication where the resting areas were, he thought specific fencing around those areas would be important and should be clearly delineated with fencing in addition to the signage. He was supportive of all the recommendations. He mentioned the talk of banning dogs on that part of the

beach but he didn't think they needed to go there at this point. He thought they should take incremental steps to see how the resource can be managed better. He didn't know if their recommendations would be in the form of a motion, but he wanted to get a sense of the cost of all the things they have discussed. He asked the City Manager if that would be difficult.

City Manager Rhodes didn't think it would be difficult but he was trying to think of how to suggest dealing with this. He thought it would take them some time to move it forward. He felt they had already been delayed for two years on doing anything. He stated that they have to go to the Coastal Commission and he suggested that they start that process and he also heard the suggestions that they seek comment from U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. He suggested that, as they move forward with that, they can work up the cost of implementation. He preferred to start moving forward, stating that they could always stop it if they decide they can't handle it. He felt coming up with all those things now would slow them down. He would rather move forward and work simultaneously. He stated that they were also starting on the parking fees even though they don't have an answer yet. They were moving forward while they were getting the answers, and he was suggesting the same thing.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that he wasn't saying stop until they get the answers, but he felt it was an important part of the discussion and they can do it in parallel form.

City Manager Rhodes stated that his preference was to have the adopted regulations to move forward with, and they can always modify or stop if the report comes back and they don't want to move forward with part of it or they are not comfortable with it.

Councilmember Vreeland asked if the Council would see the report going to the Coastal Commission before it went to the Commission.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they could if they would like that.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that he would like to see it, because there were a lot of moving parts and places he couldn't point to and he felt they needed to have a solid understanding of what they were asking them to do before the agencies weigh in. He felt it was not an issue of being against birds, dogs or people but how to manage the resource better and he would like to have a better understanding of what they were asking from the Commission before they actually ask them.

City Manager Rhodes stated that he thought adopting the recommendations was giving them the guidelines to put the application together. He stated that they had a pending application before the Coastal Commission from two years ago and they would be amending it. He didn't have a problem putting it together and sharing it with Council before it goes in. He felt this was giving them the direction in which to go.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that he wasn't questioning that and he thought they were hearing each other. He reiterated that he would like to see the last report, and before something is transmitted to the state based on this night's discussion, he would like to see it first, even on the consent calendar because they lose control once it goes to the Commission.

City Manager Rhodes understood his thinking.

Councilmember Vreeland referred to the word "breeding," and felt a wrong placed word to the Commission could put them in a position they don't want to be in. He thought the extra balance and check was important.

City Manager Rhodes understood, adding that he hadn't completely understood earlier.

Councilmember Vreeland acknowledged that it was late and they had a lot of discussion. He hoped he was clear on his thinking, which he felt was consistent with what the Council was saying. He thanked everyone for coming, stating that it has been a long time getting here. He felt the Council was united on trying to address this now rather than later and protect the habitat.

Mayor Digre addressed the Audubon magazine's November/December issue, stating that she called Dr. Sandoval about what they have already addressed, referring to the example of the Apple situation of involving everyone. She stated that the article gave her hope, and she was further encouraged when she spoke to Dr. Sandoval. She stated that they took the education part very seriously and did a lot of hard work with walks on the beach, etc. She stated that it became clear tonight that there was an interest in protecting the snowy plover as well as maintaining our recreational urban beach. She mentioned some of the concerns Dr. Sandoval addressed by going to Fish and Wildlife to be sure they did the right thing, what they specifically did and how things were working. She concluded that her biggest concern was the "teeth of the matter" for environmental issues. She thought there must be grants for shorebirds and some birding organizations could be a source of funding. She was also impressed with the surfing community's cooperation.

Councilmember Lancelle was looking at recommendation #8, which referenced dog regulations, fencing, littering or feeding wildlife, and asked if that would also include signage of penalties.

PB&R Director Perez stated that they can put that in there, adding that it was mentioned several times.

Councilmember Lancelle thought that would be important because it was part of the education. She mentioned hearing about one of the agencies that had someone go out a half hour a day giving tickets to people violating rules and that generated revenue to support some of their efforts, with that enforcing happening at unexpected times for that short period of time. She was mentioning it as a suggestion, but not specifically including it.

Councilmember DeJarnatt suggested that they pass this now and do the fine tuning of what would be on the signs, where they would go, where the fencing would be as they go along.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart agreed with that, but she wanted to be sure they had the several changes that were recommended.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that he probably can do that, specifically, changing breeding to roosting on #4; with events, no structures built north of the south edge of Crespi. He thought they should wait on extending the symbolic fencing.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart stated that there was the addition of penalties.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that their ability to enforce would depend on the money from the parking fees because they don't have the people or money to do that. He hoped they will be able to charge for parking.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart stated that she didn't want to hold this up anymore. She was fine with starting with what they just mentioned, but she would like to know how they can continue with monitoring and penalties, and groups may come forward. She stated that the issue was a volunteer effort and we didn't have that. She hated putting more expense on the City staff so she thought they needed to find a way to revisit this periodically. She was fine with what they have and then sending it to U.S. Fish and Wildlife.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they have to be clear that the fencing was a significant issue. If they were going there, they need to do it and get it over with or decide to revisit it in a year. He stated that it will haunt them through the process if they don't make it clear at this point. All of the agencies they go to will be asking that question. He felt they have to decide to solve it now or say they will come back later at a definite time to look at it.

Councilmember DeJarnatt thought that they have to go forward with the fencing now, but he thought it may be necessary to fine tune some of them exactly where they go when they get to them. He agreed that both the fencing and symbolic fencing were necessary.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they were talking about the western edge fencing. He felt that was a big issue and they haven't talked about it at any length and, if they are going to include it, they have to come back and talk about it and look at all of the recommendations which were all over the board as to where the fencing goes. He stated that no one has talked about it because it wasn't on the table. If it is on the table, they need to hear from everyone, including staff, about what it should be and how they can manage it. He reiterated that they were talking about a very big issue. If that was the thought that it is in there, they weren't done.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that they had it in there.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they don't have the western edge fencing.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that it was in there, and he read that it said to install and maintain low but impassable fencing along the beach side of the bicycle path.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they were talking about the ocean side.

Councilmember DeJarnatt thought it was on there as well, but he suggested that they include it.

City Manager Rhodes stated that, if they were including it, they needed to return and talk more about it since it was a big, big issue.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart stated that she brought it up and she can see why it was a big issue, specifically how far out and how it was maintained. She then referred to what was done in Santa Barbara which has taken a lot of volunteer time, and we don't have the staff time or resources. She thought they had the signage.

City Manager Rhodes stated that #10 covers the issue.

Mayor Digre stated that they have #2, #8 and #10 mentioning fencing.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they were in different locations. He stated that the real issue was #10 which was the western side fencing.

Mayor Digre asked if he was talking about the north and south.

City Manager Rhodes agreed, adding that it was the western edge fencing.

Mayor Digre asked, if the concern was because they were allowing people to move between the water's edge and the removable fencing, what the issue was.

City Manager Rhodes stated that the issue was where the fencing goes, adding that they have not dealt with that issue at all. He stated that some people want it at the rack line, some want it at the edge of the dunes, and the Council has mentioned moving it back and forth.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart mentioned that the beach changes.

Mayor Digre acknowledged that it was why it was partially movable.

Councilmember Vreeland mentioned that people are talking about getting stuff done, with the signs, etc., and he asked confirmation that it doesn't happen until they go to the Coastal Commission.

City Manager Rhodes responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that what their decision on signs means is that they are going to put in an application to request that signs be placed on the beach and they have to get approval from another state agency. He would like to see a map where staff recommends the area that needs to be fenced. He mentioned that when he goes to places like Crissy Field and sees a fenced in area, he knows it is a sensitive area and he should stay out of that. That was why the fencing area designated was an important element of our application moving forward.

City Manager Rhodes didn't disagree, and he was saying that they have not dealt with that issue.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that he didn't know if they were ready to make a decision at this time until he can see a map. He reiterated that he was supportive of the recommendations from the Commission but they needed to work through the issues because it was not simple.

City Manager Rhodes agreed. He stated that staff came forward with a recommendation and the Council was saying that they want to add something. He felt that was fine, but he was saying that would take quite a bit of effort to do. He stated that they will come back and grapple with the issue.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that, even if the Council said they wanted to do everything in #1 through #14, he asked confirmation that they would still have to go to the Coastal Commission to get approval to do anything.

City Manager Rhodes responded affirmatively.

Councilmember Vreeland asked, if they made the decision at this time to do all these things, when would the first sign be put on the beach.

City Manager Rhodes stated that it would be at least six months from now, being optimistic.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that he was asking to make sure they manage people's expectations as they go through this. He felt it was a fair question because he wasn't clear about it until they got into this discussion.

Councilmember Lancelle had two options to address this. On #10, she suggested adding "consider possible location for westside fence."

Mayor Digre asked if she was still including the east side fence.

Councilmember Lancelle responded affirmatively.

City Manager Rhodes stated that it was a given already.

Councilmember Lancelle suggested adding that to recommendation #10. She stated that you would also have to correct to designate the sensitive plover area and consider possible location of north/south fencing on the west side. She asked how that was.

Councilmember Vreeland stated that you still have to determine the placement of it.

Councilmember Lancelle stated that was an addition to that recommendation. She was getting the impression from Council that it was something they want and, based on Councilmember Vreeland's comments, it sounded like something they need to address because they will be addressing it now or later. The other alternative location would be in the motion.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart asked the City Manager if that was clear enough within what they were doing tonight.

City Manager Rhodes thought it was clear enough and they will start working on that issue since they have to come back with it. He thought they could work with the rest, and know that they have that piece in place. He just wanted to know clearly whether they were going there or not and how they proceed.

Mayor pro Tem Nihart stated that they could get information from others about that. She stated that she gets a little frustrated because they wanted to do it two years ago. She didn't want to be held up any longer and she wanted to make this happen. She stated that the process was bigger than them and she suggested that they get started.

Mayor Digre asked where the Fish and Wildlife part.

Councilmember Lancelle stated that it would be in the motion. She was going to add to item #10 and asked if she needed to read it again or were they good with what got added to the end of #10.

City Manager Rhodes stated that they had it.

City Attorney Quick suggested that she move to adopt staff's recommendations as modified.

Councilmember Lancelle moved to adopt staff's recommendations as modified; seconded by Councilmember DeJarnatt.

Councilmember Lancelle stated that she had to add piece at the end about seeking comment from Fish and Wildlife on the adopted recommendations.

Councilmember Lancelle moved that City Council consider adoption of the staff's recommendations as modified for Western Snowy Plover protections at Pacifica State Beach and seek comment from the Federal Fish and Wildlife Service on the adopted recommendations.

Councilmember DeJarnatt stated that they weren't considering adopting but were just adopting.

Councilmember Lancelle moved that City Council adopt the staff recommendations as modified for Western Snowy Plover protections at Pacifica State Beach and seek comment from the Federal Fish and Wildlife Service on the adopted recommendations.

Mayor Digre asked if the last statement meant that they don't involve them until there is another report.

Councilmember Lancelle stated that these were the recommendations.

Councilmember DeJarnatt seconded the motion.

ROLL CALL VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers: Lancelle, Vreeland, DeJarnatt, Nihart and Digre.
Noes: Councilmembers: None.

Motion passed: 5-0.

Mayor Digre adjourned the meeting at 10:35 p.m.

Transcribed by Barbara Medina, Public Meeting Stenographer.

Respectfully submitted,

Kathy O'Connell, City Clerk

APPROVED: 11/22/10; 4-0;`Councilmember Vreeland absent

Sue Digre

Sue Digre, Mayor